



# Bellevue Planning Commission

## AGENDA

### Regular Meeting (4:30 PM) and Public Hearing (6:30 PM)

June 22, 2016

4:30 PM - Regular Meeting

Bellevue College, 3000 Landerholm Cir SE, Room N201, Bellevue WA 98007

---

4:30 PM – 4:35 PM	Call to Order	
4:35 PM – 4:40 PM	Roll Call	
4:40 PM – 4:45 PM	Approval of Agenda	
4:45 PM – 4:50 PM	Public Comment	
4:50 PM – 5:35 PM	<b>Study Session</b> <b>Low Impact Development Principles Project –</b> <b>Continued Discussion</b>	1
	<i>Proposed code amendments to implement the Low Impact Development Principles Project will be discussed.</i>	
	Category: Land Use Code Amendments	
	Staff: Catherine Drews, Assistant City Attorney, City Legal Dept.	
	Staff: Paul Bucich, Assistant Director of Engineering, Utilities Dept.	
	<b>**Reminder – Commissioners please bring your materials from the May 25, 2016 study session.**</b>	
5:35 PM – 6:00 PM	Planning Commissioner Officer Elections	
6:00 PM	Adjourn Regular Meeting	
6:00 PM – 6:30 PM	Break	

---



# Bellevue Planning Commission

---

June 22, 2016  
6:30 PM - Public  
Hearing

---

6:30 PM – 6:35 PM      **Call to Order**

---

6:35 PM – 6:40 PM      **Roll Call**

---

6:40 PM – 6:45 PM      **Approval of Agenda**

---

6:45 PM – 6:50 PM      **Public Comment**

---

6:50 PM – 8:30 PM      **Public Hearing** 41

**A. Proposed Land Use Code Amendments –  
Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and  
Transportation Project**

Land Use Code Amendments

Patricia Byers, Code Writing Manager, Development  
Services Department

Terry Cullen, Comprehensive Planning Manager,  
Planning & Community Development

*To hear public testimony on the proposed land use code  
amendments.*

---

8:30 PM – 9:30 PM      **Study Session**

**A. Proposed Land Use Code Amendments –  
Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation  
Project**

Land Use Code Amendments

Patricia Byers, Code Writing Manager, Development  
Services Department

Terry Cullen, Comprehensive Planning Manager,  
Planning & Community Development

*To make a finding and recommendation to City Council  
regarding the proposed land use code amendments.*

---

9:30 PM – 10:00 PM      **Public Comment**

---

10:00 PM                  **Adjourn**

---



# Bellevue Planning Commission

Please note:

- Agenda times are approximate only.
- Generally, public comment is limited to 5 minutes per person or 3 minutes if a public hearing has been held on your topic. The last public comment session of the meeting is limited to 3 minutes per person. The Chair has the discretion at the beginning of the comment period to change this.

## Planning Commission Members

Michelle Hilhorst, Chair

John deVadoss, Vice Chair

Jeremy Barksdale

John Carlson

Aaron Laing

Anne Morisseau

Stephanie Walter

John Stokes, Council Liaison

## Staff Contacts

Terry Cullen, Comprehensive Planning Manager 425-452-4070

Emil King, Strategic Planning Manager 425-452-7223

Janna Steedman, Administrative Services Supervisor 425-452-6868

Kristin Gulledge, Administrative Assistant 425-452-4174

*\* Unless there is a Public Hearing scheduled, "Public Comment" is the only opportunity for public participation. Wheelchair accessible. American Sign Language (ASL) interpretation available upon request. Please call at least 48 hours in advance: 425-452-5262 (TDD) or 425-452-4162 (Voice). Assistance for the hearing impaired: dial 711 (TR).*



June 22, 2016

**SUBJECT**

Continuing Discussion of proposed land use code amendments for the Low Impact Development (LID) Principles Project.

*NOTE:* Please bring your copy of the Planning Commission Materials that was provided for the May 25, 2016, study session.

**STAFF CONTACTS**

Catherine Drews, Assistant City Attorney                      452-6134 [cdrews@bellevuewa.gov](mailto:cdrews@bellevuewa.gov)  
*City Attorney's Office*

Paul Bucich, Assistant Director of Engineering              452-4596 [pbucich@bellevuewa.gov](mailto:pbucich@bellevuewa.gov)  
*Utilities*

**DIRECTION NEEDED FROM PLANNING COMMISSION**

- Action
- Discussion
- Information

During this study session, the Low Impact Development (LID) Principles Project team (staff and consultants from AHBL) and Planning Commission will continue the discussion from the May 25, 2016 study session regarding the proposed Land Use Code amendments (LUCAs) to incorporate LID principles into the City's development codes and standards. Staff will provide an update of proposed changes resulting from staff or public comments and address questions the Planning Commission raised at the May 25 study session.

Staff seeks input from the Planning Commission on the proposed amendments. Staff will return with any Planning Commission proposed changes or requests for additional information on July 13. To provide the Planning Commission and the public with sufficient time to consider and comment on the proposals, staff is recommending moving the public hearing to July 27. With the December 31 deadline, it is hoped that at the conclusion of the July 27 public hearing, the Commission will be prepared to make its recommendation to the City Council. Council action on the proposed amendment will occur following the Planning Commission's recommendation to the City Council.

**BACKGROUND**

The proposed LUCAs result from a requirement under the 2013-2018 National Pollutant Discharge and Elimination System Western Washington Phase II Municipal Stormwater Permit (NPDES Permit) to review and revise development codes and standards to incorporate LID principles. The NPDES Permit is issued by the Washington State Department of Ecology and is required for stormwater discharges under the federal Clean Water Act. The intent of the review and revision process is to make LID the preferred and commonly-used approach to site development. The Project team reviewed the City's development codes and standards and found the codes supportive of LID principles, but also found opportunities to incorporate LID principles.

The project team presented the LID Principles Project as a briefing to the Council on July 6, 2015. At the briefing, Council provided the following direction:

*Bellevue has a long history of supporting low impact development principles in its development policies and regulations; from early (1987) sensitive or critical areas protection and long-standing significant tree and maximum impervious surface coverage regulations to the clustering and LID incentive regulations included in the recent (2009) Bel-Red Rezone.*

***Bellevue supports the objective of maintaining the region's quality of life, including that of making low impact development the preferred and commonly used approach to site development.***

During the briefing, Council approved the following project principles intended to ensure that the community's visions and goals are achieved while developing a program that supports development and redevelopment and meets LID Principles.

***Bellevue Appropriate.*** *Proposed amendments to Bellevue's development codes and standards will be area and context sensitive. A one-size-fits-all is inappropriate. Attention will be paid to the differing levels of urban development, watershed conditions, impervious surface coverage, tree canopy coverage, and areas of direct discharge. Proposed amendments, where feasible, will provide flexibility, incentives, and innovation in achieving the goal of making LID the preferred and commonly used approach to site development in Bellevue.*

***Engage Stakeholders.*** *Provide a public participation process that seeks and includes input from a wide range of stake holders. The process will provide opportunities for interested stakeholders to learn about LID principles, participate in developing options, and provide meaningful and informed comments.*

***Maintain Bellevue's Compliance Record with its NPDES Stormwater Permit.*** *The LID principles project shall be timely completed to ensure compliance with the requirement that amendments are effective by December 31, 2016.*

***Build On Existing Information and Programs.*** *The LID Principles Project will build on existing City information and programs to develop and evaluate options to make LID the preferred and commonly used approach to site development.*

*Recognize and Seek to Balance Competing Needs. The LID Principles Project will recognize and seek to balance competing laws applicable to development and redevelopment, by considering and developing effective, innovative, flexible, and/or area-specific options. The LID Principles Project will also recognize that supporting growth in urban areas is appropriate and that balancing environmental benefits with economic development goals is important.*

Council also approved the following areas of focus to explore for integrating LID principles into the City's development codes and standards:

1. *Land Use Code*
  - a. *Evaluate use of LID principles (and BMPs) early in the site design process;*
  - b. *Reduce impervious surface coverage*
  - c. *Preserve and enhance tree canopy*
  - d. *Improve options for clustering development*
2. *Transportation Code and Design Standards*
  - a. *Reduce impervious surfaces in road rights-of-way*
  - b. *Enhance tree canopy in transportation facilities*

The project team next briefed the Planning Commission on the LID Principles Project at the September 9, 2015 study session. A copy of the study session materials is included as Attachment A. At the study session, the project team provided a general background on LID, Council's direction on "Areas of Focus" for staff to explore for potential code amendments, an overview of the public participation plan, schedule for workshops, and briefings to other boards and commissions. Generally, the Planning Commission was supportive of the project and the Council approved "Areas of Focus," and asked questions relating to the relationship between project goals and Growth Management Act, review of other cities' codes or work plans for integrating LID into codes and standards, considerations for costs/maintenance for LID BMPs versus traditional stormwater methods, and barriers to the use of LID in the City's codes and standards.

Four public workshops were held from September – December to introduce and educate the public on the LID Principles Project, as well as receive public input on the "Areas of Focus" as potential code amendments that address the goals of the LID Principles Project. The workshops were held throughout the City, to help facilitate the goal of making any proposed code amendments "Bellevue Appropriate" and area and context sensitive. Three workshops were held on various evenings during late September and early October 2015 at Bellevue City Hall, Lewis Creek Visitors Center, and Cherry Creek Elementary School. A fourth workshop was held on December 9, 2015, during the day at Bellevue City Hall to encourage attendance of professionals (developers, designers, engineers, etc.) To date, nearly 100 comments have been received relating to the LID Principles Project.

The project team also met with the City's development review staff on multiple occasions, first to kick-off the project, followed by meetings from December 2015 – March 2016 to discuss proposed code amendments. Meetings have been held with "Areas of Focus" small teams to

focus discussion on certain code amendments (relating to minimizing impervious surfaces, tree/vegetation retention, etc.). Meetings with the small teams have helped to provide the project team with an in-depth understanding of how project review occurs, the challenges to applying current or proposed code language, and the issues that may arise for both public and private customers. Finally, the LID project team is coordinating with other planning activities that are underway, such as the Eastgate Corridor Study and the Downtown Livability Initiative, to ensure there is consistency between the projects and that project principles are met. Most recently, efforts are underway to coordinate with the Wilburton-Grand Connection Initiative.

## **DISCUSSION/ANALYSIS**

Based on feedback from the public, city staff, and local boards and commissions, amendments to the Land Use Code (LUC), the Bellevue City Code (BCC), and related development standards have been prepared. The proposed amendments are intended to meet the project principles and implement the LID principles of minimizing impervious surfaces, native vegetation loss, and stormwater runoff. The Project team seeks further feedback from the Planning Commission on the proposed LUCAs listed below. Other proposed amendments are provided to allow the Commission to see the project in context and how other elements work to meet project goals. The revised proposed code amendment package is included as Attachment B and incorporates staff and public comments received since the May 25, 2016 study session.

Where the proposed LUCAs have been revised since the May 25, 2016 study session, the changes are discussed below in *red*.

### **Outline of Land Use Code Proposed Amendments:**

#### **Land Use Code Proposed Amendments:**

**Evaluate use of LID principles (and BMPs) early in the site design process.** This falls under the application requirements and will require revising the application submittal sheet. The goal is to make consideration of hydrology an integral component of site design early in the process to allow the integration of LID principles and best management practices into site design.

Information only: No action is required by the Planning Commission.

#### **Chapter 20.20 LUC: General Development Requirements**

1. *LUC 20.20.010* Uses in land use districts dimensional requirements  
*Coordinating with the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project, the project team has incorporated code amendments proposed under the aforementioned project as “existing” language. The project team has proposed reduced maximum impervious surface coverage for the new land use districts proposed under the Eastgate project, and proposed new maximum hard surface coverage limits for these land use districts consistent the methodology below.*
  - a. Comparing allowed maximum impervious surfaces by land use district to what people are actually using. This analysis allows the City to consider reducing

allowed maximum impervious surface coverage to 75-85% of the current maximum for some land use districts. No reduction of maximum impervious surfaces is recommended for some land use districts, such as Bel-Red. Result: The reduced maximum impervious surface coverage provides an allowance of some impervious surface coverage over the maximum lot coverage by structures to allow for the application of impervious surfaces outside of the building footprint.

- b. Including a new “maximum hard surface” coverage limit that is the same as the old maximum impervious surface coverage. Result: Property owners are still able to build to the same extent as before (lot coverage by buildings stay the same), however some of the surfacing that may have been impervious will be permeable. Studies have found the costs of pervious surfacing to be comparable to that of traditional surfacing methods when the savings associated with stormwater utility fees are considered during the evaluation.
- c. Adding the following new notes:
  - i. All areas of lot coverage by structures are included in the calculation of maximum impervious surface, and all areas of impervious surface are included in the calculation of maximum hard surface,
  - ii. Referencing (new) section LUC 20.20.425, performance standards for hard surfaces.
  - iii. Including criteria for when permeable surfacing is determined to be infeasible that stipulate impervious surfaces may be utilized up to the maximum hard surface limit. The effect is that there would be no reduction in allowable surfacing, only a movement toward pervious surfaces for those sites where pervious surfaces are feasible.
2. *LUC 20.20.025* Intrusions into required setbacks
  - a. Including bioretention facilities as improvements that may be located within setbacks.
3. *LUC 20.20.425* Hard surface (Permeable and Impervious Surfaces)
  - a. Adding a purpose statement and applicability similar to those found under BCC 20.20.460 Impervious surface.
  - b. Adding exemptions to the calculation of hard surfaces for decks/platforms, rockeries, shoreline stabilization measures and landscape features, consistent with those found under BCC 20.20.460. The benefit of this amendment is that it removes language that would be inconsistent with the use of the term “hard surface.”
4. *LUC 20.20.460* Impervious surface
  - a. Removing the exemption for pervious pavement under innovative techniques by specifying that permeable surfaces will be included in the calculation of hard



surface. The benefit of this amendment is that it removes language that would be inconsistent with the use of the term “hard surface.”

5. *LUC 20.20.590* Parking, circulation and walkway requirements
  - a. Including pervious pavement as an acceptable surfacing material for walkways.
6. *LUC 20.20.900* Tree retention and replacement.

*Making minor revisions to 20.20.900.D.3 to say that the hierarchy shall be for “significant trees” not “the following types of trees” as the section references a list of trees above for the site interior.*

  - a. Changes include:
    - i. Incorporating a “hierarchy” for selecting trees for retention.
    - ii. The director may require assurance devices to ensure the continual healthy life of retained trees, similar to how performance standards for wetlands are regulated in the critical areas ordinance.
    - iii. Including a provision in the code to include notice on the face of the plat.
  - b. Items to note:
    - i. Tree retention will still be required only for new or redevelopment, however limitations on when a clearing and grading permit is required have been included in the Clearing and Grading Code (Chapter 23.76 BCC) so that removal of more than 5 trees requires a permit, and the City can more effectively track tree loss.

#### **Part 20.25 LUC Special and Overlay Districts**

*Incorporating new code language recently codified from the Downtown Livability early wins code amendments. The Downtown Livability early wins code amendments include provisions for the dual use of planter strips for stormwater treatment, supporting this effort.*

1. Allowing bioretention swales and planters within planter strips and landscape buffers.
2. Prioritizing the use of native plant species for landscaping.
3. Including pervious pavement as an acceptable paving material within linear buffers.
4. Requiring the use of LID drainage practices within Bel-Red unless infeasible (previously was recommended).
5. Street trees with grates may be substituted for bioretention facilities.

#### **Part 20.30D LUC Planned Unit Development (PUDs)**

1. Allowing for zero lot line development through reduction in side yard setbacks.
2. Including conservation of vegetation and on-site soils and reduction in hard surfaces as criteria for which PUDs may be evaluated.

#### **Chapter 20.50 LUC Definitions.**

1. Amends the definition of a significant tree to include trees six inches or greater, and to amend how tree size (diameter at breast height or DBH) is measured (four and one

- half feet above existing grade instead of four feet). Removing the word “healthy” from the definition of a significant tree as all significant trees should be analyzed as a part of a tree retention plan before determining whether or not they are healthy.
2. Included a definition for “landmark trees”, and reference to a Development Services Handout determining thresholds for “rare, uncommon, unique or exceptional” trees that should be preserved on development sites.
  3. Included a definition for “hard surface”, as now used in the dimensional requirements.

### **NEXT STEPS**

The Planning Commission is being asked to review and provide feedback on the proposed code amendments prepared by staff and the City’s consultants that incorporates LID principles into the City’s Land Use Code. The timeline for meeting the December 31 deadline includes the following tentatively scheduled meetings:

1. Planning Commission Study Session (July 13)
2. Transportation Commission public hearing (July 14)
3. Planning Commission public hearing and recommendation to Council (July 27)
4. Council action on the proposal (November, 2016)
5. East Bellevue Community Council public hearing and final action (December, 2016)

### **ATTACHMENTS**

- A. Revised Land Use Code proposals

**Chapter 20.20 General Development Requirements.**

**20.20.010 Uses in land use districts dimensional requirements.**

**Chart 20.20.010**

Uses in land use districts Dimensional Requirements

LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	RESIDENTIAL										
	R-1	R-1.8	R-2.5	R-3.5	R-4	R-5	R-7.5*	R-10	R-15	R-20	R-30
<b>DIMENSIONS</b>	(43)	(43)	(43)	(43)	(43)	(43)	(43)				
...											
Maximum Lot Coverage by Structures (percent) (13) (14) (16) (26) (27) (37) (39)	35	35	35	35	35	40	40	35	35	35	35
Maximum Impervious Surface (percent) (35) (37) (39) <del>(50)</del>	<del>50</del> <u>40</u> (36)	<del>50</del> <u>40</u> (36)	<del>50</del> <u>40</u> (36)	<del>50</del> <u>40</u> (36)	<del>50</del> <u>40</u> (36)	<del>55</del> <u>45</u> (36)	<del>55</del> <u>45</u> (36)	<del>80</del> <u>60</u>	<del>80</del> <u>60</u>	<del>80</del> <u>60</u>	<del>80</del> <u>60</u>
Maximum Hard Surface Coverage (percent) (37) (39) (49) <del>(50)</del>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>80</u>

[...]

[...]

Uses in land use districts Dimensional Requirements

LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/ Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Neighborhood Business	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NMU	NB	CB	F1	F2	F3
<b>DIMENSIONS</b>	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(21)	(28)	(21, 31)	(21, 32)
...												
Maximum Lot Coverage by Structures (percent) (13) (14) (16)	35 (24)	35 (24)	35 (24)	35	50		35	35 (24)			35 (24)	40 (24)
Maximum Impervious Surface (percent) (35) (37) (50)	<del>80</del> 60	<del>80</del> 60	<del>80</del> 60	<del>80</del> 60	<del>85</del> 65	<del>85</del> 65	<del>80</del> 60	<del>80</del> 60	<del>85</del> 65		<del>80</del> 60	<del>80</del> 60
Maximum Hard Surface Coverage (37) (49) (50)	<u>80</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>85</u>		<u>80</u>	<u>80</u>

[...]

DRAFT

[...]

~~A-(13)~~ Lot coverage is calculated after subtracting all critical areas and stream critical area buffers; provided, that coal mine hazards (20.25H.130) and habitat associated with species of local importance (20.25H.150) shall not be subtracted.

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.3", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 13 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"

~~B-(14)~~ Maximum lot coverage by structures is determined after public right-of-way and private roads are subtracted from the gross land area.

[...]

~~1-(16)~~ Exceptions to Lot Coverage. Although not considered structures for purposes of calculating lot coverage, the following may be considered impervious surfaces subject to the impervious surface limits. See LUC 20.20.460 and 20.50.026.

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.3", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 16 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

~~1-(a)~~ Underground buildings as defined in LUC 20.50.050 are not structures for the purpose of calculating lot coverage.

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0.3", Numbered + Level: 2 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.75" + Indent at: 1.05", Tab stops: Not at 1"

~~2-(b)~~ Buildings constructed partially below grade and not higher than 30 inches above existing or finished grade, whichever is lower, are not structures for the purpose of calculating lot coverage subject to the following conditions:

~~1-(i)~~ The 30-inch height limit must be met at all points along the building excluding those areas necessary to provide reasonable ingress and egress to the underground portions of the building; and

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0.6", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: i, ii, iii, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1.05" + Indent at: 1.32"

~~2-(ii)~~ The rooftop of the building shall be screened from abutting properties with 10 feet of Type II landscaping as described in LUC 20.20.520.G.2 except that the required trees shall be a minimum of 10 feet in height at planting; or, if a use is proposed for the rooftop, the rooftop may be landscaped consistent with the planting requirements for the specific use that is proposed and for the land use district in which the use is located. All landscaping shall comply with standards set forth in LUC 20.20.520. The provisions of LUC 20.20.520.J (Alternative Landscaping Option) are applicable.

[...]

~~1-(26)~~ See LUC 20.20.125 for specific requirements applicable to detached accessory structures.

~~2-(27)~~ Lot coverage for schools located in residential land use districts is limited to 35 percent of the site area (refer to LUC 20.20.740).

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.3", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 26 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1.05" + Indent at: 1.3", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

[...]

~~1-(35)~~ See LUC 20.20.460 for exceptions and performance standards relating to impervious surface.

~~2-(36)~~ Impervious surface limits for legally established nonconforming nonresidential uses and for new allowed nonresidential uses in these residential land use districts shall be 80 percent.

**Formatted:** Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.3", Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 35 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1.05" + Indent at: 1.3", Tab stops: Not at 0.5"

~~3-(37)~~ Maximum hard surface, maximum impervious surface and maximum lot coverage by structures are independent limitations on allowed development. All areas of lot coverage by structures are included in the calculation of total maximum impervious surface, unless such structures are

excepted under LUC 20.20.460, and all areas of impervious surface coverage are included in the calculation of total maximum hard surface coverage.

[...]

(49) See LUC 20.20.425 for exceptions and performance standards relating to hard surfaces.

(50) Where the application of permeable pavement has been determined to be infeasible using the infeasibility criteria in the 2014 Department of Ecology Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington, now or as hereafter amended, the maximum impervious surface coverage may be exceeded, up to the maximum hard surface coverage allotment.

[...]

**20.20.025 Intrusions into required setbacks.**

[...]

F. LID Elements. Where feasible, LID BMPs such as bioretention facilities may be located within setbacks required in LUC 20.20.010, provided they conform to the setback requirements in the City of Bellevue Storm and Surface Water Engineering Standards.

[...]

**20.20.425 Hard surface.**

A. Purpose.

Limits on the total amount of hard surfaces associated with site development are desirable to minimize vegetation loss and limit stormwater runoff, which are impacted by the increased level of surface flow generated by hard surfaces. Live plant foliage and groundcover intercept stormwater by retaining or slowing the flow of precipitation to the ground, and their roots protect soil from erosion. Preservation of naturally vegetated areas is a passive stormwater management tool that effectively reduces watershed function deterioration.

B. Applicability.

Hard surfaces are defined in LUC 20.50, and shall include all surfaces considered impervious under 20.20.460, as well as permeable pavement surfaces and vegetated roofs. The hard surface limits contained in LUC 20.20.010 and the standards of this section, shall be imposed any time a permit, approval, or review including land alteration or land development including subdivisions, short subdivisions or planned unit developments, a change in lot coverage, or a change in the area devoted to parking and circulation is required by this Code, or by the International Building Code.

C. Exemptions.

The following are exempted from determining maximum hard surface. These exemptions do not apply to any other Land Use Code requirement, including setbacks and limits on maximum lot coverage by structure, building code, utilities code or other applicable City of Bellevue codes or regulations.

1. Decks/Platforms. Decks and platforms constructed with gaps measuring one-eighth inch or greater between boards, so long as the surface below the deck or platform is pervious;
2. Rockeries/Retaining Walls. Rockeries and retaining walls shall be exempt from the maximum hard surface limits;
3. Stabilization Measures. Shoreline stabilization measures shall be exempt from the maximum hard surface limits; and
4. Landscape Features. Fences, arbors with lattice or open roof materials and similar structures, individual stepping stones placed in the ground but not interlocking, cemented or held together with an impervious material, and organic mulch shall be exempt from the maximum hard surface limits.

D. Performance Standards.

1. Design shall minimize topographic modification. Changes in existing grade outside the building footprint shall be minimized. Excavation shall not exceed 10 feet. Fill shall not exceed five feet subject to the following provisions: all fill in excess of four feet shall be engineered; and engineered fill may be approved in exceptional circumstances to exceed five feet to a maximum of eight feet. Exceptional circumstances are: (1) instances where driveway access would exceed 15 percent slope if additional fill retained by the building foundation is not permitted; or (2) where the five-foot fill maximum generally is observed but limited additional fill is necessary to accommodate localized variations in topography.

E. Maintenance and Assurance.

1. Pervious pavement and other hard surface techniques designed to mimic shall be designed by a professional engineer licensed by the State of Washington and the plans are approved by the Director. The Director may require a maintenance plan and long-term performance assurance device to ensure the continued function of the pervious pavement or other technique.

[...]

**20.20.460 Impervious surface.**

A. Purpose.

Limits on the total amount of impervious surfaces associated with site development are desirable to protect critical areas and limit stormwater runoff, which are impacted by the increased levels and rates of surface flow generated by impervious surfaces.

B. Applicability.

The impervious surface limits contained in LUC 20.20.010 and Chapter 20.25 LUC, and the standards of this section, shall be imposed any time a permit, approval, or review including land alteration or land development including subdivisions, short subdivisions or planned unit developments, a change in lot coverage, or a change in the area devoted to parking and circulation is required by this Code, or by the International Building Code.

~~C. Modifications to Impervious Surface Limits.~~

~~The impervious surface limits contained in LUC 20.20.010 and Chapter 20.25 LUC may be modified pursuant to a critical areas report, LUC 20.25H.230, so long as the critical areas report~~

~~demonstrates that the effective impervious surface on the site does not exceed the limit established in LUC 20.20.010 and Chapter 20.25 LUC.~~

- ~~3. Garages on sites sloping uphill should be placed below the main floor elevation where feasible to reduce grading and to fit structures into existing topography. Garages on sites sloping downhill from the street may be required to be placed as close to the right-of-way as feasible and at or near street grade. Intrusion into the front setback, as provided in LUC 20.20.025.B, may be required. On slopes in excess of 25 percent, driveways shall be designed to minimize disturbance and should provide the most direct connection between the building and the public or private street; and~~
- ~~4. Changes in existing grade outside the building footprint shall be minimized. Excavation shall not exceed 10 feet. Fill shall not exceed five feet subject to the following provisions: all fill in excess of four feet shall be engineered; and engineered fill may be approved in exceptional circumstances to exceed five feet to a maximum of eight feet. Exceptional circumstances are: (1) instances where driveway access would exceed 15 percent slope if additional fill retained by the building foundation is not permitted; or (2) where the five-foot fill maximum generally is observed but limited additional fill is necessary to accommodate localized variations in topography.~~

**D.C.** Exemptions.

The following are exempted from determining maximum impervious surface. These exemptions do not apply to any other Land Use Code requirement, including setbacks and limits on maximum lot coverage by structure, building code, utilities code or other applicable City of Bellevue codes or regulations.

1. Decks/Platforms. Decks and platforms constructed with gaps measuring one-eighth inch or greater between boards, so long as the surface below the deck or platform is pervious;
2. Rockeries/Retaining Walls. Rockeries and retaining walls shall be exempt from the maximum impervious surface limits;
3. Stabilization Measures. Shoreline stabilization measures shall be exempt from the maximum impervious surface limits; and
4. Landscape Features. Fences, arbors with lattice or open roof materials and similar structures, individual stepping stones placed in the ground but not interlocking, cemented or held together with an impervious material, and gravel mulch shall be exempt from the maximum impervious surface limits.

**E.D.** Performance Standards.

1. Design shall minimize topographic modification. Structures shall conform to the natural contour of the slope. The foundation shall be tiered to conform to the existing topography and step down the slope with earth retention incorporated into the structure where feasible. Standard prepared building pads, i.e., slab on grade, shall be avoided; and
2. Garages on sites sloping uphill should be placed below the main floor elevation where feasible to reduce grading and to fit structures into existing topography. Garages on sites sloping downhill from the street may be required to be placed as close to the right-of-way as feasible



and at or near street grade. Intrusion into the front setback, as provided in LUC 20.20.025.B, may be required. On slopes in excess of 25 percent, driveways shall be designed to minimize disturbance and should provide the most direct connection between the building and the public or private street; and

3. Changes in existing grade outside the building footprint shall be minimized. Excavation shall not exceed 10 feet. Fill shall not exceed five feet subject to the following provisions: all fill in excess of four feet shall be engineered; and engineered fill may be approved in exceptional circumstances to exceed five feet to a maximum of eight feet. Exceptional circumstances are: (1) instances where driveway access would exceed 15 percent slope if additional fill retained by the building foundation is not permitted; or (2) where the five-foot fill maximum generally is observed but limited additional fill is necessary to accommodate localized variations in topography.

**FE.** Existing Impervious Surfaces.

Impervious surfaces legally established on a site prior to **August 1, 2006**, and which exceed the limits set forth in LUC 20.20.010 and Chapter 20.25 LUC shall not be considered nonconforming. Proposals to increase impervious surface on a site shall conform to the limits of LUC 20.20.010 and Chapter 20.25 LUC; where a site already exceeds the allowed amount of impervious surface, the additional impervious surface shall not be approved unless an equal amount of existing impervious surface is removed such that the net amount of impervious surface is unchanged.

**GE.** Innovative Techniques.

Surfaces paved with ~~pervious-permeable~~ pavement or other innovative techniques designed to mimic the function of a pervious surface shall not be included in the calculation of impervious surface areas, however, they shall be included in the calculation of maximum hard surface areas, not including the exemptions listed in LUC 20.20.425.C, so long as the technique is designed by a professional engineer licensed by the State of Washington and the plans are approved by the Director. The Director may require a maintenance plan and long-term performance assurance device to ensure the continued function of the pervious pavement or other technique. (Ord. 5876, 5-18-09, § 13; Ord. 5683, 6-26-06, § 9)

[...]

**20.20.590 Parking, circulation, and walkway requirements.**

[...]

K. Parking Area and Circulation Improvements and Design

[...]

8. Internal Walkways

[...]

- c. Design Criteria. Except as otherwise specified in Part 20.25A LUC, internal walkways provided pursuant to this section must be designed and installed in conformance with the following:
  - i. Surface Materials. Internal walkways must be paved with hard-surfaced material such as concrete, asphalt, stone, brick, tile, [pervious pavement](#), etc. Only nonskid paving may be used in walkways construction.

DRAFT

## 20.20.900 Tree retention and replacement.

A. Purpose.

Retention of significant trees as required by this section is necessary to maintain and protect property values, to enhance the visual appearance of the City, to preserve the natural wooded character of the Pacific Northwest, to promote utilization of natural systems, to reduce the impacts of development on the storm drainage system and water resources, and to provide a better transition between the various land uses permitted in the City.

B. Applicability.

The requirements of this section shall be imposed any time a permit, approval, or review including land alteration or land development including subdivisions, short subdivisions or planned unit developments, a change in lot coverage, or a change in the area devoted to parking and circulation is required by the Bellevue City Code or Land Use Code. Subsection F of this section shall apply to permits for new single-family structures and for additions to impervious surface areas that exceed 20 percent when located on a single-family lot developed with a residential use. Subsection E of this section applies to the removal of any significant trees in the R-1 Land Use District located in the Bridle Trails Subarea.

C. Required Review.

The Development Services Department shall review the proposed removal of significant trees with each application within the applicability of this section.

D. Retention of Significant Trees for Subdivisions, Short Subdivisions, Planned Unit Development, Change in Lot Coverage, or Change in the Area Devoted to Parking and Circulation, Excluding Areas Located in the R-1 Land Use District in the Bridle Trails Subarea and for New or Expanding Single-Family Structures.

1. Perimeter Landscaping Area. In the required perimeter landscaping area, as set forth in LUC 20.20.520.F.1, the applicant shall retain all significant trees which will not constitute a safety hazard. For properties located in Bel-Red Land Use Districts, refer to perimeter landscape development at LUC 20.25D.110. Area devoted to access and sight areas as defined in the Transportation Code (Chapter 14.06 BCC) and area to be cleared for required roads, utilities, sidewalks, trails, or storm drainage improvements are exempt from this requirement.

2. Site Interior.

- a. In areas of the site other than the required perimeter landscaping area, the applicant must retain at least 15 percent of the diameter inches of the significant trees existing in this area; provided, that alder and cottonwood trees' diameter inches shall be discounted by a factor of 0.5. In the event of a conflict between this section at and LUC 20.25H "Critical Areas Overlay, section 20.25H shall prevail. In applying the requirement for retention of significant trees, the Director shall consider-require the preservation of the following types of significant trees in the following order of a-priority:

- i. Landmark trees as defined in LUC 20.50;

- ~~i.ii. Healthy-s~~Significant trees over 60 feet in height;
  - ~~ii.iii.~~Significant trees which form a continuous canopy;
  - ~~iv. Significant trees located within the required rear yard, and the area between the rear line of the rear yard and 15 feet from the nearest building envelope; and~~;
  - ~~iii.v.~~Significant trees which ~~contribute to the character of the environment, and~~ do not constitute a safety hazard;
  - ~~iv. Significant trees which provide winter wind protection or summer shade;~~
  - ~~v. Groups of significant trees which create a distinctive skyline feature; and~~
  - ~~vi. Significant trees in areas of steep slopes or adjacent to watercourses or wetlands.~~
- b. The Director may approve retention of trees which do not meet the definition of significant trees as a contribution toward the sum of the diameter inches required under subsection D.2.a of this section if a group of trees and its associated undergrowth can be preserved.
3. For subdivisions, short subdivisions, and planned unit developments, the applicant shall retain a minimum of 30 percent of the diameter inches of significant trees existing on the total site area of the development; provided, that alder and cottonwood trees' diameter inches shall be discounted by a factor of 0.5. Priority of preservation of significant trees shall occur in the same order as specified above for the site interior.
4. Exemption. The provisions of this subsection which require retention of significant trees are not applicable in any Downtown Land Use District.
5. The applicant shall utilize tree protection techniques approved by the Director during land alteration and construction in order to provide for the continual healthy life of retained significant trees. The director may require assurance devices pursuant to 20.40.490 to ensure the continual healthy life of retained significant trees for a period of up to five years.
- ~~5.6.~~ Any property where significant trees are retained to meet the requirements of this chapter shall include notice of the retained trees on the recorded survey, and shall include a reference to this section to ensure their continued retention.
- ~~6.7.~~ Reduced Parking Bonus. If the proposed landscape plan incorporates the retention of significant trees above that required by this section, the Director may approve a reduction of up to 10 percent of the required number of parking spaces if adequate parking will remain on the subject property, and if land area for the required number of spaces remains available for future development on the subject property.
- E. Retention of Significant Trees in the R-1 Land Use District in the Bridle Trails Subarea for any Type of Land Alteration or Development.
1. Permit Required. As required by BCC 23.76.035.A.8, a clearing and grading permit must be obtained from the City prior to the removal of any significant tree from any lot in the R-1 Land Use District in the Bridle Trails Subarea. The applicant may request a

vegetation management plan to cover all proposed tree removal activities within a three-year period. In addition, for the removal of more than two significant trees within any three-year period, the requirements of subsections E.2 and E.3 of this section apply.

2. Perimeter Tree Retention Requirement. For all lots in the R-1 Land Use District in the Bridle Trails Subarea, all significant trees which do not constitute a safety hazard within the first 20 feet adjacent to all property lines shall be retained. Area devoted to access and sight areas as defined in the Transportation Code (Chapter 14.06 BCC), and area to be cleared for required roads, utilities, sidewalks, trails, or storm drainage improvements is exempt from this requirement. In the event this requirement conflicts with minimum setback requirements for structures (LUC 20.20.010), the Alternative Tree Retention Option (subsection G of this section) may be used to allow development consistent with the setbacks established under LUC 20.20.010.
  3. Site Interior Tree Retention Requirement.
    - a. In addition to the required perimeter tree retention area, at least 25 percent of the cumulative diameter inches of existing significant trees must be retained; provided, that alder and cottonwood trees' diameter inches shall be discounted by a factor of 0.5.
    - b. The Director may approve retention of trees which do not meet the definition of significant trees as a contribution toward the sum of the diameter inches required under subsection E.3.a of this section if a group of trees and its associated undergrowth can be preserved.
  4. Tree Replacement Requirement. On any lot with eight or less significant trees, a planting plan showing a one-to-one ratio of replacement trees is required. Trees must be a minimum of six feet in height at planting.
- F. Retention of Significant Trees for New or Expanding Single-Family Structures Excluding Single-Family Structures Located in the R-1 Land Use District in the Bridle Trails Subarea.
1. Site Area. For new single-family structures or additions to impervious surface areas that exceed 20 percent when located on a single-family lot developed with a residential use, the applicant shall retain a minimum of 30 percent of the diameter inches of significant trees existing in the site area; provided, that alder and cottonwood trees' diameter inches shall be discounted by a factor of 0.5. In the event of a conflict between this section and LUC 20.25H "Critical Areas Overlay, section 20.25H shall prevail. In applying the requirement for retention of significant trees, the Director shall ~~consider~~ require the preservation of the following types of significant trees in the following order of a priority:
    - a. Landmark trees as defined in LUC 20.50;
    - ~~a.b.~~ Healthy sSignificant trees over 60 feet in height;
    - ~~b.c.~~ Significant trees which form a continuous canopy;
    - d. Significant trees located within the required rear yard, and the area between the rear line of the rear yard and 15 feet from the nearest building envelope.
    - e. Significant trees located within the first 20 feet adjacent to a property line.

~~f. Significant trees which contribute to the character of the environment, and do not constitute a safety hazard;~~

~~c. Significant trees which provide winter wind protection or summer shade;~~

~~d. Groups of significant trees which create a distinctive skyline feature;~~

~~e. Significant trees in areas of steep slopes or adjacent to watercourses or wetlands; and~~

~~f. a. Significant trees located within the first 20 feet adjacent to a property line.~~

2. The Director may approve retention of trees which do not meet the definition of significant trees as a contribution toward the sum of the diameter inches required under LUC 20.20.900.F.1 if a group of trees and its associated undergrowth can be preserved.
  3. The applicant shall utilize tree protection techniques approved by the Director during land alteration and construction in order to provide for the continual healthy life of retained significant trees.
- G. Alternative Tree Retention or Replacement Option.
1. An applicant may request a modification of the tree retention requirements set forth in subsections D, E, and F of this section.
  2. The Director may administratively approve a modification of the perimeter or interior tree retention requirements if:
    - a. The modification is consistent with the stated purpose of this section; and
    - b. The modification proposal either:
      - i. Incorporates the retention or replacement of significant trees equal in equivalent diameter inches or incorporates the increased retention or replacement of significant trees and naturally occurring undergrowth to what would otherwise be required; or
      - ii. Incorporates the retention or replacement of other natural vegetation in consolidated locations which promotes the natural vegetated character of the site and neighborhood including use as pasture land or for agricultural uses.
      - iii. Where a modification proposal includes supplemental or replacement trees in lieu of retention, the applicant shall utilize plant materials which complement the natural character of the Pacific Northwest, and which are adaptable to the climatic, topographic, and hydrologic characteristics of the site. (Ord. 6197, 11-17-14, § 15; Ord. 5896, 8-3-09, § 3; Ord. 5876, 5-18-09, § 22; Ord. 5791, 12-3-07, § 14; Ord. 5662, 3-20-06, § 2)

Formatted: Font: Bold

## Chapter 20.25 Special and Overlay Districts

### Part 20.25A Downtown

[...]

#### 20.25A.060 Walkways and sidewalks.

Commented [BP1]: New code language from Downtown Livability early wins code amendments.

##### A. Walkways and Sidewalks – Perimeter.

###### 1. Minimum Width.

- a. The minimum width of perimeter walkway or sidewalk on the streets identified in this paragraph is 16 feet plus a 6-inch curb. Included within that 16 feet and adjacent to the curb, there shall be a planter strip or tree pit as prescribed by Plate A of this section:
  - i. NE 6th between 110th Avenue NE and 112th Avenue NE; and
  - ii. 106th Avenue NE between NE 4th and NE 8th; and
  - iii. 108th Avenue NE between NE 4th and NE 8th; and
  - iv. 110th Avenue NE between NE 4th and NE 8th; and
  - v. Bellevue Way between Main and NE 12th; and
  - vi. NE 4th between 100th Avenue NE and 112th Avenue NE; and
  - vii. NE 8th between 100th Avenue NE and 112th Avenue NE.
- b. Along any other street not listed in subsection A.1.a of this section, the minimum width of a perimeter walkway or sidewalk is 12 feet plus a 6-inch curb. Included in that 12 feet and adjacent to the curb, there shall be a planter strip or tree pit as prescribed in Plate A of this section.
- c. Within the width of the walkway or sidewalk, at least six feet of unobstructed travel path shall be maintained for safe pedestrian access.
- d. Planter Strips and Tree Pits.  
Planter strips shall be at least five feet wide and as long as the street frontage, excluding curb cuts, driveways and spacing for utilities. Planter strips and tree pits shall be located adjacent to the curb unless precluded by existing utilities which cannot be reasonably relocated. Tree pits ~~may~~ shall be covered with protective grates or pavers. Where stormwater facilities are used in conjunction with tree pits, removable grates shall be utilized.

###### 2. Street Trees and Landscaping – Perimeter.

- a. Tree Species. The property owner shall install street trees, in addition to any landscaping required by LUC 20.25A.040, according to the requirements of Plate B of this section as now or hereafter amended and this section.
- b. Installation. Street trees, at least 2.5 inches in caliper or as approved by the Director, must be planted at least 3 feet from the face of the street curb, and a maximum of 20 feet for small trees, 25 feet for medium trees, and 30 feet for large trees. The size of the tree shall be determined by Plate B of this section, as now or hereafter amended. A street tree

Commented [BP2]: Does this refer to spacing of trees? Seems to be missing some language.

**Formatted:** Font: Bold

planting area may also include decorative paving and other native plant materials except grass that requires mowing. The use of planter strips for stormwater treatment is encouraged. Installation shall be in accordance with the Parks and Community Services Department Environmental Best Management Practices and Design Standards, as now or hereafter amended.

- c. Irrigation. A permanent automatic irrigation system shall be provided at the time of installation of street trees and sidewalk planting strip landscaping located in a required planter strip or tree pit. The irrigation system shall be served by a separate water meter installed by the applicant and served by City-owned water supply with 24-hour access by the City. The use of rainwater to supplement irrigation is encouraged. Irrigation system shall be designed per the Parks and Community Services Department Environmental Best Management Practices and Design Standards, as now or hereafter amended.
- d. Street Landscaping. Street trees together with shrubbery, groundcover and other approved plantings are required in a planter strip along the length of the frontage. Vegetation included in the planter strip shall be able to withstand urban conditions, shall be compatible with other plantings along the same street, and shall reflect the character of the area within which they are planted, as approved by the Director.

[...]

**Plate A – Downtown Bellevue Planter Strip/Tree Pits Required**

**Commented [BP3]:** Is there any interest in specifying streets where bioretention planters shall be utilized?

East-West	Planter Strip/Tree Pits
NE 12th (102nd to I-405)	Planter Strip
NE 11th (110th to 112th)	Planter Strip
NE 10th (100th to 106th)	Planter Strip
NE 10th (106 to I-405)	Planter Strip
NE 9th (110th to 111th)	Tree Pits
NE 8th (100th to 106th)	Planter Strip
NE 8th (106th to 112th)	Planter Strip
NE 6th (Bellevue Way to 106th)	See Pedestrian Corridor Design Guidelines
NE 6th (106th to 108th)	See Pedestrian Corridor Design Guidelines
NE 6th (108th to 110th)	Tree Pits
NE 6th (110th to 112th)	Planter Strip on the south side, Tree Pits on the north



	side
NE 4th (100th to I-405)	Planter Strip
NE 3rd Pl (110th to 111th)	Tree Pits
NE 2nd Pl (108th to 111th)	Planter Strip
NE 2nd (Bellevue Way to I-405)	Planter Strip
NE 1st/2nd (100th to Bellevue Way)	Planter Strip
NE 1st (103rd to Bellevue Way)	Tree Pits
Main St (100th to Bellevue Way)	Tree Pits
Main St (Bellevue Way to I-405)	Planter Strip
<b>North-South</b>	
100th (NE 12th to Main)	Planter Strip
100th (NE 10th to NE 1st)	Planter Strip
100th (NE 1st to Main)	Planter Strip
101st (near NE 10th)	Tree Pits
101st Ave SE (south of Main St)	Tree Pits
102nd (NE 12th to NE 8th)	Planter Strip
102nd (NE 1st to south of Main St)	Tree Pits
103rd (near NE 10th)	Tree Pits
103rd (NE 2nd to Main St)	Tree Pits
Bellevue Way (NE 12th to NE 10th)	Planter Strip
Bellevue Way (NE 10th to NE 4th)	Planter Strip
Bellevue Way (NE 4th to Main)	Planter Strip
Bellevue Way (Main to Downtown Boundary)	Planter Strip
105th (NE 4th to NE 2nd)	Planter Strip
105th SE (near Main St)	Planter Strip
106th (NE 12th to NE 8th)	Planter Strip

Formatted: Font: Bold

106th (NE 8th to NE 4th)	Tree Pits
106th (NE 4th to Main)	Planter Strip
106th PI NE (near NE 12th)	Tree Pits
107th (NE 2nd to south of Main)	Tree Pits
108th (NE 12th to NE 8th)	Tree Pits
108th (NE 8th to NE 4th)	Tree Pits
108th (NE 4th to south of Main)	Tree Pits
109th (near NE 10th)	Planter Strip
110th (NE 12th to NE 8th)	Planter Strip
110th (NE 8th to NE 4th)	Planter Strip
110th (NE 4th to Main)	Planter Strip
111th (NE 11th to NE 9th)	Planter Strip
111th (NE 4th to NE 2nd)	Planter Strip
112th (NE 12th to Main)	Planter Strip

**Plate B – Downtown Bellevue Street Tree Species Plan**

Commented [BP4]: Including for reference, ensure that tree species are compatible with bioretention, or specify spacing for bioretention?

East-West	Proposed Street Trees	Tree Size
NE 12th (102nd to I-405)	Pear: <i>Pyrus calleryana</i> 'Glens form'	Small
NE 11th (110th to 112th)	'Katsura: <i>Cercidiphyllum japonicum</i> '	Large
NE 10th (100th to 106th)	Tupelo: <i>Nyssa sylvatica</i> 'Firestarter'	Medium
NE 10th (106 to I-405)	Zelkova serrata 'Village Green'	Medium
NE 9th (110th to 111th)	Katsura: <i>Cercidiphyllum japonicum</i>	Large
NE 8th (100th to 106th)	Honeylocust: <i>Gleditsia tricanthos</i> 'Shademaster'	Medium
NE 8th (106th to 112th)	Pac Sunset Maple: <i>Acer truncatum</i> x <i>platanoides</i> 'Warrenred'	Medium
NE 6th (Bellevue Way to 106th)	Honeylocust: <i>Gleditsia tricanthos</i> 'Shademaster'	Medium

NE 6th (106th to I-405)	Katsura: Cercidiphyllum japonicum	Large
NE 4th (100th to I-405)	Autumn Blaze Maple: Acer x Freemanii 'Jeffersred'	Large
NE 3rd Pl (110th to 111th)	Tupelo: Nyssa sylvatica 'Firestarter'	Large
NE 2nd Pl (108th to 111th)	Persian ironwood: Parrotia persica 'Vanessa'	Medium
NE 2nd (Bellevue Way to I-405)	English oak: Quercus robur 'Pyramich'	Large
NE 1st/2nd (100th to Bellevue Way)	Hungarian oak: Quercus frainetto 'Schmidt'	Large
NE 1st (103rd to Bellevue Way)	Ginkgo: Ginkgo biloba 'Magyar'	Medium
Main St (100th to Bellevue Way)	Ginkgo: Ginkgo biloba 'Magyar'	Medium
Main St (Bellevue Way to I-405)	Tupelo: Nyssa sylvatica 'Afterburner'	Medium
<b>North-South</b>	<b>Proposed Street Trees</b>	<b>Tree Size</b>
100th (NE 12th to NE 10th)	Pear: Pyrus calleryana 'Aristocrat'	Small
100th (NE 10th to NE 1st)	Scarlet oak: Quercus coccinia	Large
100th (NE 1st to Main)	Ginkgo: Ginkgo biloba 'Magyar'	Medium
101st (near NE 10th)	Ginkgo: Ginkgo biloba 'Autumn Gold'	Medium
101st Ave SE (south of Main St)	Katsura: Cercidiphyllum japonicum	Large
102nd (NE 12th to NE 8th)	Miyabe maple: Acer miyabei 'Rugged Ridge'	Large
102nd (NE 1st to south of Main St)	Katsura: Cercidiphyllum japonicum	Large
103rd (near NE 10th)	Ginkgo: Ginkgo biloba 'Autumn Gold'	Medium
103rd (NE 2nd to Main St)	Katsura: Cercidiphyllum japonicum	Large
Bellevue Way (NE 12th to NE 10th)	Tulip tree: Liriodendron tulipifera 'JFS-oz'	Large
Bellevue Way (NE 10th to NE 4th)	Honeylocust: Gleditsia tricanthos 'Shademaster'	Medium
Bellevue Way (NE 4th to Main)	Tulip tree: Liriodendron tulipifera 'JFS-oz'	Large
105th (NE 4th to NE 2nd)	Sweetgum: Liquidambar styraciflua 'Worplesdon'	Large
105th SE (near Main St)	London planetree: Platanus x acerifolia 'Bloodgood'	Large
106th (NE 12th to NE 8th)	Elm: Ulmus propinqua 'Emerald Sunshine'	Large

106th (NE 8th to NE 4th)	Elm: Ulmus Americana 'Jefferson'	Large
106th (NE 4th to Main)	Elm: Ulmus 'Morton Glossy'	Large
106th PI NE (near NE 12th)	London planetree: Platanus x acerifolia 'Bloodgood'	Large
107th (NE 2nd to south of Main)	Hornbeam: Carpinus caroliniana 'Palisade'	Medium
108th (NE 12th to NE 8th)	Persian ironwood: Parrotia persica 'Ruby Vase'	Medium
108th (NE 8th to NE 4th)	Sweetgum: Liquidambar styraciflua 'Worplesdon'	Large
108th (NE 4th to south of Main)	Zelkova serrata 'Green Vase'	Medium
109th (near NE 10th)	Linden: Tilia cordata 'Chancole'	Large
110th (NE 12th to NE 8th)	Linden: Tilia americana 'Redmond'	Large
110th (NE 8th to NE 4th)	Zelkova serrata 'Village Green'	Medium
110th (NE 4th to Main)	Red maple: Acer rubrum 'Somerset'	Large
111th (NE 11th to NE 9th)	Ginkgo: Ginkgo biloba 'Autumn Gold'	Medium
111th (NE 4th to NE 2nd)	Ginkgo: Ginkgo biloba 'Autumn Gold'	Medium
112th (NE 12th to Main)	Scarlet oak: Quercus coccinia	Large

**20.25A.090 Perimeter Design District**

[...]

D. Development Standards

[...]

4. Landscape Development

[...]

b. Linear Buffers.

- i. General. Any development situated within Perimeter Design District – Subdistrict A shall provide a “linear buffer” within the minimum setback adjacent to the Downtown boundary required by paragraph D.2 of this section. The purpose of this feature is to produce a green buffer that will soften the visual impact of the relatively larger buildings. These design standards are minimum requirements for the size and quantity of trees, shrubs and other “linear buffer” elements. The specific design of the “linear buffer” for each project site will be determined through

the Design Review Process. Design considerations include but are not limited to the placement of elements and their relationship to adjacent property as well as to the proposed development. Different sets of design standards apply to each of the locational conditions.

- ii. Where the Downtown boundary falls within the Main Street, 100th Avenue NE or NE 12th Street right-of-way, the minimum setback from the Downtown boundary shall be landscaped according to the basic requirements and either Alternative A or B of the supplemental requirement.
  - (1) Basic Requirements (applicable in all cases):
    - (a) Must have a minimum width of 20 feet;
    - (b) Must abut and be within three feet in elevation of a sidewalk, so as to be visually and physically accessible;
    - (c) Must provide at least one sitting space for each 200 square feet of the perimeter setback area;
    - (d) May not be used for parking; vehicular access drives shall be kept to a minimum;
    - (e) Must be readily accessible to the public at all times;
    - (f) Must include seasonal color in an amount of at least 10 percent of the perimeter setback area;
    - (g) Must utilize native species for at least 50 percent of the plantings located within the perimeter setback area.
  - (2) Supplemental Requirements:
    - (a) Alternative A:
      - (i) Three deciduous trees, with a minimum caliper of three inches, per each 1,000 square feet of the perimeter setback area; and
      - (ii) Two flowering trees, with a minimum caliper of two inches, per each 1,000 square feet of perimeter setback area; and
      - (iii) Ten evergreen shrubs, minimum five-gallon size, per 1,000 square feet of the perimeter setback area; and
      - (iv) Any paved surfaces shall be no more than 10 percent of the perimeter setback area; and
      - (v) Planting area must either be raised or sloped. If raised, the planting area shall be surrounded by a wall with a minimum height of 18 inches and a maximum height of 24 inches to allow for sitting.
    - (b) Alternative B:
      - (i) Three deciduous trees, with a minimum caliper of three inches, per each 1,000 square feet of the perimeter setback area; and
      - (ii) Lawn greater than five feet in width or ground cover on at least 25 percent of the perimeter setback area; and

- (iii) Any paved surfaces shall be no more than 75 percent of the perimeter setback area; and
  - (iv) Paved areas shall use pervious pavement, brick, stone or tile in a pattern and texture that is level and slip-resistant; and
  - (v) Opportunities for pedestrian flow from the sidewalk shall be frequent and direct. Changes in grade between the linear buffer and sidewalk shall be accommodated by steps or terraces, rather than walls.
- iii. Where the Downtown boundary abuts property outside the Downtown other than right-of-way described in paragraph D.4.b.ii of this section, the minimum setback from the Downtown boundary (or perimeter property lines when the setback has been relocated pursuant to Note 10 of subsection 20.25A.090.D.2) shall be landscaped as follows:
- (1) The entire setback (20 feet) shall be planted. No portion may be paved except for vehicular entrance drives and required mid-block pedestrian connections.
  - (2) The setback must incorporate a berm having a minimum height of three and one-half feet.
  - (3) The setback must be planted with:
    - (a) Evergreen and deciduous trees, with no more than 30 percent deciduous, a minimum of 10 feet in height, at intervals no greater than 20 feet on center; and
    - (b) Evergreen shrubs, a minimum of two-gallon in size, at a spacing of three feet on center; and
    - (c) Living ground cover so that the entire remaining area will be covered in three years.
- c. Street Trees. Street trees required by LUC 20.25A.060.C along Main Street, 100th Avenue NE or NE 12th Street must be at least four inches in caliper.

#### 20.25A.110 Design Review Criteria

##### B. Downtown Patterns and Context.

[...]

#### 4. Landscape Design

[...]

- B. Encourage Require retention of significant existing vegetation, where it can be incorporated into efficient site design and maintained in a safe and healthful condition.

#### Part 20.25B Transition Area Design District

##### 20.25B.040 Development Standards

[...]

##### C. Landscaping, Open Space and Buffers

DRAFT Chapter 20.25 Special and Overlay Districts

041116

1. Landscaping. All landscaping shall comply with standards set forth in LUC 20.20.520. The provisions of LUC 20.20.520.J (Alternative Landscaping Option) are applicable and, in addition, may be used to modify up to 10 feet of required street frontage landscaping.
2. Buffer
  - a. A landscaped buffer, at least 20 feet in width, shall be provided along the entire street frontage where any portion of the street frontage is abutting a district receiving transition and along the interior property line abutting the district receiving transition. Where feasible, bioretention swales and planters may be located within landscape buffers.
  - b. All significant trees within 15 feet of the property line shall be retained as required by LUC 20.20.520.E.
  - c. The buffer shall be planted with the following, and shall include at least 50 percent native species in the required plantings:
    - i. Evergreen and deciduous trees, of which no more than 40 percent can be deciduous. There shall be a minimum of five trees per 1,000 square feet of buffer area, which shall be a minimum of 10 feet high at planting, along with the evergreen shrubs and living groundcover as described in paragraphs C.2.c.ii and iii of this section to effectively buffer development from adjacent residential properties; and
    - ii. Evergreen shrubs, a minimum 42 inches in height at planting, at a spacing no greater than three feet on center; and
    - iii. Living groundcover planted to cover the ground within three years; and
    - iv. Alternatively, where the street frontage landscaping will be planted to buffer a building elevation and not a parking area, driveway or site development other than a building, a lawn no less than five feet in width may be substituted for the shrubs and groundcover required in paragraphs C.2.c.ii and iii of this section; provided, that the soil in the entire area of lawn is amended in accordance with LUC 20.20.520.F.8. This paragraph does not apply in LI and GC Districts.
  - d. Where an LI, GC or CB zoned property abuts a residential district on an interior property line, an evergreen hedge a minimum of four feet in height at planting and capable of achieving a continued visual screen with a height of five feet within a three-year period or a combination of shrubs and fence shall be added within the required planting area to achieve the effect of a hedge.
  - e. Patios and other similar ground level features and trails may be incorporated into the buffer area, except that no more than 20 percent of the area may be used for such features. Patios shall not be located within 10 feet of the property line.

[...]

#### Part 20.25D Bel-Red

[...]

#### 20.25D.150 Design Guidelines

[...]

#### B. Character and Site Guidelines.

##### Purpose

These guidelines address the qualities that make the Bel-Red subarea unique. They consider what makes an area a special, distinct “place,” not simply a group of individual buildings and streets.

#### 1. Integrate the Natural Environment.

##### a. Intent.

Reinforce linkages and orient buildings to the Bel-Red Subarea’s natural and landscaped features.

##### b. Guideline.

Site and building design should capitalize on significant elements of the natural environment, Highland Community Park and planned park and open space, riparian corridors and wetlands. Designs should incorporate open space amenities for residents, employees and visitors. Depending on the location, this may be accomplished through integration of the natural environment with new development or providing a smooth transition between the natural and built environments.

##### c. Recommended.

- i. Active and passive gathering places and walkways oriented toward parks and open, natural spaces.
  - ii. Clear and convenient public access to open space amenities.
  - iii. Elements that engage the natural environment where the sight, sound and feel of nature can be directly experienced.
  - iv. Buildings sited to take maximum advantage of adjacent public amenities.
  - v. Walkways and plazas paved with high-quality materials (such as brick or stone), and other architectural elements that use materials, colors and forms that are harmonious with the natural surroundings.
- d. Not recommended.
- i. Buildings that turn their back on open space amenities.
  - ii. Stands of “native” planting schemes within large, automobile-oriented parking lots.

[...]

#### 4. Protect and Enhance Surface Water Resources.

##### a. Intent.

Conserve water quality, natural hydrology and habitat, and preserve biodiversity through protection of water bodies and wetlands.

##### b. Guideline.

Natural water systems regulate water supply, provide biological habitat and may provide recreational opportunities. Undeveloped ecosystems absorb the precipitation and convey only a small portion of rainfall as surface runoff. New and infill development should minimize disturbances to the on-site, adjacent, and regional natural water systems. Use of natural drainage practices are required unless infeasible.



- c. Recommended.
  - i. Grading and plan layout that captures and slows runoff.
  - ii. Pervious or semi-pervious surfaces that allow water to infiltrate soil.
  - ~~iii. On-site landscape based water treatment methods that treat rainwater runoff from all surfaces, including parking lots, roofs and sidewalks.~~
- d. Not Recommended.
  - i. Buried, piped or culverted stream channels.
  - ii. Water quality enhancement projects that detract from the urban character of the area.

[...]

### Part 20.25F Evergreen Highlands Design District

#### 20.25F.040 Site and Design Requirements

[...]

#### C. Design Requirements

[...]

- 2. Landscaping and Vegetation Preservation.
  - a. The applicant must provide landscaping between structures, as a setting for structures, and within and around parking areas. This landscaping must enhance the coordinated project design, and provide a pleasing environment between structures. All pervious surface must be landscaped, except those areas specified under other provisions of this Code for natural vegetation, or determined by the Technical Committee as desirable for retention in its natural state.
  - b. If landscaping is located between uses, the type and intensity of planting must reflect the variation in use category and intensity. The larger the variation, the more the planting must serve as a solid screen.
  - c. Particular attention must be given to street frontage landscaping which will visually separate the development from the street, and create a soft edge condition.
  - d. Landscaping shall create a setting which enhances pedestrian use of open space and which provides a sense of place and scale for the proposed development.
  - e. A significant number of trees at least 12 feet to 14 feet in height or two and one-half inches to three inches in caliper, in conformance with the American Standard for Nursery Stock, and predominantly evergreen, must be included in each planted area. Caliper is measured four feet above existing grade. Shrubs at least three and one-half feet in height along a parking area or site perimeter and at least two feet in height at any other location must be interspersed among the trees, and the majority of the remaining area planted with living ground cover so that the ground will be covered in three years.
  - f. Wherever practical and consistent with proposed site design, tree line and existing trees at least six inches in caliper must be retained. Caliper is measured four feet above existing grade. Tree protection techniques, approved by the Technical Committee must be utilized

during construction. Where changes in grade have occurred, permanent tree preservation methods, approved by the Technical Committee must be utilized.

- g. The applicant must install street trees at least three inches in caliper along the street frontage. Caliper is measured four feet above existing grade. The location and species installed are subject to approval of the Technical Committee.
- h. The applicant must install interior parking area landscaping equal to at least 10 percent of the area devoted to parking and circulation. Planting areas must be at least 100 square feet and no more than 1,000 square feet. The minimum dimension in any direction is four feet. Each planting area must contain at least one tree combined with shrubs and ground cover which meet the minimum size requirements of paragraph C.2.e of this section.
- i. The provisions of LUC 20.20.520 do not apply in the Evergreen Highlands Design District, except for those requirements contained in LUC 20.20.520.K and L.

[...]

4. Drainage

The applicant must submit a drainage plan consistent with the development standards of the City of Redmond and the City of Bellevue which produce the more protective drainage system as determined by the Redmond Public Works Director and the Bellevue Utilities Director. [The use of LID stormwater management techniques is required unless infeasible.](#)

[...]

**Part 20.25F1 Factoria 1**

**20.25F1.070 Sidewalks and Pedestrian Paths**

A. Perimeter Sidewalks.

- 1. Minimum Width. The minimum width of perimeter street sidewalks shall be 12 feet inclusive of the planter strip plus six inches for curb, except as necessary to retain mature trees pursuant to paragraph A.2.e below.
- 2. Street Trees And Planter Strip Design.
  - a. Installation. The property owner shall install street trees and planter strips, in addition to any landscaping required by LUC 20.25F1.050, pursuant to the City of Bellevue Environmental Best Management Practices and Design Standards, now or as hereafter amended. Street tree and planter strips shall be irrigated. Appropriate tree species will be determined through the Master Development Plan process.
  - b. Location. The area in which planter strips are installed must be located between the street and the sidewalk unless precluded by existing utilities which cannot reasonably be relocated or as necessary to retain mature trees pursuant to paragraph A.2.e below.
  - c. Design. Required street trees should be placed in predominantly continuous planter strips together with shrubbery, ground cover and other plantings approved by the Director. The area in which street trees are planted must be at least four feet wide by six feet wide. Vegetation approved for a planter strip must be compatible with the F1 Design Guidelines

for the development area within which the planter strip is located. A street planter strip may also include decorative paving and other plant materials except turf. Where feasible, bioretention swales and planters may be located within the planter strip.

- d. Size and Spacing. Large growing deciduous street trees, at least three inches in caliper or as approved by the Director, shall be planted at least three feet from the street curb, and a maximum of 30 feet on center, and shall conform to the sight distance requirements of BCC 14.60.240.
  - e. Mature Tree Retention. The existing mature street trees located on the perimeter street frontages shall be maintained to the extent feasible. Sidewalks and planter strips may be reduced and/or relocated to the back of sidewalk if necessary to accommodate retention of the mature trees.
- B. On-Site Sidewalks.
1. Minimum Width. The minimum width of on-site street sidewalks shall be 12 feet inclusive of the street tree planting wells.
  2. Street Trees and Plantings.
    - a. Installation. The property owner shall install street trees and plantings, in addition to any landscaping required by LUC 20.25F1.050, pursuant to the City of Bellevue Environmental Best Management Practices and Design Standards, now or as hereafter amended. Street trees and required landscaping shall be irrigated. Appropriate tree species will be determined through the Master Development Plan process.
    - b. Location. Street trees shall be planted in a continuous, rhythmic pattern. Street trees must be located between the street and the sidewalk.
    - c. Design. Required street trees shall be planted in tree pits with grates. The area in which street trees are planted must be at least four feet wide by six feet wide. Where stormwater facilities are used in conjunction with tree pits, removable grates shall be utilized.
    - d. Size and Spacing. Small growing pedestrian-scale deciduous street trees, at least three inches in caliper or as approved by the Director, shall be planted at least three feet from the street curb, and a maximum of 25 feet on center, and shall conform to the sight distance requirements of BCC 14.60.240.
- C. Pedestrian Paths.
1. Minimum Width. The minimum width of pedestrian paths shall be 12 feet inclusive of the planter strip. Parking spaces adjacent to pedestrian paths must be designed to ensure that the minimum sidewalk width is maintained free of vehicle encroachments.
  2. Location. Pedestrian path locations and phasing shall be determined through the Master Development Plan process consistent with the site design guidelines.
  3. Landscape Strips.
    - a. Installation. The property owner shall install trees and plantings, in addition to any landscaping required by LUC 20.25F1.050, pursuant to the City of Bellevue Environmental Best Management Practices and Design Standards, now or as hereafter amended. Tree and planter strips shall be irrigated.

- b. Design. Appropriate tree species and landscaping shall be determined through the Master Development Plan process. Street trees, shrubbery, ground cover and other plantings approved by the Director shall be provided in continuous planter strips along the length of a pedestrian path. The area in which street trees are planted must be at least four feet wide by six feet wide. Vegetation approved for a planter strip must be compatible with the F1 Design Guidelines for the development area within which the planter strip is located. (Ord. 5726, 3-19-07, § 3)

#### Part 20.25H Critical Areas Overlay District

##### 20.25H.080 Performance standards.

###### D. General.

Development on sites with a type S or F stream or associated critical area buffer shall incorporate the following performance standards in design of the development, as applicable:

[...]

4. Toxic runoff from new impervious area shall be routed away from the stream.
5. Treated water may be allowed to enter the stream critical area buffer.
6. The outer edge of the stream critical area buffer shall be planted with dense vegetation to limit pet or human use. Preference shall be given to native species.

#### Part 20.25J Medical Institution District

##### 20.25J.070 Streetscape Design Requirements

###### A. Sidewalks

[...]

###### 2. Street Trees and Plantings

- a. The property owner shall install street trees and plantings, in addition to any landscaping required by LUC 20.25J.060. Appropriate tree species will be determined through the Master Development Plan or Design Review where Master Development Plan approval is not required.
- b. The area in which street plantings are installed must be located between the street and the sidewalk unless precluded by existing utilities which cannot reasonably be relocated. Required street trees together with shrubbery, groundcover and other approved plantings must be placed in a planter strip along the length of the frontage. Where feasible, bioretention swales and planters may be located within the planter strip. The planter strip must be at least four feet wide unless a smaller strip is approved by the Director. Vegetation included in the planter strip shall be urban in character, shall be compatible with other plantings within the property and along the same street, and shall reflect the character of the area in which they are planted. Designs should prioritize the selection of native plant species.
- c. Street trees, at least three inches in caliper or as approved by the Director, must be planted at least three feet from the street curb, and a maximum of 25 feet on center, unless upon request of the applicant minor modification of this requirement is approved

by the Director, and conforms to the sight distance requirements of BCC 14.60.240. A street tree planting area may also include decorative paving and other plant materials except turf.

- d. Street trees and plantings shall be irrigated.

## Purpose

The purpose of this handout is to clarify the definition of “landmark tree” pursuant to Bellevue Land Use Code (LUC) Chapter 20.20.900, Tree Retention and Replacement for the purpose of determining thresholds for “rare, uncommon, unique or exceptional” trees on sites undergoing development, in order to establish appropriate tree protection measures.

## Background

Bellevue LUC Chapter 20.20.900, Tree Retention and Replacement, provides means for protecting trees in Bellevue. Under this chapter, landmark trees are given priority for retention. Landmark trees are defined in Bellevue LUC 20.50 as:

“Certain significant trees are considered landmark trees based on their size, species, condition, cultural/historic importance or age. The Director shall specify thresholds for trees to be considered for landmark status.”

This handout provides clarification for determining trees that should be considered for landmark status as well as the standards and procedures for marking this determination.

## Size Thresholds

Trees with a diameter at breast height (dbh), defined in this handout, that is equal to or greater than the threshold diameters listed in Table 1 are considered landmark unless they fail to meet the risk criteria discussed in the following section. For all species not listed in Table 1, the threshold diameter is 30” or 65% of the largest documented diameter for a tree of that species in Washington, whichever is less, as noted in *Champion Trees of Washington State* by Robert Van Pelt.

## Measurement of Tree Diameter

Diameter at breast height (dbh), which means the diameter of a tree trunk measured at 4.5 feet above average grade, is used in determining the diameter of existing trees.

Where a tree has a branch(es) or swelling that interferes with measurement at 4.5 feet above average grade or where a tree tapers below this point, the diameter is measured at the most narrow point below 4.5 feet. For trees located on a slope, the 4.5 feet is measured from the average of the highest and lowest ground points or, on very steep slopes where this is not possible, the lowest practical point on the uphill side. Where a tree splits into several trunks close to ground level, the dbh for the tree is the square root of the sum of the dbh for each individual stem squared (example with 3 stems:  

$$dbh = \sqrt{[(stem1)^2 + (stem2)^2 + (stem3)^2]}$$

## Risk Assessment

Trees that meet the size threshold discussed above shall be considered landmark trees unless DSD finds that the tree or trees should be removed based on a risk assessment produced by a qualified professional. In making this determination, a qualified professional will consider crown size, structure, disease, past maintenance practice, potential damage to existing or future targets, risk mitigation options, and, when development is proposed, the likelihood of survival after construction. DSD shall determine if alternative development locations can mitigate potential hazards due to construction.

To undertake tree risk assessment as part of a development application, a qualified professional shall have a minimum of 3 years’ experience in tree evaluation and shall have worked directly with the protection of trees during construction, as well as having one of the following credentials:

- Society of American Foresters (SAF) Certified Forester;
- International Society of Arborists (ISA) Certified Arborist with Tree Risk Assessor Qualification.

## Sources

*Champion Trees of Washington State*, 1996, by Robert Van Pelt.

**Table 1: Size Thresholds for Common and Native Bellevue Trees to be considered for landmark status.**

Species	Threshold Diameter
<b>Native Species</b>	
Oregon ASH – <i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	24 in
CASCARA – <i>Rhamnus purshiana</i>	8 in
Western Red CEDAR – <i>Thuja plicata</i>	30 in
Pacific CRABAPPLE – <i>Malus fusca</i>	12 in
Pacific DOGWOOD – <i>Cornus nuttallii</i>	8 in
Douglas FIR – <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	30 in
Grand FIR – <i>Abies grandis</i>	24 in
Black HAWTHORN – <i>Crataegus douglasii</i>	8 in
Western HEMLOCK – <i>Tsuga heterophylla</i>	24 in
MADRONA – <i>Arbutus menziesii</i>	8 in
Bigleaf MAPLE – <i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	30 in
Dwarf or Rocky Mountain MAPLE – <i>Acer glabrum</i> var. <i>Douglasii</i>	8 in
Vine MAPLE – <i>Acer circinatum</i>	8 in
Oregon White or Garry OAK – <i>Quercus garryana</i>	8 in
Lodgepole PINE – <i>Pinus contorta</i>	8 in
Shore PINE – <i>Pinus contorta</i> 'contorta'	12 in
Western White PINE – <i>Pinus monticola</i>	24 in
Western SERVICEBERRY – <i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>	8 in
Sitka SPRUCE – <i>Picea sitchensis</i>	8 in
Pacific YEW – <i>Taxus brevifolia</i>	8 in
<b>Non-native Species</b>	
Orchard (Common) APPLE – <i>Malus</i> sp.	20 in
Atlas CEDAR – <i>Cedrus atlantica</i>	30 in
Deodor CEDAR – <i>Cedrus deodara</i>	30 in
Incense CEDAR – <i>Calocedrus decurrens</i>	30 in
Flowering CHERRY – <i>Prunus</i> sp. ( <i>serrula</i> , <i>serrulata</i> , <i>sargentii</i> , <i>subhirtella</i> , <i>yedoensis</i> )	23 in
Lawson CYPRESS – <i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>	30 in
Kousa DOGWOOD – <i>Cornus kousa</i>	12 in
Eastern DOGWOOD – <i>Cornus florida</i>	12 in
American ELM – <i>Ulmus Americana</i>	30 in
English ELM – <i>Ulmus procera</i>	30 in
GINGKO – <i>Ginkgo biloba</i>	24 in
Common HAWTHORN – <i>Crataegus laevigata</i>	16 in
Washington HAWTHORN – <i>Crataegus phaenopyrum</i>	9 in
European HORNBEAM – <i>Carpinus betulus</i>	16 in
Common HORSE CHESTNUT – <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>	30 in
Red HORSE CHESTNUT – <i>Aesculus x carnea</i>	30 in
KATSURA – <i>Cercidiphyllum japonicum</i>	30 in
Littleleaf LINDEN – <i>Tilia cordata</i>	30 in
Honey LOCUST – <i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i>	20 in
Southern MAGNOLIA – <i>Magnolia grandiflora</i>	16 in
Paperbark MAPLE – <i>Acer griseum</i>	12 in
Japanese MAPLE – <i>Acer palmatum</i>	12 in
Norway MAPLE – <i>Acer platanoides</i>	30 in
Red MAPLE – <i>Acer rubrum</i>	25 in
Sugar MAPLE – <i>Acer saccharum</i>	30 in
Sycamore MAPLE – <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i>	24 in
MONKEY PUZZLE TREE – <i>Araucaria araucana</i>	22 in
Pin OAK – <i>Quercus palustris</i>	30 in
Red OAK – <i>Quercus rubra</i>	30 in

**Commented [FD1]:** On this table a 28" Douglas Fir is not a "Landmark Tree" but an 8" Vine Maple is. Seems off.

Austrian Black PINE – <i>Pinus nigra</i>	24 in
Coastal REDWOOD – <i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	30 in
Giant SEQUOIA – <i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	30 in

DRAFT



Ponderosa PINE – <i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	30 in
Scot's PINE – <i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	24 in
London PLANE – <i>Platanus acerifolia</i>	30 in
Flowering PLUM – <i>Prunus cerasifera</i>	21 in
Coastal REDWOOD – <i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	30 in
Giant SEQUOIA – <i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i>	30 in
Japanese SNOWBELL – <i>Styrax japonica</i>	12 in
American SWEETGUM – <i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	27 in
TULIP TREE – <i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	30 in

---

This document is intended to provide guidance in applying certain Land Use Code regulations and is for informational use only. It cannot be used as a substitute for the Land Use Code or for other city codes, such as the Construction Codes. Additional information is available from Development Services at Bellevue City Hall or on the city website at [www.bellevuewa.gov](http://www.bellevuewa.gov).

For land use regulations that may apply to your project, contact the Land Use Information Desk in Development Services. Phone: 425-452-4188. E-mail: [landusereview@bellevuewa.gov](mailto:landusereview@bellevuewa.gov). Assistance for the hearing impaired: dial 711.

---

DRAFT



DATE: June 2, 2016

TO: Planning Commission

FROM: Trish Byers, Code Development Manager 452-4241  
Development Services Department  
Terry Cullen, Comprehensive Planning Manager 452-4070  
Planning and Community Development

SUBJECT: Land Use Code Amendment from Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project implementing the Citizen Advisory Committee's recommendations to create three new districts including a transit-oriented development district, an expanded version of Office and Limited Business and a Neighborhood Mixed Use district. Use tables, dimensional requirements, development standards and design guidelines will be adopted for each new district. The recommendation also includes rezoning other areas to districts that already exist. Some concomitant agreements will be repealed with the rezoning effort. File No. 12-132861AD

## **I. BACKGROUND**

### **A. Process.**

The Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project was initiated to evaluate land use and transportation conditions, policies and regulation in the corridor and to produce a plan that builds on the area's assets. These assets include accessibility, visibility, job diversity and the stability of nearby residential neighborhoods. The area is home to a number of Fortune 500 companies, Bellevue College, and the Eastgate Park and Ride. In addition, the Mountains to Sound Greenway runs through the corridor, which offers multi-modal transportation opportunities to those who live, work, and play in the area.

The Eastgate /I-90 Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) went through a planning process from November 2010 through April 2012. That process included monthly meetings, several public open houses, online surveys, stakeholder interviews, presentations to interest groups and website updates. The Council provided guidance to the CAC in the 9 principles specific to the Eastgate / I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project Initiative. See Attachment B. Using the year 2030 as the planning horizon, the CAC created a plan to:

- Provide a more coherent identity for the area,
- Improve its economic vitality and character and
- Ensure that that area has adequate transportation infrastructure and services to meet changing community needs.

To implement the CAC's vision, amendments to Bellevue's Comprehensive Plan, Transportation Plan and Land Use Code would be required. The Comprehensive Plan Update in August 2015 included updates to the Eastgate Subarea Plan that reflected the CAC's recommendations. In addition, the Transportation Facilities Plan 2016-2027 and Capital Improvements Plan 2015-2021 were updated to reflect the recommendations necessary to address the CAC's

transportation concerns.<sup>1</sup> This Land Use Code Amendment is the third piece necessary for implementation of the CAC's vision.

After the conclusion of the CAC's work, the Eastgate Subarea Plan was amended to reflect the CAC's vision. The updated subarea plan has the following characteristics:

- It builds on the success of the corridor as a major employment center by adding capacity for additional office growth and allowing a greater mix of support retail and service uses.
- It establishes a mixed-use Transit-Oriented Development center around the transit center and south of Bellevue College. A substantial portion of the future office and residential growth in the corridor is expected to occur at this location.
- It increases opportunities for residential development in the corridor, to add vibrancy to the area, provide housing in proximity to Bellevue College and places of work, benefit from existing transit service, and support nearby retail uses.
- It seeks to enhance Bellevue College's visual presence and connections to the adjacent community.
- It promotes the Mountains-to-Sound Greenway by supporting the development of the Mountains-to-Sound Greenway trail through Bellevue and by incorporating sustainable design and abundant natural landscaping into the built environment.
- It identifies modest but effective motorized and non-motorized transportation improvements that may be accomplished through partnerships with other agencies.
- It supports increased floor area ratios and building heights throughout the corridor to meet demand for continued job and economic growth.

The Eastgate Draft Land Use Code Amendments are based on the CAC's recommendations and the Comprehensive Plan. Refer to Attachment A for the Eastgate Draft Land Use Code Amendments.

After the CAC completed its work and a final report had been written, the Planning Commission scheduled five meetings in 2015 to discuss the land use code amendments. The first meetings with the Planning Commission oriented them to the work of the CAC, and included a walking tour of the area chosen for the transit oriented development. In 2016, the Planning Commission held five meetings to consider considered the following topics as they related to three new districts: Permitted uses, dimensional requirements, development standards, and design guidelines. They also discussed some of the concomitant agreements<sup>2</sup> that would be removed by rezoning parcels.

A list of public comments received by the Planning Commission in provided in Attachment C.

---

<sup>1</sup> A more detailed discussion of the transportation impacts can be found in the Planning Commission materials for March 23, 2016. <http://www.bellevuewa.gov/planning-commission-agendas-2016.htm>

<sup>2</sup> A concomitant agreement is a form of land use control that was applied prior to the authorization of the use of development agreements by the state legislature in 1995. By using a concomitant agreement, communities could condition development by applying property specific provisions at the time of zoning or rezoning. Development provisions within the agreement are applied to the property until the concomitant is amended or repealed.

## **B. Amendment Description**

The draft Eastgate Land Use Code Amendments are included in Attachment A. The amendments are organized under the three new districts that that are summarized below. They are the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District (EG-TOD), the Office Limited Business District 2 Land Use District (OLB 2), and the Neighborhood Mixed Use Land Use District (NMU):

### **1. Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District (EG-TOD).**

**a. Location.** The EG-TOD will be located between Bellevue College and Eastgate Way adjacent to the Eastgate Park and Ride. See Attachment D.

**b. CAC Recommendation.** The CAC recommended a transportation oriented development center with a mixture of office residential and retail uses, true integration of transit services, inviting pedestrian environment, and a strong relationship with Bellevue College. Any development over .5 Floor Area Ratio (FAR) should have ground floor retail, a master plan, substantial residential component and the provide public benefit. The CAC recommended a maximum 2.0 FAR and a building height of 10-12 stories.

**c. Description.** The purpose of the TOD District is to provide an area for a mix of housing, retail, office, and service uses, with an emphasis on housing. The district is limited in area so that there is an appropriate level of density nearest the highest levels of transit service.

**d. Uses.** (Attachment A, proposed LUC section 20.25P.030)

#### **Manufacturing.**

- Microbreweries would be allowed when combined with an eating and drinking establishment which constitutes a majority of the use.
- Textiles and Apparel Manufacturing would be allowed when combined with retail store which constitutes a majority of the use.

#### **Recreation, Culture, and Entertainment.**

- Most recreational uses would be permitted outright or with an Administrative Conditional Use Permit (ACUP), except that public assembly uses would be limited to 20,000 square feet.

#### **Residential.**

- Most residential uses would be allowed in this district. Group quarters such as dormitories would require a Conditional Use Permit (CUP).
- Transient Lodging such as youth hostels or the YMCA would require an ACUP.

#### **Resources.**

- Veterinary Clinic and Hospital would be allowed. Boarding and commercial kennels are allowed as subordinate uses. Their operating hours would be from 7 a.m. to 10 p.m.

**Services.**

- Child Day Care Center would be allowed.
- Auto washing and detailing within a garage would be allowed.
- Medical Clinics would be allowed.
- Pet Grooming and Day Care would be allowed.
- Primary and Secondary Education would require an ACUP.

**Transportation and Utilities.**

- Some uses would require a CUP such as Essential Public Facilities, Regional Utility Systems, and Electrical Utility Facilities.

**Wholesale and Retail.**

- Eating and Drinking Establishments would be allowed outright, but drive-in windows would not be allowed.
- Miscellaneous Retail Trade would be allowed but drive through facilities such as drive-through pharmacies would only be allowed in a structured parking area.
- Pet Shops would be allowed.

**e. Dimensional Requirements.** (Attachment A, proposed LUC section 20.25P.060)

- Façade Separation – 10 feet
- Front Setback – 0 feet
- Side/Rear Yard - 5 feet
- FAR - 2.0 (Up to 1.0 FAR excepted for Affordable Housing, Open Space, Public Restrooms, Special Dedications and Transfers)
- Maximum Building Height-160 ft. except 45 ft. maximum for parking structures
- Maximum Lot Coverage by Structures -75%<sup>3</sup>
- Maximum Impervious Surface -75%<sup>4</sup>

**f. Development Standards.** (Attachment A, proposed LUC section 20.25P.070-.090)

i. Landscaping

- The general landscaping requirements apply with respect to types of landscaping, species selection, and maintenance.
- Street trees/landscaping are proposed for the EG-TOD.
- Interior property line softened with landscaping.

ii. Fencing

- No sight obstruction.
- No barbed wire, electric or chain link (except construction or temporary use).

---

<sup>3</sup> This percentage was modeled after BelRed, but will ultimately be aligned with the Low Impact Development project.

<sup>4</sup> This percentage was modeled after BelRed, but will ultimately be aligned with the Low Impact Development project.

iii. Parking

- Requirements are modeled after nodes in Bel-Red which are essentially transit-oriented development.
- Requirements are reduced from those in other parts of the City as recommended by CAC. The idea behind transit oriented development is to provide other transportation opportunities so that fewer cars and less parking is necessary.
- Parking requirements may be modified by the Director if the applicant provides a parking demand analysis.

iv. Bicycle parking

- 1 per 10,000 net square feet (nsf) of nonresidential uses over 20,000 nsf.
- 1 per 10 dwelling units.
- 50% of spaces must be covered.

**g. Design Guidelines.** (Attachment A, proposed LUC section 20.25P.100.)

i. Integrate the Natural Environment. Development should provide:

- Access to open space.
- Views of urban elements against green backdrop.
- Access points to MTS Greenway.
- Architectural elements that harmonize with natural surroundings.
- MTS access points and complementary open spaces.
- Green walls and roofs, rain gardens.
- Promotion of environmental sustainability.

ii. Enhance Pedestrian System. Development should:

- Pedestrian hill climb and plaza that connects EG-TOD and Bellevue College.
- Pedestrian routes that are safely integrated with streets.
- Pedestrian access connections shall be linked to public right of way, EG Park and Ride and all areas of EG-TOD.
- Walkways should be sufficiently wide, unobstructed, offer visibility, paved with high quality materials, and lit with pedestrian scale lighting.

iii. Establish and Strengthen Gateways. Development should provide:

- Architectural treatments in buildings adjacent to gateways.
- Free standing architectural elements.
- Signage, landscaping, and lighting to identify gateways.
- Markers or inlaid art in sidewalks.

iv. Create a Variety of Activated Outdoor Spaces. Development should provide:

- Walkways and courtyards should be incorporated into residential and office development.
- Courtyards and plazas should be adjacent to active ground floor uses.
- Public spaces should be defined with materials such as furniture pavers, colored concrete.

- Plantings and buildings can define the open space.
  - Easy access to outdoor spaces.
- v. Pedestrian Emphasis Guidelines. Development should provide:
- Building entrances that are accessible from the street level.
  - Transparent windows at street level.
  - Walls with visual interest through form and materials.
  - Selection and coordination of streetscape furnishing.
  - Signs and lighting at ground level at pedestrian scale.
- vi. Protect Pedestrians from the Elements. Development should provide continuous weather protection - metal canopies, marquees, canopies, awnings.
- vii. Integrate art. Development should:
- Incorporate art that relates to TOD characteristics.
  - Use art that emphasizes gateways.
  - Uses durable materials.
  - Ensures that the art will age well.
  - Uses art that is designed for the site and is functional or interactive.
- viii. Promote Architectural Compatibility.
- Architectural elements used at a scale and level of detailing proportionate to the size of the building.
  - Refuse/recycling receptacles should be inside the building.
- iv. Provide Interesting Building Massing.
- Long expanses of building frontage must be broken down vertically and vertically (about 50 feet for nonresidential uses).
  - Tripartite façade division- base, middle, and top should be used for buildings over 5 stories.
  - Vertical articulation of windows columns and bays.
  - Building massing should maximize solar access to publicly accessible open spaces.
- v. Create Attractive Building Silhouettes and Rooflines. Development should have:
- If visible from I-90, a distinctive silhouette to announce entry into Bellevue.
  - Vertical expression of important building functions.
  - Varied roof line heights.
  - Green roofs or rooftop terraces.
- vi. Foster Attractive Rooftops. Development should provide:
- Green roofs and rooftop terraces.
  - Reduce and treat stormwater runoff.

- Rooftop mechanical equipment should not be visible and should be full screened and integrated in the buildings architectural style.
- v. Promote Welcoming Residential Entries. Lobby entries and ground floor individual entries should provide:
- Weather protection.
  - Transparent doors windows or glazing (and transom windows or side lights).
  - Double or multiple doors.
  - Visibility and security.
- vi. Design Inviting Retail, Office and Commercial Entries
- Entries on street frontage and to each tenant space.
  - Doors with 50% window area or more.
  - Lighting that emphasizes entries.
  - Large café or restaurant doors that open to the street.
- vii. Activate and Emphasize Corners
- Primary building entrance at corner
  - Weather protection, special paving and lighting
- viii. Provide Inviting Ground Floor Retail and Commercial Windows
- Clear window glazing with visual access to activity.
  - Operable and transom windows.
- ix. Build Compatible Parking Structures and Surface Parking
- Structures should have habitable ground floor space if fronting on a local street.
  - Structures visible from I-90 should have green walls or other screening.
  - Surface parking should be located behind building.
  - Parking areas should be screened by landscaping.
- x. Lighting Guidelines. (For this guideline and the following two guidelines, please refer to Attachment A)
- xi. Integrate Building Lighting.
- xii. Sign Guidelines.

## **2. Office Limited Business 2 (OLB 2).**

**a. Location.** Parcels that will be rezoned to OLB 2 are located in three general areas: north of I-90 and east of 148<sup>th</sup> Ave. S.E., south of I-90 and east of Eastgate Plaza, and south of I-90 and west of 150<sup>th</sup> Ave. S.E. See Attachment D.

**b. CAC Recommendation.** The CAC recommendation focused on the I-90 Office Park which comprises the corridor's greatest concentration of office development and largest employment center. This district is has an expanded mix of retail and service uses from



Office and Limited Business to serve those who are employed in the area. The CAC recommended a maximum FAR of 1.0 and a maximum height of 4 to 6 stories.

**c. Description.** The purpose of the OLB 2 District is to provide an area of integrated complexes made up of offices, hotels, or motels, eating and drinking establishments, and retail sales within walking distance to support business and employees. The OLB 2 District has greater intensity and a larger mix of uses than the OLB District. Such districts are located in areas that abut and have convenient access to freeways, major highways, and transit. (Attachment A, proposed LUC section 20.10.285)

**d. Uses** (Attachment A, proposed LUC section 20.10.440)

**Manufacturing.**

- Microbreweries would be allowed when combined with an eating and drinking establishment which constitutes a majority of the use.
- Measuring, Analyzing and Controlling Instruments Manufacturing would be allowed when combined with retail store which constitutes a majority of the use.

**Recreation, Culture, and Entertainment.**

- Most recreational uses would be allowed except that public assembly uses would be limited to 20,000 square feet.

**Residential.**

- All residential uses would be permitted outright except for single family dwellings and nursing homes which would not be permitted.

**Resources.**

- Veterinary Clinics and Hospitals would be allowed and would be allowed to have boarding and commercial kennels as subordinate uses. They would be required to open to the public between 7 a.m. and 10 p.m.

**Services.**

- Finance Insurance, Personal Services, Child Day Care, Business Services, and Small Repair Services would all be allowed.
- Professional Services would be allowed.
- Pet Grooming and Pet Day Care would be allowed and boarding and commercial kennels would be allowed as a subordinate use.
- Some government services, secondary and primary educational uses would require an ACU.
- Religious Activities would be allowed.
- Social Service Providers would be allowed.

**Transportation and Utilities.**

- Utility Facility, Essential Public Facility, and Regional Utility Systems would require a CUP.
- Commercial Parking Lots would require a CUP and could only be located west of 142<sup>nd</sup> Ave. S.E.

**Wholesale and Retail.**

- Hardware, Variety, Food, Apparel and Furniture Stores would all be allowed.
- Retail auto, truck, RB and boat showrooms would be allowed through a development agreement. No outdoor storage of autos, trucks, boats and RVs would be allowed.
- Motorcycle sales would be allowed, however outdoor storage would not be permitted.
- Eating and Drinking Establishments would be allowed, but drive through windows would not be allowed.

**e. Dimensional Requirements.** (Attachment A, LUC section 20.20.010)

- Front Setback – 0
- FAR – 1.0
- Maximum Building Height (feet) – 75
- Maximum Lot Coverage by Structure – 40%<sup>5</sup>
- Maximum Impervious Surface – 80%<sup>6</sup>

**f. Development Standards.**

i. Landscaping (Attachment A, LUC 20.20.520) Perimeter landscaping is a Type III<sup>7</sup>, 10 foot wide landscape buffer applies on street frontage and interior property lines unless the Transitional Area Design District applies because the parcel is adjacent to a residential district. (See Attachment A, LUC Part 20.25B)

ii. All other Development Standards. Since the OLB 2 is a district that could be applied citywide, all of the other citywide development standards would apply including landscaping, parking, and circulation.

**g. Design Guidelines. (Attachment A, Part 20.25C LUC)**

i. Integrate the Natural Environment

- Protect and incorporate natural environments and connections.
- Maximize retention of existing vegetation
- Promote environmental sustainability in features such as LID, green walls, and green roofs.

---

<sup>5</sup> This provision may change with the Low Impact Development Project.

<sup>6</sup> This percentage may decrease to 60% with the Low Impact Development Project.

<sup>7</sup> Type III landscaping is intended to provide visual separation of uses from streets, and visual separation of compatible uses so as to soften the appearance of streets, parking areas and building elevations. It is comprised of deciduous and evergreen trees and shrubs.

- ii. Promote Architectural Compatibility (with the quality and character of the area)
  - Architectural elements at a scale and level of detailing proportionate to building.
  - Elements should be sensitive to and enhance surrounding area.
  - Multi-site development should have a unity of design.
  - Fences, walls refuse and receptacles should be consistent with scale and architectural design of primary structure.
- iii. Promote Community Gathering
  - Incorporate outdoor gathering spaces near active ground floor uses.
  - Landscaping should defined spaces, walkways and amenities.
  - Incorporate public art.
- iv. Build Compatible Parking Structures and Lots
  - Surface parking located behind building.
  - Parking areas should be designed to minimize pedestrian/car conflicts.
  - Parking areas should be landscaped.
  - Parking areas along street or pathway must be screened.
  - Bicycle parking shall be provided.
- v. Design Welcoming Entries
  - Street frontage should have entries.
  - Entrances at frequent intervals for retail.
  - Weather protection.
  - Transparent doors.
  - Double or multiple doors.
  - Visibility and security.
  - Lighting that emphasizes entrances.
- iv. Promote Visually Interesting and Inviting Windows

### 3. Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU).

**a. Location.** The parcels that will be rezoned NMU are located in or near Eastgate Plaza. See Attachment D.

**b. CAC Recommendation** Many different uses are encouraged including office, retail, eating and drinking establishments, general commercial, lodging and multi-family residential. All of these uses are to serve the surrounding neighborhoods. The vision for the district is to feature a highly visible and attractive multistory mixed use development with structured or inconspicuously located parking. The CAC recommended a maximum FAR of 1.0 with 4 to 6 stories if the surrounding single family residences can be protected from development impacts.

**c. Description.** The purpose of the NMU District is to provide an area with a mix of retail, service, office and residential uses with an emphasis on neighborhood retail and service uses. This district is designed to be compatible with nearby neighborhoods and is easily accessible from the nearby office and residential uses. (See Attachment A, proposed LUC section 20.10.350).

**d. Uses.**

**Manufacturing.**

- Microbreweries would be allowed when combined with an eating and drinking establishment which constitutes a majority of the use.
- Handcrafted Products Manufacturing would be allowed when combined with retail store which constitutes a majority of the use.

**Recreation, Culture, and Entertainment.**

- Most recreational uses would be allowed except that public assembly uses would be limited to 20,000 square feet.

**Residential.**

- Most residential uses are allowed except for single family residences.
- Group quarters such as dormitories would require a conditional use permit.
- Multi-family dwellings and senior citizen dwellings are allowed only if located on the second floor and above the permitted ground floor nonresidential use.

**Resources.**

- Veterinary Clinics and Hospitals would be allowed and would be allowed to have boarding and commercial kennels as subordinate uses. They would be required to open to the public between 7 a.m. and 10 p.m.

**Services.**

- Finance Insurance, Personal Services, Child Day Care, Business Services, and Small Repair Services would all be allowed.
- Professional Services would be allowed.
- Pet Grooming and Pet Day Care would be allowed and boarding and commercial kennels would be allowed as a subordinate use.
- Some government services, secondary and primary educational uses would require an ACUP.
- Religious Activities would be allowed.
- Social Service Providers would be allowed.

**Transportation and Utilities.**

- Utility Facility, Essential Public Facility, and Regional Utility Systems would require a CUP.
- Commercial Parking Lots and Garages would not be permitted.

**Wholesale and Retail.**

- Hardware, Variety, Food, Apparel and Furniture Stores would all be allowed.
- Eating and Drinking Establishments would be allowed, but drive through windows would not be allowed.

**e. Dimensional Requirements.** (See Attachment A, LUC section 20.10.010)

- Front Setback – 0
- FAR – 1.0 (up to 1.0 FAR is excepted for Affordable Housing)
- Maximum Building Height (feet) – 75
- Maximum Lot Coverage by Structure – 40%<sup>8</sup>
- Maximum Impervious Surface – 80%<sup>9</sup>

**f. Development Standards.**

i. Landscaping (Attachment A, LUC section 20.20.520). Perimeter landscaping is a Type III<sup>10</sup>, 10 foot wide landscape buffer on the street frontage and a Type III, 10 foot wide on interior property lines unless the Transitional Area Design District applies because the parcel is adjacent to a residential district. (See Attachment A, LUC Part 20.25B LUC)

ii. All other Development Standards. Since the NMU is a district that could be applied citywide, all of the other citywide development standards would apply including landscaping, parking, and circulation.

**g. Design Guidelines.** (Attachment A, LUC Part 20.25I LUC)

NMU is incorporated into the Community Retail Design District (CRDD). The CRDD already has building and site design guidelines and design standards. The proposed design guidelines are NMU-specific and are in addition to those applied to other districts in the CRDD.

i. Integrate the Natural Environment

- Orient open spaces and walkways around parks and open, natural spaces.
- Convenient access to open space.
- Access points to local and regional trails.
- Maximize retention of existing vegetation.
- Promote environmental sustainability in design features-green roofs, LID, green walls.

ii. Promote Community Gathering

- Incorporate outdoor gathering spaces near active ground floor uses.
- Landscaping should defined spaces, walkways and amenities.
- Incorporate public art.

---

<sup>8</sup> This percentage may change to be aligned with the recommendations of the Low Impact Development Project.

<sup>9</sup> This percentage may decrease to 60% with the Low Impact Development Project.

<sup>10</sup> Type III landscaping is intended to provide visual separation of uses from streets, and visual separation of compatible uses so as to soften the appearance of streets, parking areas and building elevations. It is comprised of deciduous and evergreen trees and shrubs.

- iii. Build Compatible Parking Structures and Lots
  - Surface parking located behind building (see also design requirements for actual structure).
  - Parking areas should be designed to minimize pedestrian/car conflicts.
  - Parking areas should be landscaped.
  - Parking areas along street or pathway must be screened.
- iv. Enhance the Pedestrian System
  - Minimal curb cuts.
  - Safe convenient pleasant pedestrian connections to transit.
  - Traffic and emergency access and evacuation.
  - Direct walking routes.
  - Internal streets shall have street trees and sidewalks.
  - Weather protection of building walkway at entrances.
- v. Provide Interesting Building Massing
  - Break down long masses of building frontage both vertically and horizontally.
  - Buildings over 5 stories shall have vertically articulated tripartite façade division - base, middle and top
  - Vertical articulation of windows, columns and bays
- vi. Create Attractive Building Silhouettes and Rooflines
  - Visible from I-90 should have distinctive silhouette.
  - Incorporate a combination of:
    - Vertical expressions of important building functions.
    - Varied roof line heights.
    - Well detailed cornices.
- vii. Promote Welcoming Residential Entries
  - Ground related individual entries should have a clear transition from public realm.
  - Lobby entries to multi-family buildings should provide:
    - Weather protection at entries.
    - Transparent doors and windows.
    - Double or multiple doors
- viii. Promote Visually Interesting Upper Floor Residential Windows
- ix. Design Inviting Retail and Commercial Entries
  - Street frontage should include public entrances.
  - In retail areas with high-use pedestrian areas, entrances should be provided at least every 50 feet to generate pedestrian activity.
  - Doors with 50% window area or more.
  - Lighting that emphasizes entries.
  - Large café or restaurant doors that open to the street.

- x. Design Inviting Ground Floor Retail and Commercial Windows
  - Clear window glazing that provides visual access should be provided on ground floor facades.

## **II. REVIEW PROCESS**

The review process began with the CAC as discussed in the Background, Section I, above. The CAC completed its final report and the Eastgate land use code amendments were referred to the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission conducted a walking tour of the EG-TOD area on September 9, 2015. The Planning Commission also scheduled numerous study sessions to discuss the land use code amendments. The 2015 dates included: June 10, July 22, October 14 and December 9. The 2016 dates include: January 27, February 24, March 23, April 27, and May 25. The public hearing is scheduled to be held on June 22, 2016, after which the Planning Commission will hold a study session and make its recommendation to the City Council.

## **III. PUBLIC NOTICE**

Notice of the Application for the Land use Code Amendment and associated review pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), was published on May 19, 2016. Notice of the public hearing was published on June 2, 2016 in the Weekly Permit Bulletin. Notice of the SEPA threshold determination was published on June 9, 2016.

Pursuant to the Washington State Growth Management Act, state agencies must be given 60 days to review and comment on proposed amendments to the Land Use Code. A copy of the proposed amendment was provided to state agencies on June 3, 2016. City Council final action can be taken anytime on or after August 4, 2016.

The balance of this Staff Report analyzes the decision criteria in the Land Use Code that must be met to support adoption of a Land Use Code Amendment.

## **IV. DECISION CRITERIA**

LUC 20.30J.135 establishes the decision criteria for an application to amend the text of the Land Use Code. Those criteria, and the relationship of the proposal to them, are discussed below:

### **A. The amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan; and**

The proposed amendments are supported by the following Comprehensive Plan policies:

**POLICY S-EG-1.** Focus Eastgate growth into a mixed use center adjacent to the Eastgate Transit Center with greater height and intensity than the surrounding area.

**POLICY S-EG-2.** Establish a pedestrian-oriented street that provides a community plaza and allows for connections between Bellevue College, the Eastgate Park and Ride, and the office, retail, and residential development in the transit-oriented development center.

**POLICY S-EG-3.** Encourage office and retail land uses that take advantage of freeway access, transit service, and non-motorized transportation alternatives without adversely impacting residential neighborhoods.

*Discussion: Intense office development can generate adverse traffic impacts and block residential views. Site design also can impact residential quality. To support this policy, office*

*and retail development should be well designed so that it is compatible with surrounding neighborhoods and be oriented around a multi-modal transportation system that reduces vehicular congestion and traffic impacts.*

**POLICY S-EG-4.** Encourage the integration of restaurants and other commercial uses that serve local workers into and adjacent to office development to enhance the mix of uses within walking distance of employment areas.

*Discussion: The reason for encouraging restaurants and other commercial services within office developments is to reduce vehicular traffic between the office parks and retail areas. Retail areas are intended to serve primarily local needs.*

**POLICY S-EG-10.** Multifamily housing may be appropriate to separate office and retail land uses from single-family neighborhoods or as a part of mixed use developments where there is close proximity to transit or neighborhood-serving commercial uses, with a special emphasis on meeting the housing needs of Bellevue College.

**POLICY S-EG-11.** Encourage more opportunities for affordable housing in the Subarea by maintaining and rehabilitating existing housing stock.

**POLICY S-EG-13.** Consider allowing a reduction in parking requirements where it is possible to do so because of proximity to transit.

**POLICY S-EG-14.** Improve safety, convenience, and access by ensuring that internal circulation systems are integrated with the street system to improve multimodal mobility within and between developments.

**POLICY S-EG-17.** Improve connectivity within the subarea for pedestrians and bicycles where opportunities exist by integrating land uses, improving roadway safety for all modes of travel, and linking commercial, office, parks, and public spaces with trails and pathways.

**POLICY S-EG-19.** Reinforce the area's location on the Mountains to Sound Greenway, accentuate Eastgate as a major entry into Bellevue, and emphasize the emerging urban character of the Eastgate I-90 corridor through the application of land use regulations, public amenity incentives, and design guidelines.

**POLICY S-EG-20.** Provide graceful edges and transitions between more intense development and existing residential land uses by maximizing the use of existing vegetation and topography to buffer and maintain compatibility between different land uses through land use regulations.

**POLICY S-EG-21.** Consider design review for commercial, office, and mixed use development that promotes pedestrian-friendly design, ensures quality and a sense of permanence, promotes environmental sustainability and creates a distinct identity.

**POLICY S-EG-22.** Preserve the view amenities of adjacent single-family neighborhoods as development and redevelopment occurs.

**POLICY S-EG-24.** Support the overall sustainability and green identity of the I-90 corridor consistent with the Mountains to Sound Greenway by including visibly recognizable natural



features in public and private development. Examples include, but are not limited to green walls, façade treatments, green roofs, retained native vegetation, and abundant natural landscaping,

**POLICY S-EG-25.** Diminish the effect of rooftop equipment on views from residential areas by requiring rooftop equipment to be low-profiled and screened to match the building's exterior color, building materials, and styles.

**POLICY S-EG-26.** Maintain the Subarea's predominantly treed skyline and encourage preservation of existing stands of trees and landscaping.

**POLICY S-EG-28.** Create community character in commercial, office, and mixed use development through the use of standards and incentives that support public art, street lighting, landscaping, distinctive building design, and pedestrian-oriented site design.

**POLICY S-EG-29.** Encourage the development of a dynamic public realm by integrating publicly accessible plazas, open spaces, and other gathering spaces within private development in commercial, office, and mixed use areas.

**POLICY S-EG-31.** Create and encourage an interconnected system of non-motorized trails as a part of public and private development within the subarea that will link community amenities, provide recreational opportunities, and offer transportation benefits.

**POLICY S-EG-32.** Develop local connections to the Mountains to Sound Greenway through the subarea in order to enhance the trail as a local and regional recreational asset.

**POLICY S-EG-42.** Encourage a mixed use area between Bellevue College and I-90 into a walkable, transit-oriented center at the level of intensity needed to create a vibrant mix of offices, residences, and locally-serving shops and restaurants that are urban in character.

**POLICY S-EG-43.** Retain neighborhood-serving commercial uses through flexible zoning that allows a rich combination of neighborhood retail and services.

**Finding:** These code amendments will provide the necessary regulatory framework in the Land Use Code to implement the policies contained in the Eastgate Subarea Plan. Proposed Land Use Code amendments necessary to implement the CAC direction and the Subarea Plan policies are discussed in greater detail in Section I.B above.

**B. The amendment enhances the public health, safety or welfare; and**

**Finding:** The amendment will enhance the public health, safety, and welfare by ensuring that development in the Eastgate corridor includes appropriate permitted uses, dimensions, development standards and design guidelines. The Eastgate Land Use Code Amendment will enhance the health, safety, and welfare of the public by providing safe and pleasant places to live, work, and visit in Eastgate.

**C. The amendment is not contrary to the best interest of the citizens and property owners of the City of Bellevue.**

**Finding:** The amendment is consistent with the best interest of the citizens and property owners. It will allow for a variety of business and residential housing opportunities in the Eastgate/I-90 Corridor while preserving the quality and character of each Eastgate District with standards to help ensure that policy of the Comprehensive Plan is implemented through application of the LUC to new development and to redevelopment.

**V. STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT**

The application for SEPA review was noticed together with the draft LUCA on May 19, 2016. The notice of public hearing and draft LUCA was published on June 2, 2016. This Determination of Non-Significance was issued on June 9, 2016. The environmental review indicates no probability of significant adverse environmental impacts occurring as a result of the programmatic proposal to amend the Land Use Code to allow for three new land use districts in the Eastgate corridor. The proposal includes new use charts, dimensional standards, development standards and design guidelines for each new land use district. The City codes and requirements, applicable to projects that would be affected by this LUCA, including SEPA, the Land Use Code, Noise Ordinance, Building Code and other construction codes will adequately mitigate expected environmental impacts. Therefore, issuance of a Determination of Non-significance (DNS) is the appropriate threshold determination under the State Environmental Policy Act. It should also be noted that new development will be subject to environmental review when each new project is proposed.

The following is a summary of the environmental review for this proposal:

**A. Environmental Record**

The environmental summary consists of analysis based on the following documents and studies in the environmental record or, if noted, incorporated by reference.

- Environmental Checklist and Supplemental Sheet for Non-project Actions, prepared by Terry Cullen, Comprehensive Planning Manager, City of Bellevue Planning and Community Development Department, dated May 19, 2016;
- File No. 12-132861-AD, Draft Land Use Code Amendment.

**B. Proposed Timing and Phasing**

The Planning Commission is scheduled to hold a public hearing on the amendment on June 22, 2016. Following the public hearing, the Planning Commission will form a recommendation that they will transmit to the City Council. The City Council will ultimately be asked to act on the Planning Commission recommendation on the draft LUCA during a future City Council meeting.

**C. Environmental Summary**

**Purpose and Need:**

The Eastgate / I-90 Corridor is highly developed and there is little capacity to accommodate more growth due to the lack of undeveloped land, zoning constraints, and the relatively new condition of existing improvements. However, there is a strong market demand for additional office space and the corridor has enjoyed a history of being a strong employment center. To be competitive with other markets, the workforce of tomorrow will seek locations that offer a greater menu of services and amenities within walking distance. This does not currently exist in Eastgate. Greater integration of support retail and other services in the office environment

needs to occur. In addition, there is little housing to support Bellevue College and its students as the college grows. While traffic remains a concern in the Eastgate area, the Eastgate/I-90 Corridor remains auto-oriented. The Eastgate area lacks the connectivity that would allow pedestrians and cyclists to navigate the area easily; and bus transportation is not leveraged, though a large park and ride facility is within the study area.

**Major Conclusions, Significant Areas of Controversy and Uncertainty:**

One major conclusion is that the proposal will likely result in redevelopment to answer market demand for more office space. The proposal will also result in the development of retail and service amenities to support large employers and their employees. The traffic resulting from the proposed FAR of 2.0 in the EG-TOD and 1.0 in OLB 2 and NMU was studied in the environmental report listed above. However if the FAR were to go higher, uncertainty with respect to traffic impacts would result. It would most likely require further study. Uncertainty exists as to whether the LUCA will result in a vibrant, transit-oriented development district, much of which is dependent on the type of business on the street. Uncertainty also exists in development cycles and market factors which affect redevelopment, but are unpredictable. While these code amendments can set the stage for redevelopment, the actual redevelopment is affected by other factors that are not within the City’s purview. There are no known significant areas of controversy.

**Issues to be Resolved, Including Environmental Choices to Made Between Alternative Courses of Action**

Adoption of the proposed Land Use Code Amendment would allow the Eastgate Corridor to continue to provide economic growth, employment, retail, office space and residential units for the region. The LUCA would allow for an FAR of 2.0 and building height of 160 feet in the EG-TOD District and an FAR of 1.0 and a building height of 75 feet in the OLB 2 and NMU Districts. The current FAR in those areas is .5 and the building heights vary. The preferred alternative would allow the following projected growth by 2030 in the amount of 1,800,000 sq. ft. of office uses, 100,000 sq. ft. of retail uses, 350,000 sq. ft. of institutional uses, 800 residential housing units and 300 hotel rooms. Most of the increased intensity in development with this LUCA will occur west of 148<sup>th</sup> and south of I-90. Redevelopment would be encouraged by the promise of higher density, but redevelopment would improve stormwater management because existing stormwater regulations are more stringent than they were when these areas were developed. Any proposed development will continue to be subject to Design Review pursuant to the City of Bellevue’s Process II permit review procedure, and will require conformance with all applicable design guidelines and development standards.

The alternative course of action would be to decline to adopt the LUCA. If the Land Use Code Amendment is not adopted, the corridor would not be able to accommodate the strong market demand for additional office space. With the current Land Use Code structure, there is little capacity to accommodate more growth. It is projected that the following land use square footage would be developed by 2030 without the LUCA: office, 200,000; industrial, 86,000; institutional, 280,000. However, no new additional retail, residential or hotel development is projected without the proposed LUCA. Employees want services and amenities within walking distance of their workplace, but this will not be the reality in Eastgate. The transit oriented development district will not occur, nor will the area adjacent to the parking and ride provide housing, retail, transportation and office space for employees and students in the area. Fewer bike and pedestrian connections will be completed. The current environment of paved parking lots, suburban scale buildings, and vehicle dependency is unlikely to change. Stricter

stormwater standards would not be applied until the area is redeveloped and redevelopment will take a longer period of time than it would if the LUCA were adopted.

With respect to land use and traffic, the 1 hour PM peak period area-average Level of Service standard and congestion allowance was found to operate within the City's adopted concurrency framework in both the "2030 Preferred Land Use with Transportation Improvements" and the 2030 Preferred Land Use without Transportation Improvements" scenarios. As compared to the existing conditions in the Transportation Strategies Report, all alternatives resulted in increases. The 2030 No Action Land Use without Transportation Improvements would result in a 21 percent increase in traffic volume and a 62 percent increase in total delay hours in PM peak. The 2030 Preferred Land Use with Transportation Improvements resulted in a 27 percent increase in traffic volume and a 70 percent increase in total delay hours in the PM peak. Finally the Preferred Land Use without Transportation Improvements would result in a 26 percent increase in traffic volume and an 88 percent increase in total delay hours in the PM peak when compared to existing conditions. Given this information, the land use amendments would not result in a probable significant adverse environmental impact.

## **VI. RECOMMENDATION**

The requested Land Use Code Amendment included in Attachment A is consistent with the decision criteria required for adoption of a Land Use Code Amendment. Staff recommends that the Planning Commission hold a public hearing on the draft amendment, and following consideration of the testimony provided at the hearing transmit a recommendation approving all of the draft amendments.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

- A. Eastgate Draft Land Use Code Amendments
- B. Council Principles for Eastgate / I-90 Corridor Project
- C. Public Comment
- D. Map of New Districts
- E. SEPA Determination of Nonsignificance

## Attachment B

### Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project Council Principles

Approved by the Bellevue City Council on February 1, 2010.

The following Council Principles are intended to provide consistent direction over the course of this project. An over-arching consideration that cuts across all these Principles is the reality of fiscal constraints that limit the City's ability to fund major new infrastructure projects. To the extent that new infrastructure is needed to support potential land use changes, costs and ability to fund these improvements must be serious and early considerations.

1. Enhance the Eastgate corridor's economic vitality without degrading mobility in other parts of the City, and ensure that it continues to contribute to the diversity of the City's economic mix.
2. Retain and enhance neighborhood-oriented services and businesses, which are important to nearby residents of Bellevue and the adjacent Eastgate potential annexation area.
3. Improve linkages with Bellevue College, which may include land use and transportation strategies, as well as a variety of partnerships that benefit both the College and the City as a whole.
4. Better integrate land use and transportation across Eastgate, which may include consideration of transit-oriented development in portions of the area. Changes in land use should be informed by transportation opportunities and impacts. For example, the large Eastgate park and ride facility may create an opportunity for a transit overlay district, with well integrated land use and transportation performance.
5. Continue to evolve Eastgate's transportation infrastructure to a high performing, multi-modal system, including coordinating with service providers on increased transit service to the area.
6. Increase connectivity across the Eastgate corridor, addressing the area's numerous barriers such as its limited street and non-motorized (both pedestrian and bicycle) network, and stand-alone developments.
7. Model environmental sustainability in planning for Eastgate's future, so that future plans for the area produce measurable environmental benefits.
8. Improve the Eastgate Corridor's urban design quality and coherence, recognizing the area as a major City gateway and prominent location on the Mountain to Sound Greenway.
9. Work to improve the performance of state facilities in the area – I-90 and its access points—which today create major issues for the City's land use and arterial system.

## Attachment C

Planning Commission 2015 Eastgate Public Comment

Thank you so much Erika for your response! I will support this proposal, and appreciate for your hard work!

Best Regards  
JD Yu

On Thu, Feb 11, 2016 at 11:14 AM, <[ERhett@bellevuewa.gov](mailto:ERhett@bellevuewa.gov)> wrote:

Mr. Yu,

Thank you for your question. In the proposed zoning regulations Marijuana retail outlets would not be permitted in the new Neighborhood Mixed Use district. This is the new zoning district proposed for the Eastgate Plaza Shopping Center and nearby commercial area.

I have attached the latest proposal for uses in the Eastgate corridor. If you scan to page 16 of the attachment it shows a blank in the chart next to Marijuana Retail Outlets. The blank on the chart means not permitted.

**Erika Rhett, AICP**

Senior Planner

City of Bellevue

[425-452-2898](tel:425-452-2898)

**From:** Jingdong Yu [mailto:[jingdong.yu@gmail.com](mailto:jingdong.yu@gmail.com)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 09, 2016 4:28 PM  
**To:** PlanningCommission <[PlanningCommission@bellevuewa.gov](mailto:PlanningCommission@bellevuewa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Rhett, Erika <[ERhett@bellevuewa.gov](mailto:ERhett@bellevuewa.gov)>  
**Subject:** inquiry about Eastgate/I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project

Dear Bellevue Planning Commissioners,

My name is JD Yu, a resident at Somerset. Recently I got a chance to know about this project of Eastgate/I-90 Land Use & Transportation. I am glad to know that there will be more business opportunities to be introduced in this area, which will benefit local economy and enhance services for the people living around. Meanwhile I have some concern about the details of new eased land use code, whether it would allow marijuana stores in those area? There are some parks, schools, and day cares around Eastgate area, and we have kids activities too, and my concern is about allowing marijuana

stores under new code will have negative impact on the kids. Would you please let me know if the project is related to my concern?

Best Regards!

JD Yu

4501 138th Ave SE

Bellevue, WA 98006

February 24, 2016

Members of the Planning Commission,

My name is Carrie Blanton and I was an appointed member of the CAC on the Eastgate/I-90 Land use and transportation project. I was selected because of my role as the Real Estate Director for the Honda Auto Center of Bellevue and the office building next door and to the west, called Newport Heights. This office building is the place of business for 5 local companies including UW Medicine Factoria Medical and Urgent Care Clinic.

As you know, over the course of 18 months, we spent many hours deliberating and providing input on the final plans for the Mountains to Sound Greenway Trail (“Trail Project”).

There were a few alternate routes for the proposed Trail Project extension through Bellevue. The Honda Auto Center of Bellevue, and the Courter Family who own this parcel and the Newport Heights building, were reluctant to support the current plan because of concerns about how the trail would very likely compromise the visibility of the dealership from the freeway and along SE 36<sup>th</sup> and the increased traffic on SE 36<sup>th</sup> with the introduction of the landscape islands that reduce channeling of cars turning into office buildings and businesses along SE 36<sup>th</sup>. The Trail Project proposal has already interfered with our ability to get inventory to the dealership by restricting our ability to load and unload vehicles along SE 36<sup>th</sup>. At this study, we were assured by Dan Stroh and Fran Lowenhertz that the City would listen to our concerns and work with us.

We have attended several open houses and have been in contact with the Project manager of the Trail Project for the City, Chris Masek. I have attached a copy of a letter we sent to him in December 2015 voicing our concerns with the current design. We want the Planning Commission to know that we generally support the trail link, but not at the expense of the businesses in the area. We ask that you look to reduce the density and height of the current proposed landscaping along the freeway and in the landscape islands to allow for visibility to the businesses and pedestrians. We have seen this done beautifully in downtown Kirkland and along 85<sup>th</sup> street corridor and other cities in the

Thank you for considering our comments,  
Sincerely,  
Carrie C. Blanton  
Real Estate Director  
Courter Corporate Group  
13231 SE 36<sup>th</sup> Street Suite 200  
Bellevue WA 98027  
425-373-5562  
carrieb@courtergroup.com

SENT VIA US MAIL & EMAIL TO:  
[cmasek@bellevuewa.gov](mailto:cmasek@bellevuewa.gov)

Chris Masek  
City of Bellevue Transportation  
4501 110<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE  
PO Box 912  
Bellevue, WA 98009

**Re: Mountains to Sound Greenway Trail**

Dear Chris:

In November 2010, the City of Bellevue asked me to participate in the Eastgate/I-90 land use transportation project citizen committee. Many topics were discussed over my time serving on the committee, including the Mountains to Sound Greenway Trail ("Trail"). I was asked to represent the interest of the automobile dealers and the Courter family with respect to the issues presented to the citizen committee.

We felt confident at that time that the City appreciated how important automobile dealers are to the economic vitality of the City. However, recent dealings with the City have caused us to question whether the City does indeed value our business. It is critical that the City balance the decisions to participate in the Trail extension with the interests of business owners.

The primary concerns that the Courter family have with the Trail are how the Trail might compromise the visibility of the dealership from the freeway and SE 36<sup>th</sup> Street as well as interfering with the ability to get our inventory to our location by eliminating the ability to load and unload vehicles along SE36th.

When the initial proposed location for the Trail extension was presented to us and the citizens committee for approvals, we expressed our concerns with the plan. Many at the City, including Dan Stroh, Michael Bergstrom and Frank Loewenherz listened to and appeared at the time to validate our concerns. They assured us the City did not want to see this design jeopardize our business. However, the current Trail plan is, in fact, compromising how we conduct business.



The current landscaping plan calls for large trees with a height of 14 feet or taller and an increase in density of vegetation. We do not know why the trees have to be so tall and close together in this urban area of the trail. We also have informed the City that we do not believe WSDOT will maintain plantings within the trail and/or median and we asked the City to allow us the opportunity to maintain this vegetation.

In addition, there is now a bike trail on the south side of SE 36<sup>th</sup> which further compromises our ability to conduct business. With the landscape island buffer a part of the Trail and this bike lane, we are being squeezed. We have been recently informed by your Transportation Department that the right of way permit to load and unload cars that we have had for many years may not be renewed. This is a major concern we have with Trail plan. It is not reasonable for the City to impose not one, but two bike trials on our frontage and then ask our dealership to bear the full consequence of these decisions.

We look forward to continuing our discussions and working with the City to agree upon a final design that is in the best interest of all stakeholders.

Very truly yours,

Carrie Blanton

cc: Liz G. Gibon (KPG Design) via email at liz@kpg.com  
Brad Miyake, City Manager  
450 110<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE  
P.O. Box 90012  
Bellevue, WA 98009

*Terry Me. Eastgate - Kate*  
*F/I*

*Copies To:*  
*B. Mizake*  
*K. Barans*  
*C. Salomone*  
*M. Brennan*

*Dropped off at Service First desk*

**To:** Bellevue Mayor John Stokes

**From:** Todd R. Woosley, Hal Woosley Properties, Inc. *T.R.W.*  
Representing Trailer Inns Eastgate RV Park

**Date:** March 21, 2016

**Re:** Eastgate/I-90 Neighborhood Mixed Use Multi-Family Development

**RECEIVED**  
MAR 22 2016  
**CITY COUNCIL**

Thank you for taking the time to meet with me to discuss the potential for multifamily development in the Eastgate/I-90 Corridor. I appreciate your interest in an updated Land Use Code that would support the type of new apartment homes that are most feasible for the area. In particular, we would like zoning in the new Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) district that allows for six story residential buildings at a 2.5 Floor Area Ratio (FAR).

This density would allow for a high quality project that could deliver both private and public benefits. In addition to providing a significant increase in Bellevue's overall housing supply, apartments built at the requested FAR have the potential to provide public benefits beyond creating a nice new place to live for Bellevue's growing population. Some of these benefits could include affordable housing units, public open space, bicycle and pedestrian connectivity between neighborhoods and the Mountain To Sound Greenway, support for the neighborhood's retail stores, and/or a contribution to area parks.

For your information, please find attached a Conceptual Design Study illustrating how such an apartment project could look on the Trailer Inns Eastgate RV park site.

The owners and potential purchasers of the Trailer Inns Eastgate RV Park are all very encouraged by the Planning Commission's interest in allowing a FAR of up to 2.5 for multifamily housing in the Eastgate/I-90 Corridor's new Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU) zoning district.

Also, a very good comparable example of how the proposed development could look can be found right here in Bellevue. The brand new LIV BelRed Apartments, located at 2170 N.E. Bel-Red Road, were recently built to nearly the same FAR (~2.25).

Please let me know if you would like a private tour of LIV BelRed. I would be happy to arrange it at your convenience.

For your information, Bellevue's code incentives encouraged the inclusion of several affordable housing units at LIV BelRed. Unfortunately, other incentives proved to be unfeasible, negating the potential for further public benefits in exchange for maximizing the allowed FAR in that project's zoning district. Hopefully, the incentives for the Eastgate/I-90 NMU zoning district will work better.

5.16.16 Response to NOA

Please include us in the mailing list for Eastgate Land Use Code Amendment decisions, activities public meetings and all venues applicable.

Comments: The residents that reside in this neighborhood are obviously directly impacted by all development within this region. Motor vehicle traffic is an absolute ridiculous nightmare; peak hours for commuting at the metered area require typical 20-25 minutes to merge onto I-90 westbound, and that's on a clear day. Impossible to merge from I-90 to I-405 at any given time. Double the time in our seasonable rainy days. To consider the massive influx of what is being proposed for this area, including retail, business, etc., maximize empty office areas and redesign areas for retail (i.e., Eastgate Business Park), without the necessary EIS and traffic mitigation studies, is irresponsible but not unusual for the city in order to justify a greater tax base. The impacts of what is being proposed is not supported by the majority of residential homeowners in this area. Can you please provide the names associated with this Eastgate Advisory Board? They are ill-advised thinking there is majority support enacting this proposed land use amendment. We do not want a sub area of what a monstrosity downtown Bellevue has become as the city has mandated with "proposed" land use code amendments...it's an atrocity to all surrounding communities. Once the current buildout for retail and housing is occupied, that's when everyone will complain as waiting time at stop lights and trips generated per hour will impact every citizen within and surrounding outlying communities. We've noticed there has been no visible or published traffic studies (or mitigation for that fact) for new development to address the impacts of increased traffic generated on the existing infrastructure. Unknown to us is whether monies to be paid by the developer is secured for future LID; and that money is to be appropriated for its intended use within what time frame?

Again, Please provide the membership roster or notice of public meeting when or where this proposal was or is to be brought before the Eastgate/Factoria/Newcastle communities. We bet that hasn't been a consideration as yet for those sub areas; as they also will be directly impacted and most likely will not support this endeavor. This proposed land use amendment is not in our best interest as Eastgate residents, nor the other aforementioned communities.

Linda and Dan Belliveau


For email:

[linda@lakestreetstudios.com](mailto:linda@lakestreetstudios.com)

For postal delivery, address is:

Dan and Linda Belliveau  
3273-163rd Place SE  
Bellevue, WA

Appendix D Map of New Districts





ATTACHMENT E SEPA Determination of Nonsignificance





**20.10.020 Establishment of land use districts.**

Land use districts in the City are hereby established as follows:

<b>District</b>	<b>Designation</b>
Single-Family Residential Estate	R-1 R-1.8
Single-Family Residential	R-2.5 R-3.5 R-4 R-5 R-7.5*
Multifamily Residential	R-10 R-15 R-20 R-30
Professional Office	PO
Office	O
Office and Limited Business	OLB
<u>Office and Limited Business 2</u>	<u>OLB.2</u>

Office and Limited Business-Open Space	OLB-OS
Light Industrial	LI
General Commercial	GC
Neighborhood Business	NB
<u>Neighborhood Mixed Use</u>	<u>NMU</u>
Community Business	CB
<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development</u>	<u>EG-TOD</u>
Downtown	
Office District 1	DNTN-O-1
Office District 2	DNTN-O-2
Multiple Use District	DNTN-MU
Residential District	DNTN-R
Old Bellevue District	DNTN-OB
Office and Limited Business District	DNTN-OLB
Evergreen Highlands Design District	EH
Performance Area A	EH-A
Performance Area B	EH-B
Performance Area C	EH-C
Performance Area D	EH-D

Factoria Land Use District 1	F1	
Factoria Land Use District 2	F2	
Factoria Land Use District 3	F3	
Medical Institution District	MI	
Bel-Red		
Bel-Red-Medical Office	Bel-Red-MO	
Bel-Red-Medical Office Node	Bel-Red-MO-1	
Bel-Red Office/Residential	BR-OR	
Bel-Red-Office/Residential Node 1	Bel-Red-OR-1	
Bel-Red-Office/Residential Node 2	Bel-Red-OR-2	
Bel-Red-Residential/Commercial Node 1	Bel-Red-RC-1	
Bel-Red-Residential/Commercial Node 2	Bel-Red-RC-2	
Bel-Red-Residential/Commercial	Bel-Red-RC-3	
Bel-Red-Commercial/Residential	Bel-Red-CR	
Bel-Red-Residential	Bel-Red-R	
Bel-Red-General Commercial	Bel-Red-GC	
Bel-Red-Office/Residential Transition	Bel-Red-ORT	
Camp and Conference Center	CCC	

...

**20.10.285 Office Limited Business District 2 (OLB 2)**

The purpose of the OLB 2 District is to provide an area of integrated complexes made up of offices, hotels, or motels, eating and drinking establishments, and retail sales within walking distance to support business and employees. The OLB 2 District has greater intensity and a larger mix of uses than the OLB District. Such districts are located in areas that abut and have convenient access to freeways, major highways, and transit.

...

**20.10.350 Neighborhood Mixed Use District (NMU)**

The purpose of the NMU District is to provide an area with a mix of retail, service, office and residential uses with an emphasis on neighborhood retail and service uses. This district is designed to be compatible with nearby neighborhoods and is easily accessible from the nearby office and residential uses.

...

**20.10.365 Transit Oriented Development District (TOD)**

The purpose of the TOD District is to provide an area for a mix of housing, retail, office, and service uses, with an emphasis on housing. The district is limited in area so that there is an appropriate level of density nearest the highest levels of transit service.

...

Chart 20.10.440

Uses in land use districts

Manufacturing – Nonresidential Districts												
STD LAND USE CODE REF	Professional Office	Office	Office/ Limited Business 2	Office/ Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Com- mercial	Neighbor- hood Business	Neighbor- hood Mixed Use	Com- munity Business	Fac- toria Land Use District 1	Fac- toria Land Use District 2	Fac- toria Land Use District 3
	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB	NIMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
2 and 3												
21				P 6	P 5	S 5	S	P 6	S	S		
22					P							
23					P	S			S	S		
24					S	S			S	S		
25					P	S			S	S		
26					S 2							
27					P	P			S	S		
28					S 3							
31					C							
314					P	P			S	S		
321					P	P			S	S		
322												
324												
325												
327												

Manufacturing – Nonresidential Districts													
STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
329	Handcrafted Products Mfg.												
3427	Computers, Office Machines and Equipment Mfg.												
3433	Electrical Equipment												
3434	Mfg.; Appliances, Lighting, Radio, TV												
3435	Radio, TV												
3436	Communications, Equipment and												
3437	Component Parts												
3491	Fabricated Metal												
3492	Products Mfg.;												
3493	Containers, Hand Tools,												
3495	Heating Equipment,												
3497	Screw Products, Coating and Plating												
35	Measuring, Analyzing and Controlling Instruments, Photographic, Medical and Optical Goods; Watches and Clocks Mfg.;	P	P	P	P	P	S			S	S	P	P
3997	Computer Software												
	Signs and Advertising Display Mfg.						S			S	S		
3999	Misc. Light Fabrication Assembly and Mfg. Not Elsewhere Classified						S			S	S		

Permitted uses in the Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C and EH-D) are listed in LUC 20.25F.010.

Permitted uses in the Office and Limited Business-Open Space District (OLB-OS) are listed in LUC 20.25L.020.

Permitted uses in the Medical Institution District are listed in LUC 20.25J.020.

Permitted uses in the Bel-Red District (BR) are listed in LUC 20.25D.070.

Permitted uses in the Camp and Conference Center District (CCC) are listed in LUC 20.25N.040.

Permitted uses in the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District (EG-TOD) are listed in LUC 20.25P.050.

**Notes: Uses in land use districts – Manufacturing**

- (1) Manufacturing uses exclude concrete batch plants and primary metal industries such as foundries, smelters, blast furnaces and rolling mills.
- (2) Paper products manufacturing excludes paper and pulp manufacturing in LI Districts.
- (3) Manufacture of flammable, dangerous or explosive materials is excluded in all Land Use Districts.
- (4) An office is permitted if accessory and subordinate to a manufacturing use.
- (5) Food and beverage public tasting rooms are permitted only as a subordinate use to the manufacturing use.
- (6) Permitted only when combined with an eating and drinking establishment which constitutes a majority of the use.
- (7) Permitted only when combined with a retail store which constitutes a majority of the use.

**Chart 20.10.440**

**Uses in land use districts**

**Recreation-Residential Districts**

..

Chart 20.10.440

Uses in land use districts

STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Recreation – Nonresidential Districts																
		Professional Office	Office	Office/ Limited Business	Office/ Limited Business	Light Indus- try	General Com- mercial	Neigh- bor- hood Business	Neigh- bor- hood Mixed Use	Com- munity Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3					
7	Cultural Entertainment and Recreation																	
711	Library, Museum	P	P	P	P													
7113	Art Gallery	P	P	P	P													
712	Nature Exhibitions: Aquariums, Botanical Gardens and Zoos	C	C	C	C													
7212	Public Assembly																	
7214	(Indoor): Sports,		P															
7222	Arenas, Auditoriums																	
7231	and Exhibition Halls																	
7232	but Excluding School Facilities																	
7212	Motion Picture,																	
7214	Theaters, Night Clubs,																	
7218	Dance Halls and Teen Clubs																	
7213	Drive-In Theaters																	
	Adult Theaters (7)																	



STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Recreation – Nonresidential Districts											
		Professional Office	Office	Office/ Limited Business	Office/ Limited Business	Light Indus- try	General Com- mercial	Neighor- hood Business	Neighor- hood Mixed Use	Com- munity Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
7223 73	Public Assembly (Outdoor): Fairgrounds and Amusement Parks, Miniature Golf, Golf Driving Ranges, Go- Cart Tracks, BMX Tracks and Skateboard Tracks	PO	O	OLB	OLB2	LI	GC	NB	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
73	Commercial Amusements: Video Arcades, Electronic Games				P		A		P	A			
7411 7413 7422 7423 7424 7441 7449	Recreation Activities: Golf Courses, Tennis Courts, Community Clubs, Athletic Fields, Play Fields, Recreation Centers, Swimming Beaches and Pools (2,11)	C	C	C	A8		C	C	A8	C	C	C	C
744 7413 7414 7415 7417 7425	Marinas, Yacht Clubs Recreation Activities: Skating, Bowling, Gymnasiums, Athletic Clubs, Health Clubs, Recreation Instruction		C3	C	A9	P3	P3	A9		P	P	C	C
7491 7515	Camping Sites and Hunting Clubs	C	C	C		C	C	C		C	C	C	C
76	Private Leisure and Open Space Areas	P	P	P		P	P	P		P	P	P	P

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Recreation – Nonresidential Districts												
	Professional Office	Office Office	Office/ Limited Business	Office/ Limited Business	Office/ Limited Business	Light Indus- try	General Com- mercial	Neigh- bor- hood Business	Neigh- bor- hood Mixed Use	Com- munity Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
-		P/C (10)	P/C (10)	P	P/C (10)	P/C (10)	P/C (10)	P/C (10)	P/A (12)	P/C (10)	P/C (10)	P/C (10)	P/C (10)

Permitted uses in the Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C and EH-D) are listed in LUC 20.25F.010.

Permitted uses in the Office and Limited Business-Open Space District (OLB-OS) are listed in LUC 20.25L.020.

Permitted uses in the Medical Institution District are listed in LUC 20.25J.020.

Permitted uses in the Bel-Red District (BR) are listed in LUC 20.25D.070.

Permitted uses in the Camp and Conference Center District (CCC) are listed in LUC 20.25N.040.

Permitted uses in the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District (EG-TOD) are listed in LUC 20.25P.050.

**Notes: Uses in land use districts – Recreation**

- (1) Cultural activities include only branch libraries in R-2.5, R-3.5, R-4, R-5, R-7.5, R-10, R-15, R-20 and R-30 Districts.
  - (2) For carnivals, see LUC 20.20.160.
  - (3) Recreation activities do not include athletic clubs in O, LI and GC Districts.
- Eastgate LUCA Draft for Public Hearing, Page 10

- (4) Limited to a maximum of 2,000 gross square feet per establishment.
- (5) ~~Nonresidential uses are permitted in Downtown R Districts only when developed in a building which contains residential uses.~~
- (6) Excludes zoos.
- (7) Adult theaters are subject to the regulations for adult entertainment uses in LUC 20.20.127.
- (8) ~~Athletic and health clubs are permitted without administrative conditional use approval if subordinate to a permitted use. Public assembly uses in this land use district shall not exceed 20,000 square feet.~~
- (9) Recreation activities are restricted to health clubs, recreation instruction, and gymnasiums, ~~in NB Districts and the total floor area for all combined recreation activity uses may not exceed 5,000 square feet per NB site, and an administrative conditional use is not required for these uses when less than 8,000 square feet.~~
- (10) City parks are generally permitted in all zones. However, the following types of uses or facilities in City parks in single-family or R-10 zones require conditional use approval: lighted sports and play fields, sports and play fields with amplified sound, community recreation centers, motorized boat ramps, and beach parks on Lake Washington, Lake Sammamish, Phantom Lake and Larson Lake. Nonrecreation uses in City parks in all zones outside the Downtown require conditional use approval, except that the permit requirements for wireless communication facilities shall be as set forth in LUC 20.20.195. For purposes of this requirement, “nonrecreation use” means a commercial, social service or residential use located on park property but not functionally related to City park programs and activities.
- (11) See LUC 20.20.190 for additional regulations.
- (12) Outdoor recreation facilities that include lighted sports and play fields or sports and play fields with amplified sound require administrative conditional use approval.

**Chart 20.10.440**

Uses in land use districts  
Residential – Residential Districts

...

Chart 20.10.440

Uses in land use districts

STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Residential - Nonresidential Districts																
		Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3					
1	Residential																	
	Single-Family Dwelling (3)	P 15	P 1	S		S	S				P 8			S	S			S
	Two to Four Dwelling Units Per Structure (6)		P 1	P	P						P 8	P 8	P 8					P
	Five or More Dwelling Units Per Structure (6)		P 1	P	P						P 8	P 8	P 8					P
12	Group Quarters: Dormitories, Fraternal Houses, Excluding Military and Correctional Institutions and Excluding Secure Community Transition Facilities (16) Rooming House (17)				P								C					

		Residential - Nonresidential Districts											
STD LAND USE CODE REF		Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
	<b>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</b>	PO	O	OLB	<u>OLB 2</u>	LI	GC	NB	<u>NMU</u>	CB	F1	F2	F3
	Senior Citizen Dwellings (4,7)	P	P	P	<u>P</u>			P 8	<u>P 8</u>	P	P	P	P
13 15	Hotels and Motels <u>Transient Lodging</u>			P	<u>P</u>				<u>P</u>	C	C	P	P
6516	Congregate Care Senior Housing (4,7,16)	P	P	P	<u>P</u>			P	<u>P</u>	P	P	P	P
	Nursing Home (7,16)	C	P	P			C	C		P	P	P	P
	Assisted Living (4,7)	C	P	C	<u>P</u>		C	C	<u>P</u>	P	P	C	C
	Accessory Dwelling Unit (9)	S	S	S		S	S	S		S	S	S	S

Permitted uses in the Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C and EH-D) are listed in LUC 20.25F.010.

Permitted uses in the Office and Limited Business-Open Space District (OLB-OS) are listed in LUC 20.25L.020.

Permitted uses in the Medical Institution District are listed in LUC 20.25J.020.

Permitted uses in the Bel-Red District (BR) are listed in LUC 20.25D.070.

Permitted uses in the Camp and Conference Center District (CCC) are listed in LUC 20.25N.040.

Permitted uses in the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District (EG-TOD) are listed in LUC 20.25P.050.

**Notes: Uses in land use districts – Residential**

(1) No more than 50 percent of the gross floor area of the structure shall be devoted to residential use in O Districts, unless Conditional Use Permit approval is obtained and the applicable Comprehensive Plan policies do not discourage multifamily uses.

- (2) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 4999). Intentionally deleted.~~
- (3) A boardinghouse or bed and breakfast is permitted in a single-family dwelling, provided the requirements of LUC 20.20.140 are met.
- (4) ~~An agreement must be recorded with the King County Department of Records and Elections restricting senior citizen dwellings, congregate care senior housing, or assisted living to remain in perpetuity as senior housing. An agreement must be recorded with the King County Recorder's Office, or its successor agency, and filed with the Bellevue City Clerk, restricting senior citizen dwellings, congregate care senior housing, or assisted living to remain for the life of the project.~~
- (5) Through the planned unit development process, senior citizen dwellings may include common dining and recreation facilities.
- (6) Multifamily development in Planning Districts A and B of the Crossroads Subarea is not allowed. Multifamily development in Planning District E of the Crossroads Subarea north of NE 8th Street may be allowed through a Council-approved development agreement that is consistent with Chapter 36.70B RCW and includes design guidelines that are consistent with the vision of Comprehensive Plan Policies S-CR-79 and S-CR-81 regarding the creation of mixed-use developments with pedestrian connections, park connections where appropriate, and public open space. Refer to the Crossroads Subarea Planning District Guidelines of the Comprehensive Plan for Planning Districts A, B and E.
- (7) In Planning Districts A and B of the Crossroads Subarea and in Planning District E of the Crossroads Subarea north of NE 8th Street, existing legal nonconforming multifamily uses can be converted to senior citizen housing, congregate care senior housing, assisted living or nursing homes. Refer to the Crossroads Subarea Planning District Guidelines of the Comprehensive Plan for Planning Districts A, B and E.
- (8) These residential uses are permitted in NB and NMLJ Districts only if located on the second floor and above the permitted ground floor nonresidential uses.
- (9) Accessory dwelling units are permitted only as subordinate to single-family dwellings and are subject to the provisions of LUC 20.20.120.
- (10) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 4999). Intentionally deleted.~~
- (11) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 4999). Intentionally deleted.~~
- (12) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 4999). Intentionally deleted.~~
- (13) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 4999). Intentionally deleted.~~
- (14) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 4999). Intentionally deleted.~~
- (15) One single-family dwelling unit, occupying no more than 25 percent of the floor area of the structure, is permitted in the PO District.
- (16) See LUC 20.20.190 for additional regulations.
- (17) See LUC 20.20.700 for general development requirements for rooming house.

**Chart 20.10.440**

**Uses in land use districts**

Resources – Residential Districts

..

Chart 20.10.440

Uses in land use districts

STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Resources – Nonresidential District											
		Profes- sional Office	Office	Office/ Limited Business	Office/ Limited Business	Office/ Limited Business	Light Industry	General Commer- cial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Com- munity Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2
8	Resource Production (Minerals, Plants, Animals Including Pets and Related Services)	PO	O	OLB	<u>OLB 2</u>	LI	GC	NB	<u>NMU</u>	CB	F1	F2	F3
81	Agriculture, Production of Food and Fiber Crops, Dairies, Livestock and Fowl, Excluding Hogs	P 1	P 1	P 1		P 1	P 1	P 1	<u>P 1</u>	P 1	P 1	P 1	P 1
8192	Marijuana Production Other Horticultural Specialties: Medical Cannabis Collective Gardens (4)					A 6							
821	Agricultural Processing					P 2							

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Resources – Nonresidential District											
	Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
	PO	O	OLB	<u>OLB 2</u>	LI	GC	NB	<u>NMU</u>	CB	F1	F2	F3
					A 6							
8221	P	P		<u>PZ</u>	P	P	P 3	<u>PZ</u>	P	P		
8222					P	P						
83	C	C	C		C	C	C		C	C	C	C
8421					P							
85	C	C	C		C	C	C		C	C	C	C

Permitted uses in the Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C and EH-D) are listed in LUC 20.25F.010.

Permitted uses in the Office and Limited Business-Open Space District (OLB-OS) are listed in LUC 20.25L.020.

Permitted uses in the Medical Institution District are listed in LUC 20.25J.020.

Permitted uses in the Bel-Red District (BR) are listed in LUC 20.25D.070.

Permitted uses in the Camp and Conference Center District (CCC) are listed in LUC 20.25N.040.

Permitted uses in the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District (EG-TOD) are listed in LUC 20.25P.050.

**Notes: Uses in land use districts – Resources**

(1) In the R-2.5, R-3.5, R-4, R-5, R-7.5, R-10, R-15, R-20, R-30, NB, PO, O, OLB, F1, F2, F3, LI, GC and CB Districts agriculture is limited to the production of food and fiber crops.



- (2) Agriculture processing excludes grain mill products manufacturing and slaughtering in LI Districts.
- (3) Veterinary clinics and hospitals are limited to 5,000 square feet per use in NB Districts.
- (4) Medical cannabis collective gardens are prohibited in Bellevue. See LUC 20.20.535 for general requirements applicable to marijuana uses.
- (5) See LUC 20.20.130.E for additional regulations.
- (6) See LUC 20.20.535 for general development requirements for marijuana uses.
- (7) Boarding and commercial kennels are permitted as a subordinate use to a veterinary clinic / hospital, veterinary clinic / hospital and kennel hours shall only be open to the public between 7 a.m. and 10 p.m.

**Chart 20.10.440**

**Uses in land use districts**

**Services – Residential Districts**

..

**Chart 20.10.440**

**Uses in land use districts**

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Services – Nonresidential Districts												
	Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB (16)	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
<b>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</b>													
6	Services												
61	P	P	P	P	P 1	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
62			S	P	P 2	P	P	P	P	P	P	S	S

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Services – Nonresidential Districts	Services – Nonresidential Districts											
		Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2
		PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB (16)	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
	<b>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</b>												
	Photography Studio and Shoe Repair												
6241	Funeral and Crematory Services	C	C	C								C	C
6262	Cemeteries	C	C	C								C	C
629	<del>Child Care Services (3-4)</del>												
624410	Family Child Care Home in Residence 3.	P	P	P									
624410	Child Day Care Center 3, 4	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
63	Business Services, Duplicating and Blue Printing, Steno, Advertising (Except Outdoor), Travel Agencies and Employment	P	P	P	P	P 5	P	P 17	P	P	P	P	P
634	Building Maintenance and Pest Control Services				P 30	P	P				P		
637	Warehousing and Storage Services, Excluding Stockyards					P	P			S	S		
639	Rental and Leasing Services: Cars, Trucks, Trailers, Furniture and Tools			S		P 6	P	A 18	P	P 7	P 7	S	S
641	Auto Repair and Washing Services (26)					P	P	A 19	P	P	P		

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Services – Nonresidential Districts	Services – Nonresidential Districts											
		Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2
649	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION Repair Services: Watch, TV, Electrical, Upholstery	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB (16)	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
					P 30	P	P	P	P 30	P	P		
	Professional Services: Medical Clinics and Other Health Care Related Services	P	P	P	P 29		P	P	P 29	P	P	P	P
	Professional Services: Other	P	P	P	P	P 9	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
	Pet Grooming and Pet Daycare (28)				P				P				
6513	Hospitals	C	C	C		C	C			C	C	C	C
66	Contract Construction Services: Building Construction, Plumbing, Paving and Landscape					P	P						
671	Governmental Services: Executive, Legislative, Administrative and Judicial Functions	C	C	C	A				A	C	C	C	C
672	Governmental Services: Protective Functions and Related Activities Excluding Maintenance Shops	C	C	C	A	C 10	C 10	C	A	C	C	C	C
673	Limited Governmental Services: Protective Functions (21)				P				P				
	Limited Governmental Services: Executive and	P	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P	P	P

STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Services – Nonresidential Districts											
		Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
674	Administrative, Legislative and Protective Functions (22)	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB (16)	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
675	Military and Correctional Institutions (27)	C	C	C		C	C	C		C	C	C	C
681	Secure Community Transition Facility (23,24)	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
682	Education: Primary and Secondary (25)(27)	P	P	P	P	P	P	C	P	P	P	P	P
683	Universities and Colleges (27)	P	P	P	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P
691	Special Schools: Vocational, Trade, Art, Music, Driving, Barber and Beauty Schools	P	P	P	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P
692 (A)	Religious Activities (27)	P	P	P	P	P	P	C	P	P	P	P	P
692 (B)	Professional and Labor Organizations Fraternal Lodge	C	C	P	P		P		P	P	P	P	P
	Social Service Providers	C	C	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
	Administrative Office – General	P	P	P	P	P5	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
	Computer Program, Data Processing and Other Computer-Related Services	P	P	P	P	P5	P		P		P	P	P
	Research, Development and Testing Services	P	P	P	P	P5	P		P		P	P	P

Permitted uses in the Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C and EH-D) are listed in LUC 20.25F.010.

Permitted uses in the Office and Limited Business-Open Space District (OLB-OS) are listed in LUC 20.25L.020.

Permitted uses in the Medical Institution District are listed in LUC 20.25J.020.

Permitted uses in the Bel-Red District (BR) are listed in LUC 20.25D.070.

Permitted uses in the Camp and Conference Center District (CCC) are listed in LUC 20.25N.040.

Permitted uses in the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District (EG-TOD) are listed in LUC 20.25P.050.

**Notes: Uses in land use districts – Services**

- (1) Finance, insurance, real estate services are permitted only if commercially or industrially related in LI Districts.
- (2) Personal services are permitted in LI Districts only if located in a multiple function building or complex.
- (3) Refer to Chapter 20.50 LUC for definitions of child care service, family child care home, and child day care center.
- (4) A child care service may be located in a community facility in any land use district pursuant to LUC 20.20.170.E.
- (5) These uses are permitted in LI Districts only if located in a multiple function building or complex.
- (6) Automobile rental and leasing services require administrative conditional use approval and are subject to the decision criteria in LUC 20.20.135.
- (7) Rental services are restricted to autos and furniture in CB and F1 Districts and to truck, trailer, and tool rentals, provided the site has two street frontages.
- (8) Auto repair and washing services are permitted only if washing services are a subordinate use to a permitted or special use in Downtown-MU Districts.
- (9) Professional services are permitted in LI Districts only if located in a multiple function building or complex.
- (10) Governmental services include maintenance shops in LI and GC Districts.
- (11) Limited to a maximum of 1,500 gross square feet per establishment.
- (12) Nonresidential uses are permitted in Downtown-R Districts only if developed in a building which contains residential uses.
- (13) Drive-in facilities may be permitted through Design Review, Part 20.30F LUC, at any location in the Downtown-O-2 District, or within 200 feet of NE 4th Street or NE 8th Street in the Downtown-O-1 District; but only if all the following criteria are met:
  - (a) On-site capacity for vehicle stacking of 10 spaces for one drive-up station and 20 spaces for two or more drive-up stations must be provided.

- (b) The design of the vehicular access is compatible with high volume pedestrian walkways and parking access. The vehicular access will not disrupt established retail or service frontages designed to serve pedestrians, nor can the vehicular access lanes be located between the street and the main pedestrian access to the buildings.
  - (c) The vehicle stacking lanes must be contained within a structured parking area, or be otherwise screened.
  - (d) Landscaping or screening must be provided to mitigate any adverse effects on nearby property. Perimeter walkways and sidewalks must conform to the requirements of LUC 20.25A.060.
  - (e) Walk-up banking service, whether manned or electronically activated customer service stations, must be provided on-site during regular daytime business hours for pedestrian business when there is no interior banking service.
  - (14) These uses are permitted only in Bellevue School District schools, whether under control of the School District or the City.
  - (a) In the review of the proposed use or uses under the Administrative Conditional Use Permit application, Part 20.30E LUC, the following criteria shall be considered:
    - (i) Consistency of the proposal with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
    - (ii) Extent to which the physical environment will be modified by the proposal.
    - (iii) Ability to provide on-site parking facilities to accommodate intended uses under the proposal.
    - (iv) Extent of additional demand on public utilities and public services resulting from the proposal.
    - (v) Noise impacts of the proposal.
    - (vi) Traffic volumes and street classifications in the area of the proposal.
    - (vii) Compatibility of the proposal with surrounding land uses.
    - (viii) Impact of the proposal on the visual and aesthetic character of the neighborhood.
- In addition, the proposed use or uses shall not be more intensive than if the school were being used as a school.
- (b) A master Conditional Use Permit listing a range of permissible uses from those permitted in the land use district as listed in LUC [20.10.440](#) can be obtained for the entire school by using the conditional use process, Part 20.30B or Part 20.30C LUC. Uses listed in the permit shall be permitted outright and uses not listed but permitted as conditional uses shall obtain a Conditional Use Permit.
  - (15) Permitted in inactive elementary school facilities. The following criteria shall be considered:
    - (a) Subsections a.i – viii of Note 14 – Uses in land use districts – Services.
    - (b) Hours of operation.
    - (c) Proposed signing.

- (16) Other than administrative office use, each individual service use in NB Districts is limited to 5,000 square feet. Administrative office use is limited as follows: when located on the first floor of a building, administrative office use is limited to 5,000 square feet or 25 percent of the first floor footprint, whichever is less; when located above the first floor of a building, administrative office use is allowed without a limit on total aggregate square footage, so long as each individual administrative office use is limited to 5,000 square feet. In no event may administrative office uses exceed more than 50 percent of the total building square footage. Administrative conditional use approval is required for hours of operation between 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. The applicant must meet the decision criteria for an Administrative Conditional Use Permit set forth in Chapter 20.30E LUC, and must demonstrate that: (a) the use will meet the requirements of the Noise Control Ordinance, Chapter 9.18 BCC; and (b) the use will meet the lighting standards of the Community Retail Design District for all lighting fixtures on the premises that would be lit between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. Businesses operating between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. on the effective date of City of Bellevue Ordinance No. 4422 are exempt from the ACUP requirements.
- (17) Only travel agencies are permitted in NB Districts.
- (18) Rental services limited to truck, trailer and tool rentals are permitted in NB Districts with administrative conditional use approval, provided the site has two street frontages.
- (19) Auto repair and washing services are permitted with administrative conditional use approval only in NB sites that have two street frontages.
- (20) Personal services are permitted only when functionally integrated within a building or complex used primarily as a hotel or motel; office building; university or college; charitable, social service, professional or labor organization; or recreational facility.
- (21) Uses are limited to neighborhood community police stations of 1,000 square feet or less.
- (22) Uses are limited to 1,000 square feet, except for protective functions which are limited to community police stations of 1,500 square feet or less.
- (23) No portion of a property on which a Secure Community Transition Facility is proposed to be located may be within 300 feet of the boundary of any land use district within which the SCTF use is prohibited. The required 300 feet shall be measured in accordance with the policy guidelines established by the Department of Social and Health Services pursuant to RCW 71.09.285(4), now or as hereafter amended.
- (24) Secure Community Transition Facilities are subject to the regulations for Secure Community Transition Facilities in LUC 20.20.750.
- (25) Primary and secondary educational facilities are an administrative conditional use in all land use districts; provided, that in all residential land use districts and the DNTN-R District a Conditional Use Permit is required for:
  - (a) The siting of such educational facility on a site not previously developed with an educational facility; or
  - (b) The addition to or modification of a site previously developed with an educational facility where that addition or modification involves:
    - (i) An increase of 20 percent or more in the number of students occupying the school. The increase shall be measured against the number of students for which the school was designed prior to the addition or modification, without regard to temporary structures that may have been added to the site over time. If there is no information establishing the number of students for which the school was originally designed, then the increase shall be measured against the average number of students occupying the school in the three academic years immediately preceding the proposed addition or modification; or
    - (ii) A change in the age group of students occupying the school, or the addition of an age group where such age group was not previously served at the school, except that the addition of students younger than kindergarten age consistent with the definition of school in LUC 20.50.046 shall not be considered a change in the age group of students or an Eastgate LUCA Draft for Public Hearing, Page 23

addition of an age group for purposes of this subsection. For purposes of this subsection, age group refers to elementary, middle, junior or high school, as defined and used by the school district operating the school; or

(iii) The addition of facilities or programs that may result in impacts not anticipated at the time the original school was developed, including, for example: development of lighted ballfields or the addition of lighting to existing ballfields; development of an exterior sound amplification system; development of fixed outdoor seating, or a proposal to increase the height of the facility pursuant to LUC 20.20.740.A.3.b.

(26) Battery Exchange Stations are ancillary to Auto Repair and Washing Services, and are permitted through the applicable review process as a component of that use. Operators of Battery Exchange Stations must comply with federal and state law regulating the handling, storage, and disposal of batteries.

(27) See LUC 20.20.190 for additional regulations.

(28) Boarding and commercial kennels are permitted as a subordinate use to a pet grooming or pet day care provided that the criteria of LUC 20.20.130 are met.

(29) Stand-alone emergency rooms shall not be permitted.

(30) Outdoor storage of materials is prohibited.

**Chart 20.10.440**

**Uses in land use districts**

Transportation and Utilities – Residential Districts

...

**Chart 20.10.440**

**Uses in land use districts**

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Transportation and Utilities – Nonresidential Districts											
	Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
4	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION											
	Transportation, Communications and Utilities											



STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Transportation and Utilities – Nonresidential Districts											
		Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
41	Rail Transportation: Right-of-Way, Yards, Terminals, Maintenance Shops	PO	O	OLB	<u>OLB 2</u>	LI	GC	NB	<u>NMU</u>	CB	F1	F2	F3
42 4291	Motor Vehicle Transportation: Bus Terminals, Taxi Headquarters	C	C	C		P	P		<u>C</u>	C	C		C
4214 422	Motor Vehicle Transportation: Maintenance Garages and Motor Freight Services (23)					P	C						
43	Aircraft Transportation: Airports, Fields, Terminals, Heliports, Storage and Maintenance	C 11	C 12	C 12	<u>C 12</u>	C 12	C 12	C 11		C 12	C 12		C 12
46	Accessory Parking (6)(24) Auto Parking: Commercial Lots and Garages (24)	P	P	P	<u>P</u>	P	P	P	<u>P</u>	P	P	P	P
475	Park and Ride (5) (24) Radio and Television Broadcasting Studios	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C	C	C
485	Solid Waste Disposal (19) Highway and Street Right-of-Way (24) Utility Facility	P	P	P	<u>P</u>	P	P	P	<u>P</u>	P	P	P	P
	Local Utility System	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C	C	C
	Regional Utility System	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C	C	C

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Transportation and Utilities – Nonresidential Districts											
	Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
	PO	O	OLB	<u>OLB 2</u>	LI	GC	NB	<u>NMU</u>	CB	F1	F2	F3
			A	A	A	A	A		A	A	A	A
					C							
	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C	C	<u>C</u>	C	C	C	C
	C/P	C/P	C/P	<u>C/P</u>	C/P	C/P	C/P	<u>C/P</u>	C/P	C/P	C/P	C/P
	14, 16, 21	14, 16, 21	14, 16, 21	<u>14, 16, 21</u>	14, 16, 21	14, 16, 21	14, 16, 21	<u>14, 16, 21</u>	14, 16, 21	14, 16, 21	14, 16, 21	14, 16, 21
	14, 16	14, 16	14, 16	<u>14, 16</u>	14, 16	14, 16	14, 16	<u>14, 16</u>	14, 16	14, 16	14, 16	14, 16
	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P
	A/C 22	A/C 22	A/C 22	<u>A/C 22</u>	A/C 22	A/C 22	A/C 22	<u>A/C 22</u>	A/C 22	A/C 22	A/C 22	A/C 22
	(22)	22										

Permitted uses in the Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C and EH-D) are listed in LUC 20.25F.010.

Permitted uses in the Office and Limited Business-Open Space District (OLB-OS) are listed in LUC 20.25L.020.

Permitted uses in the Medical Institution District are listed in LUC 20.25J.020.

Permitted uses in the Bel-Red District (BR) are listed in LUC 20.25D.070.

Permitted uses in the Camp and Conference Center District (CCC) are listed in LUC 20.25N.040.

Permitted uses in the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District (EG-TOD) are listed in LUC 20.25P.050.

**Notes: Uses in land use districts – Transportation and Utilities<sup>1</sup>**

- (1) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 5086). Intentionally deleted.~~
- (2) Intentionally deleted.
- (3) Accessory parking is not permitted in residential land use districts as accessory to uses which are not permitted in these districts.
- (4) The location of an off-site parking facility must be approved by the Director of the Development Services Department. See LUC 20.25A.050H.
- (5) Park and Ride. A park and pool lot or other carpool facility is regulated as a park and ride. A park and ride providing no more than 50 parking spaces, and utilizing the parking area of an existing use shall be regulated as an accessory use under LUC 20.20.200. Any other park and ride requires a Conditional Use Permit.
- (6) Accessory parking requires approval through the review process required for the primary land use which it serves pursuant to LUC 20.10.440.
- (7) On-site hazardous waste treatment and storage facilities as defined by LUC 20.50.024 are only permitted as administrative conditional use approvals as a subordinate use to a permitted or special use. These facilities must comply with the state siting criteria as adopted in accordance with RCW 70.105.210.
- (8) Off-site hazardous waste treatment and storage facilities as defined by LUC 20.50.024 must comply with the state siting criteria as adopted in accordance with RCW 70.105.210.
- (9) (Deleted by Ord. 5086).
- (10) These uses are permitted only if located in a multiple function building or complex.
- (11) Aircraft transportation is limited in these districts to government heliports used exclusively for emergency purposes and regulated pursuant to the terms of LUC 20.20.450.
- (12) Aircraft transportation is limited in these districts to government and hospital heliports used exclusively for emergency purposes and regulated pursuant to the terms of LUC 20.20.450.
- (13) Design Review approval, Part 20.30F LUC, or a Change of Use Permit is required to establish a commercial parking facility. Refer to LUC 20.25A.050E for additional development requirements.
- (14) Wireless communication facilities (WCFs) are not permitted on any residential structure, undeveloped site located in a residential land use district, or site that is developed with a residential use. This note does not prohibit locating WCF: a) on any residential structure or undeveloped site in R-20 or R-30 Land Use Districts; or b) on any nonresidential structure (i.e., churches, schools, public facility structures, utility poles, etc.) or in public rights-of-way in any residential land use district.
- (15) Intentionally deleted.
- (16) Refer to LUC 20.20.195 for general requirements applicable to wireless communication facilities and other communication, broadcast and relay facilities.

- (17) Intentionally deleted.
- (18) Refer to LUC 20.20.730 for general requirements applicable to Large Satellite Dishes.
- (19) Refer to LUC 20.20.820 for general requirements applicable to solid waste disposal facilities.
- (20) Refer to LUC 20.20.350 for general requirements applicable to Essential Public Facilities (EPF).
- (21) Antenna and associated equipment used to transmit or receive fixed wireless signals when located at a fixed customer location are permitted in all land use districts and are exempt from the requirements of LUC 20.20.010, 20.20.195 and 20.20.525 so long as the antenna and equipment comply with 47 C.F.R. 1.400, now or as hereafter amended. A building permit may be required to ensure safe installation of the antenna and equipment.
- (22) For the definition of electrical utility facility, see LUC 20.50.018, and for reference to applicable development regulations relating to electrical utility facilities, see LUC 20.20.255. For new or expanding electrical utility facilities proposed on sensitive sites as described by Figure UT.5a of the Utilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan, the applicant shall obtain Conditional Use Permit approval under Part 20.30B LUC, complete an alternative siting analysis as described in LUC 20.20.255.D and comply with decision criteria and design standards set forth in LUC 20.20.255. For expansions of electrical utility facilities not proposed on sensitive sites as described by Figure UT.5a, the applicant shall obtain Administrative Conditional Use Permit approval under Part 20.30E LUC and comply with decision criteria and design standards set forth in LUC 20.20.255.
- (23) Battery Exchange Stations are ancillary to Motor Vehicle Transportation, and are permitted through the applicable review process as a component of that use. Operators of Battery Exchange Stations must comply with federal and state law regulating the handling, storage, and disposal of batteries.
- (24) Electric Vehicle Infrastructure, excluding Battery Exchange Stations, is ancillary to motor vehicle parking and highways and rights-of-way, and is permitted through the applicable review process as a component of that use.
- (25) Refer to Part 20.25M LUC, Light Rail Overlay District, for specific requirements applicable to EPF defined as a regional light rail transit facility or regional light rail transit system pursuant to LUC 20.25M.020. A conditional use permit is not required when the City Council has approved a regional light rail transit facility or regional light rail transit system by resolution or ordinance, or by a development agreement authorized by Chapter 36.70B RCW and consistent with LUC 20.25M.030.B.1.
- (26) Auto parking, commercial lots and garages are only allowed in the OLB 2 District if they are west of 142<sup>nd</sup> Avenue SE.

Chart 20.10.440

Uses in land use districts

Wholesale and Retail – Residential Districts

...

Chart 20.10.440

Uses in land use districts

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Wholesale and Retail – Residential Districts											
	Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business (36*)	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB (5)	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
5												
51												
5111												
5156												
5157												
5191												
5192												

		Wholesale and Retail – Residential Districts											
STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business (36*)	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
		PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB (5)	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
	Metals, Bulk Petroleum (2)												
5193	Scrap Waste Materials, Livestock												
	Recycling Centers					P	P	P	P	P	P		
521	Lumber and					P 35	P			P	P		
522	Other Bulky												
523	Building												
524	Materials Including Preassembled Products (3)												
5251	Hardware, Paint, Tile and Wallpaper (Retail)				P	S 35	P	P	P	P	P		
5252	Farm Equipment					P 35							
53	General Merchandise: Dry Goods, Variety and Dept. Stores (Retail)				P				P	P	P		
54	Food and Convenience Store (Retail)				P		P	P	P	P	P		

STD LAND USE CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Wholesale and Retail – Residential Districts											
		Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business (36*)	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
5511	Autos (Retail)	PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB (5)	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3
	Trucks, Motorcycles, Recreational Vehicles (Retail)			P 6 P 25	<u>42</u> <u>P 25, 42</u>	A 4, 35 P 7, 35	P P			C	C		
552	Boats (Retail)				<u>42</u>	P 35	P			P			
	Automotive and Marine Accessories (Retail)					P 35	P						
553	Gasoline Service Stations (40)(34)			A 34	<u>A</u>	P 34, 35	P	P	<u>P</u>	P	P	A 34	A 34
56	Apparel and Accessories (Retail)			S	<u>P</u>		P		<u>P</u>	P	P	S	S
57	Furniture, Home Furnishing (Retail)				<u>P</u>	P 11, 35	P		<u>P</u>	P	P		
58	Eating and Drinking Establishments (37)		P 13	P 14	<u>P 28</u>	P 15, 29, 35	P	P 16, 28	<u>P 28</u>	P	P	P 14	P 14
59	Misc. Retail Trade: Drugs, Liquor, Antiques, Books, Sporting Goods, Jewelry, Florist, Photo Supplies, Video		P 17	S	<u>P</u>		P	P 19	<u>P</u>	P	P	S	S

		Wholesale and Retail – Residential Districts											
STD LAND USE CODE REF		Professional Office	Office	Office/Limited Business	Office/Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighborhood Business	Neighborhood Mixed Use	Community Business (36*)	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3
	<b>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</b>	<b>PO</b>	<b>O</b>	<b>OLB</b>	<b>OLB 2</b>	<b>LI</b>	<b>GC</b>	<b>NB (5)</b>	<b>NMU</b>	<b>CB</b>	<b>F1</b>	<b>F2</b>	<b>F3</b>
	Rentals and Computer Supplies												
	Adult Retail Establishments (31)		S							P	P	S	S
59	Marijuana Retail Outlet						A 41			A 41	A 41		
5961	Farm Supplies, Hay, Grain, Feed and Fencing, etc. (Retail)					P 35	P						
596	Retail Fuel Yards					P 35	P						
5996	Garden Supplies, Small Trees, Shrubs, Flowers, Ground Cover, Horticultural Nurseries and Light Supplies and Tools					P 35	P	P 20		P 20	P 20		
5999	Pet Shop (Retail and Grooming)				P	P 26, 35	P 26	P	P	P	P		
	Computers and Electronics (Retail)				P	P 12, 35	P 12		P	P	P		

Permitted uses in the Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C and EH-D) are listed in LUC 20.25F.010.

Permitted uses in the Office and Limited Business-Open Space District (OLB-OS) are listed in LUC 20.25L.020.



Permitted uses in the Medical Institution District are listed in LUC 20.25J.020.

Permitted uses in the Bel-Red District (BR) are listed in LUC 20.25D.070.

Permitted uses in the Camp and Conference Center District (CCC) are listed in LUC 20.25N.040.

Permitted uses in the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District (EG-TOD) are listed in LUC 20.25P.050.

**Notes: Uses in land use districts – Wholesale and Retail**

- (1) Wholesale trade includes sales offices for these goods.
- (2) Wholesale trade of motor vehicles, primary and structural metals, and bulk petroleum includes sales offices for these goods and excludes tank farms.
- (3) Bulk retail includes sales offices for these goods.
- (4) Automobile (retail) is subject to the decision criteria in LUC 20.20.135.
- (5) Each individual wholesale and retail use in NB Districts, except retail food stores and miscellaneous retail trade, is limited to 5,000 square feet. Wholesale and retail uses intending to operate between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. must obtain administrative conditional use approval. The applicant must meet the decision criteria for an Administrative Conditional Use Permit set forth in Chapter 20.30E LUC, and must demonstrate that: 1) the use will meet the requirements of the Noise Control Ordinance, Chapter 9.18 BCC; and 2) the use will meet the lighting standards of the Community Retail Design District for all lighting fixtures on the premises that would be lit between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. Businesses operating between the hours of 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. on the effective date of the ordinance codified in this chapter are exempt from the ACUP requirements.
- (6) Retail auto sales are permitted only in the following locations:
  - a. The west side of 116th Avenue NE between NE 8th Street and the SE 8th Street off-ramp from northbound I-405;
  - b. Along SE 36th Street west of the ravine located at the approximate alignment of 133rd Avenue SE and east of 132nd Avenue SE; and
  - c. West of 148th Avenue SE between SE Eastgate Way and Bellevue College, on properties fronting wholly or partially on 148th Avenue SE. Retail auto sales located in this area shall be subject to design review per Part 20.30F LUC, which shall in particular address and control spillover lighting, and associated vehicle inventory storage shall predominantly occur within a building or buildings. Transition area landscape buffers consistent with LUC 20.25B.040.C shall be provided along interior property lines that abut properties located within OLB and LI land use districts.
- (7) Motorcycle (retail) requires administrative conditional use approval in LI Districts.

(8) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 50889) - Intentionally deleted.~~

(9) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 50889) - Intentionally deleted.~~  
Eastgate LUCA Draft for Public Hearing, Page 33

- (10) ~~(Deleted by Ord. 50809). Intentionally deleted.~~
- (11) Furniture and home furnishings are limited to uses with on-site warehousing in LI Districts.
- (12) Computer supplies are permitted as a subordinate use to computer sales in LI and GC Districts.
- (13) Eating and drinking establishments are excluded in transition areas in O Districts.
- (14) Eating and drinking establishments are permitted in the OLB, F2 and F3 Districts subject to the following criteria:
- (a) Such uses are physically integrated within a structure primarily used as a hotel or motel; office building; charitable, social, professional and labor organization; fraternal lodge; recreational facility or institution such as a public assembly (indoor).
- (b) Such uses do not exceed 20 percent of the gross floor area of the structure or structures.
- (c) The entire site complex has a unity of design in terms of wall and roof materials, roof slopes and window patterns.
- (15) Eating and drinking establishments are permitted in LI Districts only if located in a multiple function building or complex.
- (16) Eating and drinking establishments may include liquor sales only if operated under a Class A or C liquor license issued by the Washington State Liquor Control Board. Eating and drinking establishments with other classes of liquor licenses require administrative conditional use approval.
- (17) Other retail trade is limited to drugstores only in O Districts.
- (18) Intentionally deleted.
- (19) Except for drugstores, all miscellaneous retail uses combined cannot exceed 10,000 square feet and each individual use cannot exceed 3,000 square feet.
- (20) Garden supplies excludes items such as large trees, rock and bulk supplies which require special handling equipment in NB, CB, F1 and Downtown-MU Districts.
- (21) Limited to a maximum of 1,500 gross square feet per establishment.
- (22) Limited to a maximum of 3,000 gross square feet per establishment, except for food, retail.
- (23) Nonresidential uses are permitted in Downtown-R Districts only when developed within the same project limit and simultaneously with an equal or greater amount of floor area devoted to residential uses.
- (24) No on-site outdoor display or inventory storage.
- (25) Only retail sales of motorcycles is a permitted use and outdoor storage is prohibited.
- (26) Only pet grooming is permitted in the LI and GC Districts.
- (27) Food and convenience stores (retail) must contain at least 75 percent square footage of retail food sales not for consumption on premises.
- (28) Drive-in windows are not permitted.

- (29) No more than one eating and drinking establishment is permitted in any building.
- (30) Limited to a maximum of 15,000 gross square feet per establishment or up to 25,000 gross square feet through a conditional use.
- (31) Adult retail establishments are subject to the regulations for adult entertainment uses in LUC 20.20.127.
- (32) (Reserved).
- (33) (Deleted by Ord. 5089).
- (34) Gasoline service stations may include subordinate convenience stores.
- (35) Any business which combines two or more permitted retail sales uses and also includes subordinate retail sales uses shall be limited in size to 50,000 square feet.
- \*(36) Retail uses in CB Districts in the following subareas, as designated in the Comprehensive Plan, are limited in size to 100,000 gross square feet or less: Bridle Trails, Evergreen Highlands, Newcastle, North Bellevue, Northeast Bellevue, Richards Valley, South Bellevue, Southeast Bellevue, and Wilburton; provided, that in CB Districts in the Wilburton Subarea, retail uses may be allowed to exceed 100,000 gross square feet through a Council-approved development agreement that is consistent with Chapter 36.70B RCW and includes design guidelines that (a) address the potential impacts of that scale of retail use, and (b) are consistent with the vision of Comprehensive Plan Policy S-WI-3 regarding the creation of a "retail village" on the commercial area west of 120th Avenue NE.
- (37) Microbrewery manufacturing is permitted subordinate to an eating and drinking establishment; provided, that the manufacturing use occupies not more than 50 percent of the total square footage of the combined establishment.
- (38) Eating and drinking establishments and retail uses are permitted in the Downtown-OLB District, provided the following criteria are met:
  - (a) The uses are functionally integrated within a building or complex primarily used as a hotel or motel; office building; university or college; charitable, social service, professional or labor organization; or recreation facility.
  - (b) The uses do not exceed 30 percent of the total floor area of the building or complex.
  - (c) Each individual retail use is limited to 15,000 gross square feet in area.
  - (d) The entire complex achieves a unity of design through the use of similar exterior building materials, colors, and window patterns.
- (39) All wholesale and retail uses, which offer shopping carts to customers, shall (a) designate a shopping cart containment area as defined in BCC 9.10.010; (b) display signage around shopping cart corrals and at the perimeter of the shopping cart containment area that provides notice that unauthorized removal of a shopping cart from the premises constitutes theft under RCW 9A.56.270 and unauthorized abandonment of a shopping cart more than 100 feet away from the parking area of a retail establishment or shopping cart containment area is a Class 3 civil infraction as defined in RCW 7.80.120; and (c) display information on each shopping cart that is consistent with the labeling requirements of RCW 9A.56.270 and includes a 24-hour toll-free phone number to report abandoned shopping carts. Abandoned shopping carts or shopping carts located outside of a shopping cart containment area constitute a public nuisance under BCC 9.10.030(H) and may be abated through the provisions of Chapter 1.18 BCC.
- (40) Battery Exchange Stations are ancillary to Gasoline Service Stations, and are permitted through the applicable review process as a component of that use. Operators of Battery Exchange Stations must comply with federal and state law regulating the handling, storage, and disposal of batteries.

(41) See LUC 20.20.535 for general development requirements for marijuana uses.

(42) In the OLB 2 District, retail auto, truck, RV and boat showrooms may be allowed through a development agreement. No outdoor storage of autos, trucks, boats and RVs is allowed.

DRAFT

Miscellaneous Eastgate Amendments

**20.20.005 Chart of dimensional requirements described.**

Chart 20.20.010 sets forth the dimensional requirements for each land use district except: the Downtown Land Use Districts, the Evergreen Highlands Design District, the Evergreen Highlands Subarea Transportation Improvement Overlay District, the Medical Institution District, the OLB-OS Land Use District, and the Bel-Red Land Use Districts. All structures and activities in the City not located in the above districts shall conform to the dimensional requirements in Chart 20.20.010. Dimensional requirements for the Downtown Land Use Districts are found in LUC [20.25A.020](#). Dimensional requirements for the Evergreen Highlands Design District are found in Part [20.25F](#) LUC. Dimensional requirements for the Evergreen Highlands Subarea Transportation Improvement Overlay District are found in Part [20.25G](#) LUC. Dimensional requirements for the Medical Institution District are found in Part [20.25J](#) LUC. Dimensional requirements for the OLB-OS Land Use District are found in LUC [20.25L.030](#). Dimensional requirements for the Bel-Red Land Use Districts are found in LUC [20.25D.080](#). Dimensional requirements for the Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District are found in [20.25P.060A](#). Additional special dimensional requirements for designated areas of the City are contained in other parts of the Code as follows:

- A. Part [20.25B](#) LUC – Transition Areas;
- B. Part [20.25C](#) LUC – OLB Districts;
- C. Part [20.25E](#) LUC – Shoreline Overlay District;
- D. Part [20.25H](#) LUC – Critical Areas Overlay District;
- E. Part [20.45A](#) LUC – Platting and Subdivisions;
- F. Part [20.45B](#) LUC – Short Plats and Short Subdivisions.

...

**20.20.010 Uses in land use districts dimensional requirements.**

**Chart 20.20.010**

Uses in land use districts      Dimensional Requirements

...

NOTE: Dimensional Requirements for Downtown are found in Part [20.25A](#) LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C, EH-D) are found in Part [20.25F](#) LUC.

Eastgate LUCA Draft for Public Hearing, Page 37

Dimensional Requirements for Office and Limited Business – Open Space (OLB-OS) are found in Part [20.25L](#) LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Medical Institution District (MI) are found in Part [20.25J](#) LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Bel-Red Land Use Districts are found in Part [20.25D](#) LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District are found in Part [20.25P](#) LUC.

---

**20.20.520 Landscape development.**

∴ Site Landscaping.

1. Perimeter Landscaping Requirements for Use Districts. The applicant shall provide site perimeter landscaping either according to the following chart and subject to paragraphs F.2 and F.6 of this section; or in conformance with subsection J of this section.

**Perimeter Landscaping Requirements for Use Districts**

Land Use District in Which the Subject Property is Located <sup>3</sup>	Street Frontage (Type and Minimum Depth)	Interior Property Lines (Type and Minimum Depth) <sup>1</sup>
R-10, 15, 20, 30	Type III, 10' but if located in a Transition Area, and directly abutting S/F <sup>2</sup> , see Part 20.25B LUC for requirements.	Type III, 8' but if located in a Transition Area, and directly abutting S/F <sup>2</sup> , see Part 20.25B LUC for requirements.
NB, PO, O, OLB; <u>OLB 2</u> , OLB-OS	Type III, 10' but if located in a Transition Area, and directly abutting S/F <sup>2</sup> , R-10, 15, 20 or 30, see Part 20.25B LUC for requirements. <sup>4</sup>	Type III, 10' but if located in a Transition Area, and directly abutting S/F <sup>2</sup> , R-10, 15, 20 or 30, see Part 20.25B LUC for requirements. <sup>4</sup>
LI, GC, CB, <u>NMU</u>	Type III, 10' but if located in a Transition Area, and directly abutting S/F <sup>2</sup> , R-10, 15, 20 or 30, see Part 20.25B LUC for requirements.	Type III, 8' but if located in a Transition Area, and directly abutting S/F <sup>2</sup> , R-10, 15, 20 or 30, see Part 20.25B LUC for requirements.

- ...
- H. Limitation of Landscaping Requirements.
  1. Except in a Transition Area, the total Buildable Area of the subject property which is required to be landscaped is limited as follows. The location of this landscaping within the Buildable Area must meet the purpose and intent of paragraphs A, F, 1 and G of this section.
    - a. Twenty percent of the Buildable Area in an NB, PO, O, ~~OLB~~, or OLB.2 Land Use District;
    - b. Fifteen percent of the Buildable Area in an LI, GC, NMU, or CB Land Use District;
    - c. Twenty percent of the Buildable Area of the Development Area in an OLB-OS Land Use District.

...

**Part 20.25B Transition Area Design District**

**20.25B.010 Purpose.**

The Transition Area Design District provides a buffer between residential uses in a residential land use district and a land use district which permits development of higher intensity. Where multifamily development is planned adjacent to single-family residential uses or commercial development is planned adjacent to residential uses, such development should incorporate elements in the site design and building design to soften its impact and to result in a compatible transition.

**20.25B.020 Applicability.**

- A. General.
 

This chapter applies to any portion of property located in a district designated on the chart below as "Districts providing transition" which is located within 300 feet of property located in a district designated on the chart as "Single-family districts receiving transition" or within 150 feet of property located in a district designated on the chart as "Multifamily districts receiving transition."
- B. Limitations.
  1. Where a transition area abuts a portion of I-90, I-405, SR 520, Burlington Northern Railroad right-of-way, or power transmission line which is located in a single-family or multifamily district, the City shall include that portion as part of the required width of the transition area.

2. If the applicant establishes that a minimum 150-foot width of greenbelt or native growth protection easement is permanently dedicated for nonbuildable purposes and is located in a single-family or multifamily district, the City shall include that portion as part of the required width of the transition area.
3. Development within any Downtown Land Use District is not subject to Transition Area Design District requirements (refer to LUC 20.25A.090, Perimeter Design District).
4. Development within the F1 Land Use District is not subject to Transition Area Design District requirements.
5. Development within the OLB-OS Land Use District is not subject to Transition Area Design District requirements where that property receiving transition is developed in a nonresidential use.
6. Development of a wireless communications facility is not subject to Transition Area Design District requirements.
7. Development within the Medical Institution Land Use District is not subject to Transition Area Design District requirements.
8. Development within the Bel-Red Land Use Districts is not subject to the Transition Area Design District requirements unless specifically made applicable pursuant to Part 20.25D LUC.
9. Where a transition area abuts a single-family or multifamily district and all properties that would receive transition are developed with legally permitted nonresidential uses, the requirements of this Part 20.25B shall not apply.
10. Development within the Camp and Conference Center Land Use District is not subject to Transition Area Design District requirements.



CHART – DISTRICTS RECEIVING TRANSITION

Districts Providing Transition	Districts Receiving Transition																				SINGLE-FAMILY LUC OC*	MULTIFAMILY LUC OC**			
	SINGLE-FAMILY										MULTIFAMILY														
	R-1	R-1.8	R-2.5	R-3.5	R-4	R-5	R-7.5	R-10	R-20	R-30	PO	PO	OS	OS	OS	OS	OS	OS	OS	OS			OS	OS	
R-10	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
R-15	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
R-20	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
R-30	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
PO	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
OLB/ OLB-OS**** OLB2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
LI	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
GC	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
NB	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
NMU	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
CB	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
F2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
F3	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

Districts Receiving Transition													SINGLE-FAMILY	MULTIFAMILY					
SINGLE-FAMILY						MULTIFAMILY													
R-1	R-1.8	R-2.5	R-3.5	R-4	R-5	R-7.5	***	R-10	R-20	R-30	PO	O	OLB-OS	U	GC	NB	CB	LUC OC*	LUC OC**

\* A land use district outside of the City boundaries which permits no more than five dwelling units per acre, and which is classified as a residential district

\*\* A land use district outside of the City boundaries which permits no more than five dwelling units per acre, and which is classified as a residential district

\*\*\* Not effective within the jurisdiction of the East Bellevue Community Council

\*\*\*\* See LUC 20.25B.020.B.5

X Areas of transition

**20.25B.030 Design Review requirements.** All development within a Transition Area Design District must be reviewed by the Director of the Development Services Department using the Design Review Process, Part 20.30F LUC. If any portion of a building is within a transition area, only that portion is subject to transition area development standards; however, the entire building is subject to Design Review and site design guidelines. If any portion of a site is within a transition area, only that portion of the site is subject to transition area development standards, site design guidelines and Design Review. However, if the portion includes part of the street frontage, the entire street frontage is subject to the transition area buffer requirements.

**20.25B.040 Development standards.** Pursuant to LUC 20.25B.030, all development activity within a transition area must comply with the following:

- A. Building Height.
- Eastgate LUCA Draft for Public Hearing, Page 42

1. Definition. In a Transition Area, building height shall be measured from average existing grade around the building to the highest point of a flat roof or to the mean height between the tallest eave and tallest ridge of a pitched roof. Mechanical equipment and satellite dish antennas are included in building height calculations, except that mechanical equipment may be located within the upper one-half of a pitched roof form not to exceed 10 feet above maximum building height. This additional 10 feet is for equipment or screening purposes only and not to obtain additional habitable space. Specifically excluded from this definition are parapet walls designed solely, and only to the extent necessary, to screen mechanical and elevator equipment, and slender structural elements not intended for human habitation and not exceeding 10 feet above the maximum building height including chimneys, smoke ventilation stacks, omni-directional antennas, and flagpoles. This definition supersedes the building height definition in LUC 20.50.012 for purposes of this chapter only.

2. Maximum Height. The following chart sets forth the height limitation of any building within a transition area and the maximum height which may be achieved through bonuses as indicated in paragraph A.3 of this section:

Zone	Basic Height w/out Bonuses	Maximum Height w/Bonuses Limitation
R-10	30'	30'
R-15	30'	30'
R-20	30'	40'
R-30	30'	40'
PO	20'	30'
O	30'	40'
OLB	30'	45'
OLB-OS	30'	45'
<u>OLB 2</u>	<u>45'/75' (1)</u>	<u>45'/75' (1)</u>
LI	30'	45'
GC	30'	40'
NB	<u>20'/30' (2)</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>35' (3)</u> <sup>2</sup>
NMU	75' (1)	75' (1)
CB	30'	45'
F2	75'	75'
F3	<u>75'/135' (4)</u> <sup>3</sup>	<u>75'/135' (4)</u> <sup>3</sup>

- (1) In the OLB 2 and NMU Districts, the 45-foot basic height may be achieved through adherence to the Transition Area Design District development standards (LUC 20.25B.040) and the design guidelines (LUC 20.25B.050). The maximum height shall include mechanical equipment embedded within the building structure via a mechanical room. Maximum height up to 75 feet shall not exceed the maximum height for the district receiving transition when measured from the existing grade at the property line of the district receiving transition.
- (24) In the NB District, the 30-foot height limit may be achieved only when the development is in compliance with LUC 20.20.010, Note (25).
- (32) The 35-foot height limit may be achieved only when the development is in compliance with LUC 20.20.010, Note (25), and achieves a five-foot height bonus as indicated in paragraph A.3 of this section.
- (43) In the F3 District, the 135-foot height limit may be achieved only on properties south of the F3 Land Use District Separation Line and when the development is in compliance with LUC 20.20.010, Note (34).
3. Allowable Height Increase. Except in an R-10 or R-15 District, the height limitation may be increased up to maximum height with bonuses limitation indicated in paragraph A.2 of this section only if one or more of the following items is provided, the decision criteria for Administrative Design Review are met, and the intent of the Transition Area Design District is maintained.
- | <b>Item</b>   | <b>Increase Allowed</b> |
|---|-------------------------|
| a. Underbuilding parking:   | 5-foot increase         |
| b. Basement parking:  | 10-foot increase        |
| c. Pitched roof:  | 5-foot increase         |
| d. Top floor setback on all sides of at least 10 feet:  | 5-foot increase         |
| e. No mechanical equipment on the roof:   | 5-foot increase         |
| f. Existing grade at the proposed building line is at 10 feet below the existing grade at the property line of the property receiving transition: | 5-foot increase         |
4. Modification to Height. Except in the OLB 2, NMU and F3 district, the maximum building height allowed in paragraph A.2 of this section may be modified through the Administrative Design Review process only if the following conditions are met:

- a. When the location of the building pad is at least 20 feet below the existing grade at the property line of the property receiving transition; and
  - b. The modified building height does not exceed the maximum height permitted by the underlying land use district for properties outside transition areas; and
  - c. The project, with the height modification, will provide the same or better transition to the property receiving transition as with the maximum height permitted in paragraph A.2 of this section.
- B. Setbacks.**
- 1. Setback for Primary Structures. Primary structures must be located a minimum of 30 feet from the property line of the district receiving transition.
  - 2. Distance Between Primary Structures. Primary structures must be located a minimum of 20 feet from other primary structures; provided, that this separation requirement may be modified pursuant to LUC 20.25H.040 on sites in the Critical Areas Overlay District.
- C. Landscaping, Open Space and Buffers.**
- 1. Landscaping. All landscaping shall comply with standards set forth in LUC 20.20.520. The provisions of LUC 20.20.520.J (Alternative Landscaping Option) are applicable and, in addition, may be used to modify up to 10 feet of required street frontage landscaping.
  - 2. Buffer.
    - a. A landscaped buffer, at least 20 feet in width, shall be provided along the entire street frontage where any portion of the street frontage is abutting a district receiving transition and along the interior property line abutting the district receiving transition.
    - b. All significant trees within 15 feet of the property line shall be retained as required by LUC 20.20.520.E.
    - c. The buffer shall be planted with the following, and shall include at least 50 percent native species in the required plantings:
      - i. Evergreen and deciduous trees, of which no more than 40 percent can be deciduous. There shall be a minimum of five trees per 1,000 square feet of buffer area, which shall be a minimum of 10 feet high at planting, along with the evergreen shrubs and living groundcover as described in paragraphs C.2.c.ii and iii of this section to effectively buffer development from adjacent residential properties; and
      - ii. Evergreen shrubs, a minimum 42 inches in height at planting, at a spacing no greater than three feet on center; and
      - iii. Living groundcover planted to cover the ground within three years; and
      - iv. Alternatively, where the street frontage landscaping will be planted to buffer a building elevation and not a parking area, driveway or site development other than a building, a lawn no less than five feet in width may be substituted for the shrubs and groundcover required

in paragraphs C.2.c.ii and iii of this section; provided, that the soil in the entire area of lawn is amended in accordance with LUC 20.20.520.F.8. This paragraph does not apply in LI and GC Districts.

- d. Where an LI, GC or CB zoned property abuts a residential district on an interior property line, an evergreen hedge a minimum of four feet in height at planting and capable of achieving a continued visual screen with a height of five feet within a three-year period or a combination of shrubs and fence shall be added within the required planting area to achieve the effect of a hedge.
- e. Patios and other similar ground level features and trails may be incorporated into the buffer area, except that no more than 20 percent of the area may be used for such features. Patios shall not be located within 10 feet of the property line.

D. Site Design Standards.

1. Surface parking lots shall be screened from street level views and from ground level views of an abutting residential district of a lower intensity by berms, hedges, walls or combinations thereof. In appropriate circumstances, surface parking lots should be located away from adjacent residential properties.
2. Site features such as fences, walls, refuse enclosures, light fixtures, carports and storage units shall be designed to be integrated with the architectural design of the primary structure.

E. Mechanical Equipment.

Except in the OLB 2 and NMU Districts, mechanical equipment which is located on the roof shall be incorporated into the pitched or stepped roof form, and not appear as a separate penthouse or box. In the OLB 2 and NMU Districts, the roof top mechanical equipment shall be fully screened and accommodated within the maximum height limit.

F. Refuse Containers.

All refuse and recycling containers shall be contained within structures enclosed on all four sides and utilize lids made of molded plastic or other sound buffering material.

G. Signs.

Building design shall provide for architecturally integrated signage consistent with the scale and architecture of the building. Signs shall meet the requirements of Chapter 22B.10 BCC, Bellevue Sign Code.

**20.25B.050 Design guidelines.**

Pursuant to LUC 20.25B.030, all development activity within a transition area must comply with the following guidelines:

A. Site Design Guidelines.

1. Whenever possible, vehicular access should be designed so that traffic is not directed through an abutting residential district of lower intensity.

2. Loading and refuse collection areas should be on the side of a building facing away from an abutting residential district of a lower intensity, but not in a front yard setback.
3. In addition to the minimum requirements of LUC 20.20.520, site development should maximize the retention of existing significant vegetation in order to soften the visual impact on adjacent residential uses.
4. Surrounding vegetation, topography, street patterns, parking configuration and building massing should be considered in order to result in a compatible fit between the proposed development and existing residential development.

B. Building Design Guidelines.

1. Building surfaces facing abutting residential districts should be clad with materials which are similar to or compatible with surrounding uses, and which minimize reflected lighting.
2. Building facades should incorporate elements such as setbacks, offsets, angled facets, deep roof overhangs, recesses and other architectural features which serve to break down the scale. The larger the building, the greater the number and variety of such elements that may be necessary to achieve the effect of diminishing scale.
3. Except in the OLB 2 and NMU Districts, pitched roof forms are preferred in order to enhance the compatibility with nearby residential areas. However, under certain circumstances, a stepped roof form could achieve a similar effect.
4. In the OLB 2 and NMU Districts, roof top elements (including roof shape, surface materials, colors, and mechanical equipment) should be integrated into the overall building design.
54. Communication dishes greater than one meter (3.28 feet) in diameter should not be visible from adjacent residential districts.
55. Materials and colors used on the building facades should be compatible with nearby residential buildings and the surrounding natural environment; however, colors and materials used for the purpose of accent may be approved.

**Part 20.25C Office and Limited Business (OLB) and Office and Limited Business 2 (OLB 2) Districts**

**20.25C.010 Applicability of site development and design standards.**

All new development and substantial remodels are subject to the applicable site development and design standards of this Part 20.25C.

**20.25C.020 Area and dimensional requirements in the OLB District.**

Only one structure may occupy a site of not less than the minimum lot size (two acres). Two structures may occupy a site of four acres and for each increment of minimum lot size (two acres), an additional structure may be added. Structures on four acres or more may be clustered. All structures shall conform to these requirements.

**20.25C.030 Minimum yard dimensions in the OLB District.**

- A. In the OLB Districts, required side yards may be reduced equally to permit building on 50 percent of the total property dimension measured from one side property line to the other.
- B. The combined dimension of the rear and front yards need not be more than 50 percent of the total property dimension measured from front to rear property line, and may be adjusted as follows:
1. Where the required front and rear yard dimensions combined is more than 50 percent of the total property dimension, measured from front to rear, the location of the rear building line may first be adjusted by measuring from the required front building line a dimension equal to 50 percent of the total property dimension.
  2. Where the required front yard dimension is greater than 50 percent of the total property dimension, measured from front to rear and after making the maximum adjustment of the rear yard, the location of the front yard building line may be adjusted by measuring from the rear property line a dimension equal to 50 percent of the total property dimension, and no rear yard shall be required. (Ord. 4972, 3-3-97, § 5; Ord. 4816, 12-4-95, § 104)

**20.25C.040 Design standards in the OLB Districts.**

- A. Building Design Standards.
1. The entire site complex shall have a unity of design by use of similar wall and roof materials, roof slopes and window patterns, in order to reduce adverse visual impacts to those on and along major access routes and to mitigate adverse impacts from major access routes on nearby, less intensive uses.
  2. Rooftop equipment shall be visually screened pursuant to LUC 20.20.525. The design and color of rooftop mechanical screening should be integrated with the building architectural style.
  3. The building should include architectural elements that provide weather protection such as overhangs and recesses at building entrances.
- B. Landscaping Design Standards.
1. The provisions of LUC 20.20.520, Landscape development, except as they conflict with this section, shall apply to development in the OLB District.
  2. Except for retail auto sales uses, a minimum of 15 percent of the property area of each site shall be in landscaped open space. For each percent that a structure's ground floor area exceeds 15 percent, the landscaping requirements for that site shall be increased by 0.5 percent to a maximum of 20 percent of the property area of the site.
  3. Service yards and at-grade mechanical equipment shall be sight-screened from adjoining property or streets or highways by a solid planting of evergreen trees and shrubs at least as high as the equipment or use being screened within two years from the time of planting.
  4. Except for retail auto sales uses, parking areas shall include plantings using trees of three inches caliper or 14 to 16 feet high and 42-inch-high shrubs at approximately 35 feet on-center parallel to the aisle, or shall be screened as a service yard using similar materials. Other parking



- lot landscaping shall meet LUC 20.20.590 requirements for Type V landscaping. Plantings shall include a minimum of 50 percent native species. Noxious species, as designated by the Director in submittal requirements, are prohibited.
5. When property abuts the right-of-way for I-90, I-405, or SR 520 highways, or abuts parallel frontage roads of said highways, plant material shall be planted and spaced in a planting area a minimum of 10 feet wide. Deciduous trees shall have a minimum caliper of three inches, evergreen trees shall have a minimum height of 14 to 16 feet tall and shall be at intervals of no greater than 35 feet on-center along the right-of-way. No more than 30 percent of the trees shall be deciduous. Trees shall have a minimum mature height of 45 feet. Shrubs shall be a minimum of 42 inches high.
  6. Trees installed as part of general site landscaping shall be a minimum of one and one-half inches in caliper or eight to 12 feet high.
  7. Accessible outdoor gathering areas should be provided for the employees, general public and visitors to the site.
  8. Outdoor display of vehicles for retail auto sales uses shall meet the requirements of LUC 20.20.520 for Type V landscaping for auto display areas and LUC 20.20.520.F.2.c for vehicle storage yards.

C. Signs.

All signs shall be an integral part of the architectural design consistent with the scale and architecture of the building. Signs shall meet the requirements of Chapter 22B.10 BCC, Bellevue Sign Code.

## **20.25C.050 Standards and Design Guidelines in the OLB 2 District**

### **A. Character and Site Guidelines.**

#### **Purpose.**

These guidelines address the qualities that make the OLB 2 District unique. They describe what makes an area a special, distinct "place," not simply a group of individual buildings and streets.

#### **1. Integrate the Natural Environment**

##### **a. Intent.**

Integrate new landscape areas, natural drainage/LID features, sustainable design elements and green open spaces into site design. Reinforce existing linkages and orient buildings to the existing natural and landscaped features of the surrounding area.

##### **b. Guideline.**

Site and building design should capitalize on existing elements of the natural environment, such as parks and open spaces, trails, and critical areas. Designs should also integrate new natural features, such as street trees, natural drainage systems and open space

amenities for residents, employees and visitors. Depending on the location, this may be accomplished through integration of the natural environment with new development or providing a smooth transition between the natural and built environments.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. The following existing natural environments and connections should be protected and incorporated into new development or redevelopment:
  - Active and passive gathering places and walkways oriented toward parks and open, natural spaces.
  - Clear and convenient public access to open space amenities.
  - Open spaces and/or access points to local and regional trails, especially as relates to the Mountains to Sound Greenway.
  - For properties that can be seen from I-90, views of urban elements against a green, forested back-drop.
- ii. The minimum landscape development requirements of LUC 20.20.520 apply, and site development should maximize the retention of existing vegetation. Trees installed as a part of general site landscaping shall be a minimum of 2.5 inches in caliper or as approved by the Director, and eight to 12 feet high.
- iii. Developments and design features that promote environmental sustainability such as natural drainage techniques, preservation and enhancement of critical areas, green walls, and green roofs are encouraged.

**2. Promote Architectural Compatibility.**

**a. Intent.**

New buildings should contribute to the quality and character of the area.

**b. Guideline.**

Buildings should relate to nearby buildings, with similar design characteristics. Some degree of variation in architectural elements is encouraged to create a sense of growth and development over time. The building's form, materials and colors should enhance the area's overall character.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Architectural elements used at a scale and level of detailing proportionate to the size of the building.
- ii. The design of buildings shall incorporate elements such as special architectural details, distinctive color schemes, special art and other features, which are sensitive to and enhance the surrounding area and serve to differentiate the development from other developments in the city.

- iii. Any multi-site development shall have a unity of design through the use of similar architectural elements, such as roof form, exterior building materials, colors, and window pattern.
- iv. Site features, such as fences, walls, refuse receptacles and recycle enclosures and light fixtures should be consistent with the scale and architectural design of the primary structure.
- v. Roof top and mechanical equipment shall be fully screened, accommodated within the maximum height limit, and integrated into the building design. At-grade mechanical equipment shall not be permitted.
- vi. Building design shall provide for architecturally integrated signage. Signs shall be in proportion to the development and oriented to the main direction access and to pedestrian movement. Signs shall meet the requirements of Chapter 22B.10 BCC, Bellevue Sign Code.

### **3. Promote Community Gathering**

#### **a. Intent.**

A comfortable, well designed site provides an inviting and attractive area for community gathering.

#### **b. Guideline.**

Gathering spaces are well-defined, inviting, secure, and attractive. They provide space for both active use, and areas of respite for employees, general public and visitors to the site. They provide space to enjoy the natural environment with weather protection. All gathering spaces should be easily and safely linked with pedestrian access from and through the development.

#### **c. Recommended.**

- i. Outdoor gathering spaces should be incorporated into areas near active ground floor uses to provide opportunity for a variety of activities as well as areas for stopping, sitting, and viewing. Spaces should be accessible, safe, and usable in all seasons.
- ii. Trees, shrubs, and plants should define walkways, gathering spaces, and amenities.
- iii. Site features such as fences, walls, refuse and recycling enclosures, and light fixtures should be designed and located to contribute to the pedestrian environment and community gathering spaces.
- iv. Incorporate public art the design of which:
  - Responds or relates to the unique characteristics of the surrounding area;
  - Utilizes durable, vandal-resistant materials; and
  - Is designed to age well.

### **4. Build Compatible Parking Structures and Lots**

**a. Intent.**

Use design elements to enhance the compatibility of parking structures with the urban streetscape.

**b. Guideline.**

Parking structures should be designed so that their streetscape interface has a consistent form, massing and use of materials with the vision for the area. Preference is given to parking structures that do not face public sidewalks. However, if due to site constraints there are sidewalk facing parking structures, the frontages facing the sidewalk shall be designed to appear like any other occupied buildings in the area. The horizontal garage form can be broken down by adding more wall surface and usable retail space, while retaining adequate garage ventilation as defined by Mechanical Code.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Surface parking must be located behind the building and accessible via an internal street, alley or shared driveway (if applicable) to minimize curb cuts.
- ii. Parking structures should feature the following elements:
  - Small openings that may be glazed to function as windows.
  - Stairways, elevators and parking entries and exits that occur at mid-block.
  - Single auto exit/entry control point to minimize number and width of driveway openings (entry and exit points may be separated).
  - Vertical expression of building structure.
  - Cladding to disguise sloped floors from the outside view.
- iii. Parking areas should be designed to minimize conflicts between pedestrian and vehicular movements. Parking area landscaping should be used to define and separate parking, vehicular access, and pedestrian areas within parking lots.
- iv. Loading areas should not be located between the building and the street unless there is no alternative location possible. Loading areas, if located between the building and the street, should be oriented away from the street and screened to minimize views of the loading area from the street and sidewalk. Loading areas should not be located on the side of a building which faces toward a residential use.

- v. Parking areas shall include planting trees of two and a half inches caliper or 14 to 16 feet high and 42-inch high shrubs at approximately 35 feet on-center parallel to the aisle, or shall be screened as a service yard using similar materials. Other parking lot landscaping shall meet LUC 20.20.520 requirements for Type V landscaping.
- vi. Any parking areas located along a street or pathway must be screened with shrubs that are 42-inches high or as approved by the Director.
- vii. Bicycle racks shall be provided on-site. Facilities for a minimum of 10 bicycles shall be provided for developments having 100 or fewer parking stalls. For each 100 additional stalls, facilities for five additional bicycles shall be provided.

B. Pedestrian Emphasis Guidelines.

Purpose.

The pedestrian emphasis guidelines promote an environment where pedestrians are a priority. The highest consideration should be given to the ease and comfort of pedestrian movement and gathering places.

1. Enhance the Pedestrian System.

a. Intent.

Prioritize the pedestrian by eliminating barriers and ensuring that walking routes are convenient, direct and pleasant.

b. Guideline.

Pedestrian routes should be attractive, easy to use and encourage walking and activity. Sidewalks should be continuous, avoiding interruptions such as vehicle curb cuts or changes in direction or grade. The portion of the sidewalk dedicated to walking should be free of barriers such as utility poles, newspaper boxes, café tables and chairs, permanent planters, tree grates, waste and recycling receptacles, mechanical equipment, or other obstructions and clutter.

c. Recommended.

- i. The pedestrian network should include:
  - Direct pedestrian routes.
  - Minimal curb cuts along pedestrian routes for pedestrian safety and comfort. Internal drives between sites should be continuous.
  - Pedestrian routes that are safely integrated with the street system.
  - Maintain pedestrian access where rights-of-way have traditionally been located.

- ii. In multiple-building complexes, buildings should be located to facilitate safe and comfortable pedestrian movement between buildings. Building location should be chosen to facilitate pedestrian and vehicular connections to buildings on adjacent properties.
- iii. The landscape design for the site should include plantings which emphasize the major points of pedestrian and vehicular access to the site.
- iv. Parking areas should include pedestrian walkways and be designed to minimize conflicts between pedestrian and vehicular movements. Parking area landscaping should be used to define and separate parking, access, and pedestrian areas within parking lots.
- v. Vehicle access connections between properties are required except in instances where the Director of Transportation determines they are infeasible or undesirable.
- vi. Opportunities should be found for safe, convenient, and pleasant pedestrian connections to existing transit facilities. Where needed, shelters and lay-bys for transit vehicles should be incorporated into the site development.
- vii. Frequent and attractive connections between destinations through a well-connected network of streets and pathways must be provided and include the following:
  - Planned streets that connect with surrounding streets to permit the convenient movement of traffic and to facilitate emergency access and evacuation.
  - An integrated and connected network of streets to provide "direct" walking route options, orientation, a sense of place, and multiple travel route options.
- viii. Internal streets must meet the following requirements:
  - Street trees and sidewalks must be included on all internal access streets (i.e., through vehicle access connections on sites with any dimension 400 feet or greater).
  - Planter strips shall be included on all internal access streets and will be at least 5 feet in width.
- ix. Pedestrian walkways should meet the following requirements:
  - Landscape allows visibility and access and does not block pathway.
  - Walkways of six feet in width minimum, shall be provided from the public sidewalk or right-of-way to the building. At a minimum, walkways shall be located to connect focus points of pedestrian activity such as transit stops and street crossings to the major building entry points.
  - Walkways shall be provided to connect with walkways or potential walkway locations on adjoining properties in the district to create an integrated internal walkway system along the desired lines of pedestrian travel. The width of the walkway should be commensurate with the anticipated level of pedestrian activity along the connecting walkway.
  - Walkway surfaces shall be designed to be visually attractive and distinguishable from driving surfaces through the use of durable, low maintenance surface materials such as pavers, bricks, or scored concrete to enhance pedestrian safety and comfort.
  - Continuous weather protection of the building walkway should be provided at the entrance area.

C. Architectural Guidelines.

**Purpose.**

The architecture guidelines promote high quality development while reinforcing the area's sense of place by encouraging innovative design, construction techniques and materials that reflect local character.

**1. Provide Interesting Building Massing.**

**a. Intent.**

Use architectural features to break down the mass and scale of buildings to create a comfortable sense of enclosure with an uninterrupted street edge.

**b. Guideline.**

The length and breadth of a building should be friendly in scale and inviting to the pedestrian. Portions of a large building mass should be broken into smaller, appropriately scaled modules, with changes in plane indicated by projections and indentations. This allows an overly large building to appear as multiple smaller, side-by-side buildings. Vertical and horizontal elements should be used to create a human scale and form a coherent pattern providing visual interest to the public.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Long expanses of building frontage must be broken down both horizontally and vertically. Buildings with non-residential uses on the ground floor must have articulation features along the street front to create a pattern of smaller spaces.
- ii. Buildings should feature a vertically articulated tripartite facade division – base, middle and top for buildings over five stories.
- iv. Vertical articulation of windows, columns and bays is encouraged.

**2. Create Attractive Building Silhouettes and Rooflines.**

**a. Intent.**

Building rooflines should enliven the pedestrian experience, provide visual interest with details that create forms and shadows, and create a distinct identity.

**b. Guideline.**

A building's silhouette should be compatible with the intended character of the area and enhance the streetscape. In some cases, it may be appropriate to mark an entryway with a distinct form to emphasize the significance of the building entry. Roof massing should be simple, yet contain elements of architectural detailing and have some level of articulation.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Buildings visible from I-90 should have a distinctive silhouette to create a unique identity within Eastgate and announce the entry into Bellevue.
- ii. Buildings should incorporate a combination of the following elements:
  - Vertical architectural expressions of important building functions such as entries.
  - Varied roof line heights.
  - Well-detailed cornices that have significant proportions (height and depth) and create visual interest and shadow lines. Green roof or roof-top terraces are encouraged.

**3. Design Welcoming Entries.**

**a. Intent.**

Design entries appropriate to their purpose that contribute to the graceful transition between public and private realms.

**b. Guideline.**

Architectural detail should be used to help emphasize the purpose of the building entry and to bring life and vitality to the street.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. The sides of a building which face a public street shall include public entrances to the building.
- ii. Where retail uses are provided, entrances should be provided at frequent intervals to generate pedestrian activity.
- iii. Where residential uses are provided:
  - Weather protection at building entries.
  - Transparent doors, windows, or glazing near the door.



- Double or multiple doors.
- Visibility and security. Entrances should be visible from the street or pedestrian path and located in areas with high pedestrian activity or where residents can view the entry.
- Building name and address.

iii. Entrances should feature some of the following elements:

- Building lighting that emphasizes entrances.
- Doors combined with special architectural detailing and hardware.

#### **4. Promote Visually Interesting and Inviting Windows**

##### **a. Intent.**

Windows should create an open and inviting atmosphere that adds visual interest and enhances the experience of the building both inside and out.

##### **b. Guideline.**

Windows should add activity and variety at the street level, providing views both in and out. Their size and detailing should be of a human scale with regular spacing and a rhythm of similarly shaped windows.

##### **c. Recommended.**

- Vision glass that provides visual access to the activity within the building shall be provided at the ground floor facade in retail areas. In other areas, commercial ground floors should feature a substantial amount of vision glass on the ground floor facade between 2 and 8 feet above grade.

### **Part 20.25I Community Retail Design District**

#### **20.25I.010 Purpose.**

The purpose of the Community Retail Design District (CRDD) is to ensure that development within the retail districts outside the Downtown exhibits a high quality of design in support of their role as the retail/service centers of the surrounding residential areas. Development in the district should incorporate architectural, landscape and pedestrian features, which are compatible with and provide identification for the surrounding area.

**20.25I.020 Community Retail Design District defined.**

The Community Retail Design District includes all properties located within Community Business Districts, all Neighborhood Mixed Use Districts, and all properties within Neighborhood Business Districts.

**20.25I.030 Design Review required.**

All development activity within the Community Retail Design District must be reviewed by the Director of the Development Services Department using the Design Review Process, Part 20.30F LUC.

**20.25I.040 Design guidelines.**

The following design guidelines apply to development in the Community Retail Design District:

A. Building Design Guidelines.

1. All buildings within a multi-building complex should achieve a unity of design through the use of similar architectural elements, such as roof form, exterior building materials, colors, and window pattern.
2. Individual buildings should incorporate similar design elements, such as surface materials, color, roof treatment, windows and doors, on all sides of the building to achieve a unity of design.

B. Site Design Guidelines.

1. Where a perimeter landscape area required under LUC 20.20.520.F may be relocated under Note (1) of that section, some or all of the relocated area should be used to provide a landscaped courtyard. Any relocated landscape area should be visible from the public street. The courtyard should be protected from wind on two sides and in sun during part of the day. Seating shall be provided.
2. Parking areas should be designed to minimize conflicts between pedestrian and vehicular movements. Parking area landscaping should be used to define and separate parking, access, and pedestrian areas within parking lots.
3. The landscape design for the site should include plantings which emphasize the major points of pedestrian and vehicular access to the site.
4. Site features such as fences, walls, refuse and recycle enclosures, and light fixtures should be designed to be consistent with the scale and architectural design of the primary structure(s). Such site features should be designed and located to contribute to the pedestrian environment of the site development.
5. Loading areas should not be located between the building and the street unless there is no alternative location possible. Loading areas, if located between the building and the street, should be oriented away from the street and screened to minimize views of the loading area from the street and sidewalk. Loading areas should not be located on the side of a building which faces toward a residential use.
6. In multiple-building complexes, buildings should be located to facilitate safe and comfortable pedestrian movement between buildings. On sites which are adjacent to other properties within the Community Retail Design District, building location should be chosen to facilitate pedestrian and vehicular connections to buildings on those adjacent properties.

7. In locations and districts specifically described in the Comprehensive Plan Urban Design Element Figure UD.1, consideration should be given to locating buildings closer to the public street with entrances to the buildings from the public sidewalk, with no intervening parking or driving area. Corner locations are particularly appropriate for this treatment.

8. Opportunities should be found for safe, convenient, and pleasant pedestrian connections to existing transit facilities. Where needed, shelters and lay-bys for transit vehicles should be incorporated into the site development.

**20.251.050 Design standards.**

**A. Special Corner Feature.**

If the property is located at the intersection of two arterial streets, the site development shall incorporate a special corner feature at the corner of the site. A special corner feature can be a landscape feature, seasonal color planting area, sculpture or water feature. The feature should provide a visual landmark and some amount of seating area. If the property is not located at an intersection, a similar feature should be considered in conjunction with a transit stop or at the primary access point to the site.

**B. Building Design Standards.**

1. The design of buildings shall incorporate elements such as special architectural details, distinctive color schemes, special art and other features, which are sensitive to and enhance the surrounding area and serve to distinguish the complex from other retail complexes in the City.
2. The sides of a building which face toward a public street shall include public entrances to the building and windows to provide visual access to the activity within the building.
3. The sides of a building which face toward an adjoining property, but not toward a public street, shall include elements such as windows, doors, color, texture, landscaping or wall treatment to provide visual interest and prevent the development of a long continuous blank wall.
4. Building design shall provide for architecturally integrated signage. Signs shall be in proportion to the development and oriented to the main direction access and to pedestrian movement. Signs shall meet the requirements of Chapter 22B.10 BCC, Bellevue Sign Code.

**C. Internal Walkways.**

The following design standards apply within the Community Retail Design District:

1. Walkways, of six feet in width minimum, shall be provided from the public sidewalk or right-of-way to the building. At a minimum, walkways shall be located to connect focus points of pedestrian activity such as transit stops and street crossings to the major building entry points.
2. Walkways shall be provided to connect with walkways or potential walkway locations on adjoining properties in the district to create an integrated internal walkway system along the desired lines of pedestrian travel. The width of the walkway should be commensurate with the anticipated level of pedestrian activity along the connecting walkway.

On the sides of the building which provide public access into the building, the walkway should be wide enough to allow for sidewalk seating area or window gazing as well as pedestrian travel. Weather protection of the building walkway should be provided at a minimum at the entrance area and, if appropriate, along the entire building walkway.

3. Internal walkway surfaces shall be designed to be visually attractive and distinguishable from driving surfaces through the use of durable, low maintenance surface materials such as pavers, bricks, or scored concrete to enhance pedestrian safety and comfort.

D. Other Development Standards.

1. Rooftop equipment shall be visually screened pursuant to LUC 20.20.525. The design and color of rooftop mechanical equipment should be integrated with the building architectural style.

2. The outdoor display of building materials and similar bulky products shall be screened from views from the public street, sidewalk, and properties outside the district with a durable, solid wall or fence, or an evergreen hedge or a combination of the above. The screening requirement does not apply to the display of seasonal products of a decorative nature such as bedding plants, Halloween pumpkins, and holiday greens.

3. Bicycle racks shall be provided on-site. Facilities for a minimum of 10 bicycles shall be provided for developments having 100 or fewer parking stalls. For each 100 additional stalls, facilities for five additional bicycles shall be provided.

**20.25I.060 Additional Design Guidelines for the Neighborhood Mixed Use District**

The following design guidelines apply to development in the Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMLU) District in addition to the CRDD guidelines contained in LUC 20.25I.040 and the standards contained in LUC 20.25I.050.

**A. Site Development Guidelines**

Purpose. These site development guidelines address the qualities that make the Neighborhood Mixed Use District unique. They describe what makes an area a special, distinct "place," not simply a group of individual buildings and streets.

**1. Integrate the Natural Environment.**

**a. Intent.**

Integrate new landscape areas, natural drainage/LID features, sustainable design elements and green open spaces. Reinforce existing linkages and orient buildings to the existing natural and landscaped features of the surrounding neighborhood.

**b. Guideline.**

Site and building design should capitalize on existing significant elements of the natural environment, such as parks and open spaces, trails, riparian corridors and wetlands. Designs should also integrate new natural features, such as street trees, natural drainage systems

and open space amenities for residents, employees and visitors. Depending on the location, this may be accomplished through integration of the natural environment with new development or providing a smooth transition between the natural and built environments.

**c. Recommended.**

- iv. The following existing natural environments and connections should be protected and incorporated into new development or redevelopment:
  - Active and passive gathering places and walkways oriented toward parks and open, natural spaces.
  - Clear and convenient public access to open space amenities.
  - Open spaces and/or access points to local and regional trails.
- v. The minimum landscape development requirements of LUC 20.20.520 apply, and site development should maximize the retention of existing vegetation.
- vi. Where a perimeter landscape area required under LUC 20.20.520.F may be relocated under Note (1) of that section, some or all of the relocated area should be used to provide a landscaped courtyard. Any relocated landscape area should be visible from the public street. The courtyard should be protected from wind on two sides and in sun during part of the day. Seating should be provided.
- vii. Developments and design features that promote environmental sustainability such as natural drainage techniques, preservation and enhancement of critical areas, green walls and green roofs are encouraged.

**2. Promote Community Gathering**

**a. Intent.**

A comfortable, well designed site provides an inviting and attractive area for community gathering.

**b. Guideline.**

Gathering spaces are well-defined, inviting, secure, and attractive. They provide space for both active use, and areas of respite for employees, general public and visitors to the site. All areas should be welcoming to pedestrians and provide space for special events.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Outdoor gathering spaces should be incorporated into areas near active ground floor uses to provide opportunity for a variety of activities as well as areas for stopping, sitting, and viewing. Spaces should be accessible, safe, and usable in all seasons.
- ii. Trees, shrubs, and plants should define walkways, gathering spaces, and amenities.

- iii. Site features such as fences, walls, and light fixtures should be designed and located to contribute to the pedestrian environment and community gathering spaces. Refuse and recycling enclosures should be designed in a manner consistent with the architecture of the adjacent buildings, and not be located adjacent to public gathering spaces or walkways.
- iv. Incorporate public art the design of which:
  - Responds or relates to the unique characteristics of the surrounding area;
  - Utilizes durable, vandal-resistant materials; and
  - Ensures that the art will age well.

### **3. Build Compatible Parking Structures and Lots**

#### **a. Intent.**

Use design elements to enhance the compatibility of parking structures with the urban streetscape.

#### **b. Guideline.**

Parking structures should be designed so that their streetscape interface has a consistent form, massing and use of materials with the vision for the area. Preference is given to parking structures that do not face public sidewalks. However, if due to site constraints, there are sidewalk-facing parking structures, those frontages facing the sidewalk should be designed to appear like other occupied buildings in the area. The horizontal garage form can be broken down by adding more wall surface and usable retail space, while retaining adequate garage ventilation.

#### **c. Recommended.**

- i. Parking structures should include space at the street level to accommodate uses when located adjacent to pedestrian walkways or public open space. In other areas, active ground floor uses facing streets or walkways are strongly encouraged.
- ii. Surface parking must be located behind the building and accessible via an internal street, alley or shared driveway to minimize curb cuts.
- iii. Parking structures should feature the following elements:
  - Small openings that may be glazed to function as windows;
  - Stairways, elevators and parking entries and exits that occur at mid-block;
  - Single auto exit/entry control point to minimize number and width of driveway openings (entry and exit points may be separated);

- Vertical expression of building structure; and
  - Cladding to disguise sloped floors from the outside view.
- iv. Parking areas should be designed to minimize conflicts between pedestrian and vehicular movements. Parking area landscaping should be used to define and separate parking, vehicular access, and pedestrian areas within parking lots.
- v. Parking lot landscaping should meet LUC 20.20.520 requirements for Type V landscaping. Any parking areas located along a street or pathway should be buffered by 5' of Type III landscaping per LUC 20.20.520.

## **B. Pedestrian Emphasis Guidelines.**

### **Purpose.**

The pedestrian emphasis guidelines promote an environment where pedestrians are a priority. The highest consideration should be given to the ease and comfort of pedestrian movement and gathering places.

### **1. Enhance the Pedestrian System.**

#### **a. Intent.**

Prioritize the pedestrian by eliminating barriers and ensuring that walking routes are convenient, direct and pleasant.

#### **b. Guideline.**

Pedestrian routes should be attractive, easy to use and encourage walking. Sidewalks should be continuous, avoiding interruptions such as vehicle curb cuts or changes in direction or grade. The portion of the sidewalk dedicated to walking should be free of barriers such as utility poles, newspaper boxes, café tables and chairs, permanent planters, tree grates, mechanical equipment, waste and recycling receptacles or other obstructions and clutter.

#### **c. Recommended.**

- x. The pedestrian network should include:
- Direct pedestrian routes;
  - Minimal curb cuts along pedestrian routes for pedestrian safety and comfort; Internal drives between sites should be continuous;
  - Pedestrian routes that are safely integrated with the street system; and
  - Maintain pedestrian access where rights-of-way have traditionally been located.

- xi. In multiple-building complexes, buildings should be located to facilitate safe and comfortable pedestrian movement between buildings. Building location should be chosen to facilitate pedestrian and vehicular connections to buildings on adjacent properties.
- xii. The landscape design for the site should include plantings which emphasize the major points of pedestrian and vehicular access to the site.
- xiii. Parking areas should include pedestrian walkways and be designed to minimize conflicts between pedestrian and vehicular movements. Parking area landscaping should be used to define and separate parking, access, and pedestrian areas within parking lots.
- xiv. Vehicle access connections between properties are required except in instances where the Director determines they are infeasible or undesirable.
- xv. Frequent and attractive connections between destinations through a well-connected network of streets and pathways must be provided and include the following:
  - Planned streets that connect with surrounding streets to permit the convenient movement of traffic between residential neighborhoods and to facilitate emergency access and evacuation.
  - An integrated and connected network of streets to provide "direct" walking route options, orientation, a sense of place, and multiple travel route options.
  - Where a proposed development abuts land that may be subdivided, provide street stubs that extend the street system into the surrounding area.
- xvi. Internal streets must meet the following requirements:
  - Street trees and sidewalks must be included on all internal access streets (i.e., through vehicle access connections on sites with any dimension 400 feet or greater).
- xvii. Pedestrian walkways should meet the following requirements:
  - Landscape allows visibility and access and does not block pathway.
  - Walkways, of six feet in width minimum, should be provided from the public sidewalk or right-of-way to the building. At a minimum, walkways should be located to connect focus points of pedestrian activity such as transit stops and street crossings to the major building entry points.
  - Walkways should be provided to connect with walkways or potential walkway locations on adjoining properties in the district to create an integrated internal walkway system along the desired lines of pedestrian travel. The width of the walkway should be commensurate with the anticipated level of pedestrian activity along the connecting walkway.
- xviii. Weather protection of the building walkway should be provided at the entrance.

**C. Architectural Guidelines.**



**Purpose.**

The architecture guidelines promote high quality development while reinforcing the area's sense of place by encouraging innovative design, construction techniques and materials that reflect local character.

**1. Provide Interesting Building Massing.**

**a. Intent.**

Use architectural features to break down the mass and scale of buildings to create a comfortable sense of enclosure with an uninterrupted street edge.

**b. Guideline.**

The length and breadth of a building should be friendly in scale and inviting to the pedestrian. Portions of a large building mass should be broken into smaller, appropriately scaled modules, with changes in plane indicated by projections and indentations. This allows a large building to appear to be multiple smaller, side-by-side buildings. Vertical and horizontal elements should be used to create a human scale and form a coherent pattern providing visual interest to the public.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Long expanses of building frontage must be broken down both horizontally and vertically. Buildings with non-residential uses on the ground floor must have articulation features at least every 50 feet along the street front to create a pattern of small storefronts.
- ii. Buildings should feature a vertically articulated tripartite facade division – base, middle and top for buildings over five stories.
- iii. Vertical articulation of windows, columns and bays is encouraged.

**2. Create Attractive Building Silhouettes and Rooflines.**

**a. Intent.**

Building rooflines should enliven the pedestrian experience, provide visual interest with details that create forms and shadows, and create a distinct identity.

**b. Guideline.**

A building's silhouette should be compatible with the intended character of the area and enhance the streetscape. In some cases, it may be appropriate to mark an entryway with a distinct form to emphasize the significance of the building entry. Roof massing should be simple yet contain elements of architectural detailing and have some level of articulation.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Buildings visible from I-90 should have a distinctive silhouette to create a unique identity within Eastgate and announce entry into Bellevue.
- ii. Buildings should incorporate a combination of the following elements:
  - Vertical architectural expressions of important building functions such as entries
  - Varied roof line heights.
  - Well-detailed cornices that have significant proportions (height and depth) and create visual interest and shadow lines.
  - Green roofs or rooftop terraces.

**3. Promote Welcoming Residential Entries.**

**a. Intent.**

Residential entries should provide a graceful transition between the public and private realms.

**b. Guideline.**

Residential entries should be substantial enough to suggest privacy yet welcoming to those who approach and enter.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Ground-related individual unit entries should be provided on ground floor space not used by storefronts or a multifamily lobby. Where there are ground-related individual entries, a clear transition between public, semi-private, and private space should be delineated with a combination of the following techniques:
  - Moderate change of grade (2 to 5 feet is preferred) from sidewalk level to entry.
  - Provision of a porch or deck at least 6 feet wide by 4 feet deep. A covered porch is preferred.
  - Private open space at least 10 feet wide.

- A low fence, rail, or planting 2 to 4 feet high. (This option is recommended in combination with any of the above.)
  - Other transition design measure(s) that adequately protects the privacy and comfort of the residential unit and the attractiveness and usefulness of the pathway at least as effectively as a combination of the above, as determined by the Director.
- ii. Lobby entries to multifamily buildings should provide:
- Weather protection at building entries.
  - Transparent doors, windows, or glazing near the door.
  - Double or multiple doors.
  - Visibility and security. Entrances should be visible from the street or pedestrian path and located in areas with high pedestrian activity or where residents can view the entry.
- iii. Entries are encouraged to feature the following:
- Doors combined with transom windows or side lights.
  - Durable, high-quality metal door hardware.
  - Doors accessed from canopy-covered entries.

**4. Promote Visually Interesting Upper Floor Residential Windows.**

**a. Intent.**

Upper floor residential windows should create an open and inviting atmosphere that adds visual interest and enhances the experience of the building both inside and out.

**b. Guideline.**

The windows of a residential building should be pleasing and coherent. Their size and detailing should be of a human scale with regular spacing and a rhythm of similarly shaped windows.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Windows should feature the following:

- Multiple window lights) or divisions;
- Operable windows;
- Trim around framed openings; and
- Windows recessed from building facade, not flush.

**5. Design Inviting Retail and Commercial Entries.**

**a. Intent.**

Design retail and commercial entries should create an open atmosphere that draws customers inside.

**b. Guideline.**

Primary entries to retail and commercial establishments should be frequent and transparent, allowing pedestrians to see the activity within the building and bring life and vitality to the street. Architectural detail should be used to help emphasize the building entry.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. The sides of a building-facing a public street should include public entrances to the building.
- ii. In retail areas within high-use pedestrian areas, entrances should be provided at least every 50 feet on average to generate pedestrian activity.
- iii. Entrances should feature some of the following elements:
  - Doors with a minimum of 50 percent window area.
  - Building lighting that emphasizes entrances.
  - Doors combined with special architectural detailing and hardware.
  - Large cafe or restaurant doors that open the street to the interior by pivoting, sliding or rolling up overhead.

-

**6. Provide Inviting Ground Floor Retail and Commercial Windows.**

**a. Intent.**

Use transparency to enhance visual interest and to draw people into retail and commercial uses.

**b. Guideline.**

Retail and commercial uses should use unobstructed windows that add activity and variety at the street level, inviting pedestrians into retail and commercial uses and providing views both in and out.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Clear window glazing that provides visual access to the activity within the building should be provided on ground floor façades.

DRAFT

**Part 20.25P Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District**

**20.25P.010 General.**

**A. Applicability.**

1. This Part 20.25P, Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District (EG-TOD), contains requirements, standards, and guidelines that apply to development and activity within the EG-TOD. Except to the extent expressly provided in this Part 20.25P and as referenced in subsection A.2 of this section, the provisions of the Land Use Code, other development codes, the City development standards, and all other applicable codes and ordinances shall apply to development and activities in the EG-TOD. Except within the Critical Areas Overlay District (Part 20.25H LUC), where there is a conflict between the EG-TOD regulations and the Land Use Code and other City ordinances, the EG-TOD regulations shall govern. Where there is a conflict between EG-TOD regulations and the Critical Areas Overlay District, the Critical Areas Overlay District shall govern. Where the requirements of the EG-TOD exceed those of the Land Use Code and other City ordinances, the EG-TOD regulations shall govern.

2. The following general development requirements of Chapter 20.20 LUC do not apply in the EG-TOD:

- a. LUC 20.10.400;
- b. LUC 20.10.440;
- c. LUC 20.20.005;
- d. LUC 20.20.010;
- e. LUC 20.20.012;
- f. LUC 20.20.015;
- g. LUC 20.20.017;
- h. LUC 20.20.018;
- i. LUC 20.20.060;

i. LUC 20.20.070;

k. LUC 20.20.125

i. LUC 20.20.135;

l. LUC 20.20.400;

m. Part 20.25B LUC;

n. Part 20.25I LUC; and

o. LUC 20.30V.170.

B. Purpose.

The purpose of the TOD District is to provide an area for a mix of housing, retail, office, and service uses, with an emphasis on housing. The district is limited in area so that there is an appropriate level of density nearest the highest levels of transit service.

**20.25P.020 Review Required.**

A. Applicable Review and Guidelines.

The Director shall use this Part 20.25P LUC as currently adopted or subsequently amended or superseded in reviewing an application for Master Development Plan or design review approval in the EG-TOD.

B. Master Development Plan.

1. Scope of Approval. Master Development Plan review (Part 20.30V LUC) is a mechanism by which the City shall ensure that the site development components of a multiple building or phased single building proposal are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and meet all applicable site development standards and guidelines. Design, character, architecture and amenity standards and guidelines shall be met as a component of the design review (Part 20.30F LUC). Master Development Plan approvals required pursuant to subsection B.2 of this section shall identify proposed building location(s) within the project limit and demonstrate compliance with the following site development requirements, standards, and guidelines:

a. Residential Requirement in EG-TOD. The Master Development Plan shall establish a minimum residential use requirement for the project limit to ensure that the intended housing emphasis of the EG-TOD area is met.

b. Dimensional requirements pursuant to LUC 20.25P.060 as listed below:

- i. Setbacks;
  - ii. Maximum impervious/lot coverage;
  - iii. Building height for each building identified in subsection B. 1 of this section;
  - iv. Floor area ratio for each building identified in subsection B.1 of this section
    - (1) At a minimum square footage excepted from FAR pursuant to 20.25P.060B shall be identified.
    - (2) Uses may vest pursuant to the vesting provisions applicable to the underlying Master Development Plan if the specific uses are identified pursuant to LUC 20.25P.050.A.
  - c. Landscape development pursuant to LUC 20.25P.070;
  - d. Parking, circulation, and internal walkway requirements pursuant to LUC 20.25P.080;
  - e. EG-TOD street development standards pursuant to LUC 20.25P.090; and
  - f. Site development guidelines pursuant to LUC 20.25P.100.B.
2. When Required.
- a. A master development plan shall be required when an applicant proposes to develop more than one building under a single ownership.
  - b. A master development plan shall be required where the applicant proposes to build a single building on one parcel that is adjacent to any street depicted on the figure in LUC 20.25P.090A.3.
3. For the purposes of this section, the project limit may be drawn to encompass a right-of-way that bisects a site, provided the Director finds that the following connectivity criteria can be met:
- a. A system of corner and mid-block crossings shall be provided to functionally connect on-site pedestrian paths across the bisecting right-of-way within the proposed project limit;
  - b. Pedestrian paths shall be provided to connect all buildings and right-of-way crossings located within the proposed project limit;
  - c. Visual connections shall be provided between all buildings located within the project limit by minimizing topographic variation and through use of vegetation and outdoor spaces; and



d. Only a right-of-way meeting the requirements of LUC 20.25P.060.B.4 may be included in the land area located within the proposed project limit for the purpose of computing maximum FAR.

C. Design Review.

1. Scope of Approval. Design review is a mechanism by which the City shall ensure that the design, character, architecture and amenity components of a proposal are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and any approved Master Development Plan, and meet all applicable standards and guidelines contained in City Codes. Design Review is a mechanism by which the City shall ensure that the site development components of a proposal are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and meet all applicable standards and guidelines contained in City Codes when site development components were not approved as part of a Master Development Plan.
2. When Required. All development within the EG - TOD shall be reviewed by the Director through Design Review (Part 20.30F LUC).
3. Compliance with an applicable Master Development Plan. In addition to the decision criteria in LUC 20.30F.145, each structure and all proposed site development shall comply with any approved Master Development Plan applicable to the project limit described in a Design Review application. If the application for design review contains elements inconsistent with an applicable Master Development Plan, the Director shall not approve the Design Review unless the Master Development Plan is amended to include those elements.

D. Procedural Merger.

Within the EG-TOD, any administrative decision required by this Part 20.25P LUC or by the Land Use Code, including but not limited to the following, may be applied for and reviewed as a single Process II Administrative Decision, pursuant to LUC 20.35.200 through 20.35.250:

1. Master Development Plan, Part 20.30V LUC;
2. Administrative Conditional Use Permit, Part 20.30E LUC;
3. Design Review, Part 20.30F LUC;
4. Variance, Part 20.30G LUC; and
5. Critical Areas Land Use Permit, Part 20.30P LUC.

**20.25P.030 Permitted Uses.**

A. Permitted Uses.

Specific categories of uses are listed in Chart 20.25P.050. Subsection B of this section explains Chart 20.25P.050 and describes the applicable review procedures. The use chart description and interpretation provisions of LUC 20.10.400 do not apply to the EG-TOD.

B. Use Chart Described.

In Chart 20.25P.050, land use classifications and standard Land Use Code reference numbers are listed on the vertical axis. City of Bellevue land use districts are shown on the horizontal axis.

1. If no symbol appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use is not allowed in that district, except for short-term uses, which are regulated under Part 20.30M LUC (Temporary Use Permits), subordinate uses which are regulated under LUC 20.20.840, and non-conforming uses which are regulated under LUC 20.20.560.
2. If the symbol "P" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and row, the use is permitted subject to applicable general requirements of Chapter 20.20 LUC for the use and the district-specific requirements of this Part 20.25P LUC.
3. If the symbol "C" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use is permitted subject to the Conditional Use provisions specified in Part 20.30B in addition to any applicable general requirements for the use and land use district.
4. If the symbol "A" appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use is permitted subject to the Administrative Conditional Use provisions as specified in Part 20.30E LUC in addition to any applicable general requirements for the use and land use district.
5. If a number appears in the box at the intersection of the column and the row, the use is permitted through the applicable review process and subject to the special limitations indicated in the corresponding Notes.

**20.25P.040**

Placeholder for EG-TOD specific definitions, if necessary

**20.25P.050 Land Use Chart.**

The following charts apply to EG-TOD. The use charts contained in LUC 20.10.440 do not apply within the EG-TOD.

**Chart 20.25P.050.A**

**Manufacturing Uses in EG-TOD**

STD LAND USE CODE REF	Manufacturing – EG-TOD	Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District
	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	EG-TOD
21	Food and Beverage Products Mfg.	P 1
22	Textile Products Mfg.	P 2

<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>Manufacturing – EG-TOD</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>
	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>EG-TOD</u>
<u>23</u>	Apparel, Fabric, Accessories and Leather Goods Mfg.	P 2
<u>24</u>	Lumber and Wood Products Mfg.	
<u>25</u>	Furniture and Fixtures Mfg.	
<u>26</u>	Paper Products Mfg.	
<u>27</u>	Printing, Publishing and Allied Industries	
<u>28</u>	Chemicals and Related Products Mfg.	
<u>31</u>	Rubber Products Mfg.	
<u>314</u>	Misc. Plastic Products Mfg.	
<u>321</u>	Light Stone, Clay, and Glass Products	
<u>322</u>	Mfg., Glass, Pottery and China Ceramic	
<u>324</u>	Products, Stone Cutting and Engraving	
<u>325</u>		
<u>327</u>		
<u>329</u>	Handcrafted Products Mfg.	
<u>3427</u>	Computers, Office Machines and Equipment Mfg.	
<u>3433</u>	Electrical Equipment Mfg., Appliances,	
<u>3434</u>	Lighting, Radio, TV Communications,	
<u>3435</u>	Equipment and Component Parts	
<u>3436</u>		
<u>3437</u>		

<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>Manufacturing – EG-TOD</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>
	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>EG-TOD</u>
3491 3492 3493 3495 3497	Fabricated Metal Products Mfg.; Containers, Hand Tools, Heating Equipment, Screw Products, Coating and Plating	
35	Measuring, Analyzing and Controlling Instruments, Photographic, Medical and Optical Goods; Watches and Clocks Mfg.; Computer Software	P 2
3997	Signs and Advertising Display Mfg.	
3999	Misc. Light Fabrication Assembly and Mfg. Not Elsewhere Classified	

**Notes: Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District – Manufacturing**

- (1) Permitted only when combined with an eating and drinking establishment which constitutes a majority of the use.
- (2) Permitted only when combined with a retail store which constitutes a majority of the use.

**Chart 20.25P.050**

**Recreation Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District**

<u>STD LAND USE</u>	<u>Recreation - Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>

<u>CODE REF</u>	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>EG - TOD</u>
<u>7</u>	Cultural Entertainment and Recreation	
<u>711</u>	<u>Library, Museum</u>	<u>P</u>
<u>7113</u>	<u>Art Gallery</u>	<u>P</u>
<u>712</u>	<u>Nature Exhibitions: Aquatiums, Botanical Gardens and Zoos</u>	
<u>7212</u>	<u>Public Assembly (Indoor): Sports, Arenas, Auditoriums and Exhibition Halls but Excluding School Facilities</u>	<u>A 5</u>
<u>7214</u>		
<u>7222</u>		
<u>7231</u>		
<u>7232</u>		
<u>7212</u>	<u>Motion Picture, Theaters, Night Clubs, Dance Halls and Teen Clubs</u>	<u>A</u>
<u>7214</u>		
<u>7218</u>		
<u>7213</u>	<u>Drive-In Theaters</u>	
-	<u>Adult Theaters</u>	<u>P 2</u>
<u>7223</u>	<u>Public Assembly (Outdoor): Fairgrounds and Amusement Parks, Miniature Golf, Golf Driving Ranges, Go-Cart Tracks, BMX Tracks and Skateboard Tracks</u>	
<u>73</u>	<u>Commercial Amusements: Video Arcades, Electronic Games</u>	<u>P</u>
<u>411</u>	<u>Recreation Activities: Golf Courses, Tennis Courts, Community Clubs, Athletic Fields, Play Fields, Recreation Centers, Swimming Beaches and Pools</u>	<u>A 1.4.5</u>
<u>7413</u>		
<u>7422</u>		
<u>7423</u>		
<u>7424</u>		
<u>7441</u>		
<u>7449</u>		

<u>744</u>	<u>Marinas, Yacht Clubs</u>	
<u>7413</u> <u>7414</u> <u>7415</u> <u>7417</u> <u>7425</u>	<u>Recreation Activities: Skating, Bowling, Gymnasiums, Athletic Clubs, Health Clubs, Recreation Instruction</u>	<u>A 3</u>
<u>7491</u> <u>7515</u>	<u>Camping Sites and Hunting Clubs</u>	
<u>76</u>	<u>Private Leisure and Open Space Areas Excluding Recreation Activities Above Public/Private Park</u>	
	<u>Stables and Riding Academies</u>	
	<u>Boarding or Commercial Kennels</u>	
	<u>City Park</u>	<u>P</u>

**Notes: Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District – Recreation**

- (1) For carnivals, see LUC 20.20.160.
- (2) Adult theaters are subject to the regulations for adult entertainment uses in LUC 20.20.127.
- (3) Recreation activities are restricted to health clubs, recreation instruction, and gymnasiums, an administrative conditional use is not required for these uses when less than 8,000 square feet.
- (4) See LUC 20.20.190 for additional regulations applicable to churches, clubs and similar use structures.
- (5) Public assembly uses in this Land Use District shall not exceed 20,000 square feet.

Chart 20.25P.050

Residential Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District

<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>Residential – Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District EG - TOD</u>
	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	
1	Residential	
	Single-Family Dwelling	
	Two to Four Dwelling Units Per Structure	<u>P 5</u>
	Five or More Dwelling Units Per Structure	<u>P 5</u>
12	Group Quarters: Dormitories, Fraternal Houses, Excluding Military and Correctional Institutions and Excluding Secure Community Transition Facilities	<u>C 2</u>
	Rooming House	<u>P 3</u>
	Senior Citizen Dwellings	<u>P 1</u>
13 15	Hotels and Motels Transient Lodging	<u>P/A 4</u>
6516	Congregate Care Senior Housing	<u>P 1, 2</u>
	Nursing Home	
	Assisted Living	<u>P 1</u>

Notes: Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District – Residential

- (1) An agreement must be recorded with the King County Recorder's Office, or its successor agency, and filed with the Bellevue City Clerk, restricting senior citizen dwellings, congregate care senior housing, or assisted living to remain for the life of the project.
- (2) See LUC 20.20.190 for additional regulations.
- (3) See LUC 20.20.700 for general development requirements for rooming houses.
- (4) Hotels and motels are permitted uses in this district. Transient lodging requires an administrative conditional use permit.

**Chart 20.25P.050**

**Resource Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District**

<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>Resources – Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District EG-TOD</u>
	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	
8	Resource Production (Minerals, Plants, Animals Including Pets and Related Services)	
81	Agriculture, Production of Food and Fiber Crops, Dairies, Livestock and Fowl, Excluding Hogs	
	Marijuana Production	
8192	Other Horticultural Specialties: Medical Cannabis Collective Gardens	
821	Agricultural Processing	
	Marijuana Processing	



<u>Resources – Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>		<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>
<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>EG-TOD</u>
8221	<u>Veterinary Clinic and Hospital</u>	<u>P 1, 2</u>
8222	<u>Poultry Hatcheries</u>	
83	<u>Forestry, Tree Farms and Timber Production</u>	
8421	<u>Fish Hatcheries</u>	
85	<u>Mining, Quarrying (Including Sand and Gravel), Oil and Gas Extraction</u>	

**Notes: Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District – Manufacturing**

- (1) See LUC 20.20.130.E for additional regulations applicable to animal keeping and service uses.
- (2) Boarding and commercial kennels are permitted as a subordinate use to a veterinary clinic / hospital, and veterinary clinic / hospital, and kennel hours shall only be open to the public between 7 a.m. and 10 p.m.

**Chart 20.25P.050**

**Service Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District**

<u>Services – Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District (9)</u>		<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u> <u>EG - TOD</u>
<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	
<u>9</u>	<u>Services</u>	
<u>61</u>	<u>Finance, Insurance, Real Estate Services</u>	<u>P 9</u>
<u>62</u>	<u>Personal Services: Laundry, Dry Cleaning, Barber and Beauty, Photography Studio and Shoe Repair</u>	<u>P</u>
<u>6241</u>	<u>Funeral and Crematory Services</u>	
<u>6262</u>	<u>Cemeteries</u>	
<u>624410</u>	<u>Family Child Care Home in Residence</u>	
<u>624410</u>	<u>Child Day Care Center</u>	<u>P 1.2</u>
<u>63</u>	<u>Business Services, Duplicating and Blue Printing, Steno, Advertising (Except Outdoor), Travel Agencies and Employment Building Maintenance and Pest Control Services</u>	<u>P</u>
<u>634</u>	<u>Warehousing and Storage Services, Excluding Stockyards</u>	
<u>639</u>	<u>Rental and Leasing Services: Cars, Trucks, Trailers, Furniture and Tools</u>	
<u>641</u>	<u>Auto Repair and Washing Services</u>	<u>P 4, 8</u>
<u>649</u>	<u>Repair Services: Watch, TV, Electrical, Upholstery</u>	<u>P 7</u>
	<u>Professional Services: Medical Clinics and Other Health Care Related Services</u>	<u>P 6</u>

<u>Services – Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District (9)</u>		<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>
<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>EG - TOD</u>
	<u>Professional Services: Other</u>	<u>P</u>
	<u>Pet Grooming and Pet Day Care</u>	<u>P 10</u>
<u>6513</u>	<u>Hospitals</u>	
<u>66</u>	<u>Contract Construction Services: Building Construction, Plumbing, Paving and Landscape</u>	
<u>671</u>	<u>Governmental Services: Executive, Legislative, Administrative and Judicial Functions</u>	<u>A</u>
<u>672</u> <u>673</u>	<u>Governmental Services: Protective Functions and Related Activities Excluding Maintenance Shops</u>	<u>A</u>
	<u>Limited Governmental Services: Protective Functions</u>	<u>P 11</u>
	<u>Limited Governmental Services: Executive and Administrative, Legislative and Protective Functions</u>	<u>P 12</u>
<u>674</u> <u>675</u>	<u>Military and Correctional Institutions</u>	
	<u>Secure Community Transition Facility</u>	
<u>681</u>	<u>Education: Primary and Secondary</u>	<u>A</u>
<u>682</u>	<u>Universities and Colleges</u>	<u>P</u>

<u>Services – Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District (9)</u>	
<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District EG - TOD</u>
<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	
683	P
691	P 5
692 (A)	P
692 (B)	P
	P
	P
	P
	P
	P
	P

**Notes: Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District – Services**

- (1) Refer to Chapter 20.50 LUC for definitions of child care service, family child care home, and child day care center.
- (2) A child care service may be located in a community facility in any Land Use District pursuant to LUC 20.20.170.E.
- (4) Battery Exchange Stations are ancillary to Auto Repair and Washing Services, and are permitted through the applicable review process as a component of that use. Operators of Battery Exchange Stations must comply with federal and state law regulating the handling, storage, and disposal of batteries.
- (5) See LUC 20.20.190 for additional regulations applicable to churches, club, and similar uses.
- (6) Stand-alone emergency rooms shall not be permitted.
- (7) Outdoor storage of materials is prohibited.

- (8) Only car washing and detailing within a garage is allowed. No auto repair is allowed in the EG-TOD district.
- (9) Drive-in and drive-through facilities are permitted as a subordinate use pursuant to LUC 20.20.840 only if located within a structured parking area and not adjacent to any publicly accessible space.
- (10) Boarding and commercial kennels are permitted as a subordinate use to a pet grooming or pet day care meeting the criteria of LUC 20.20.130.
- (11) Uses are limited to neighborhood community police stations of 1,000 square feet or less.
- (12) Uses are limited to 1,000 square feet, except for protective functions which are limited to community police stations of 1,500 square feet or less.

**Chart 20.25P.050**

**Transportation and Utilities in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District**

	<u>Transportation and Utilities - Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>
<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>EG - TOD</u>
41	<u>Rail Transportation: Right-of-Way, Yards, Terminals, Maintenance Shops</u>	
42 4291	<u>Motor Vehicle Transportation: Bus Terminals, Taxi Headquarters</u>	
4214 422	<u>Motor Vehicle Transportation: Maintenance Garages and Motor Freight Services</u>	
43	<u>Aircraft Transportation: Airports, Fields, Terminals, Heliports, Storage and Maintenance</u>	
-	<u>Accessory Parking</u>	<u>P 1.3.10</u>
46	<u>Auto Parking: Commercial Lots and Garages</u>	

<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>Transportation and Utilities - Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District EG - TOD</u>
	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	
	<u>Park and Ride</u>	<u>C.2, 10</u>
<u>475</u>	<u>Radio and Television Broadcasting Studios</u>	<u>P</u>
<u>485</u>	<u>Solid Waste Disposal</u>	
	<u>Highway and Street Right-of-Way</u>	<u>P.10</u>
	<u>Utility Facility</u>	<u>C</u>
	<u>Local Utility System</u>	<u>P</u>
	<u>Regional Utility System</u>	<u>C</u>
	<u>On-Site Hazardous Waste Treatment and Storage Facility</u>	
	<u>Off-Site Hazardous Waste Treatment and Storage Facility</u>	
	<u>Essential Public Facility</u>	<u>C.7</u>
	<u>Regional Light Rail Transit Systems and Facilities</u>	<u>C/P.11</u>
	<u>Wireless Communication Facility (WCF): (without WCF Support Structures) Including WCF Support Structures (Freestanding) Satellite Dishes</u>	<u>4, 5, 8</u> <u>4, 5</u> <u>P.6</u>
	<u>Electrical Utility Facility</u>	<u>A/C.9</u>

**Notes: Uses in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District – Transportation and Utilities**

- (1) The location of an off-site parking facility must be approved by the Director of the Development Services Department. See LUC 20.25P.080.C.
- (2) Park and Ride. A park and pool lot or other carpool facility is regulated as a park and ride. A park and ride providing no more than 50 parking spaces, and utilizing the parking area of an existing use shall be regulated as an accessory use under LUC 20.20.200. Any other park and ride requires a Conditional Use Permit.
- (3) Accessory parking requires approval through the review process required for the primary land use which it serves pursuant to LUC 20.25P.050. Accessory surface parking is not permitted between the front building setback line and back of sidewalk.
- (4) Wireless communication facilities (WCFs) are not permitted on any residential structure, undeveloped site located in a residential land use district, or site that is developed with a residential use. This note does not prohibit locating WCF: a) on any residential structure or undeveloped site in R-20 or R-30 land use districts; or b) on any nonresidential structure (i.e., churches, schools, public facility structures, utility poles, etc.) or in public rights-of-way in any residential land use district.
- (5) Refer to LUC 20.20.195 for general requirements applicable to wireless communication facilities and other communication, broadcast and relay facilities.
- (6) Refer to LUC 20.20.730 for general requirements applicable to Large Satellite Dishes.
- (7) Refer to LUC 20.20.820 for general requirements applicable to solid waste disposal facilities.
- (8) Antenna and associated equipment used to transmit or receive fixed wireless signals when located at a fixed customer location are permitted in all land use districts and are exempt from the requirements of LUC 20.20.010, 20.20.195 and 20.20.525 so long as the antenna and equipment comply with 47 C.F.R. 1.400, now or as hereafter amended. A building permit may be required to ensure safe installation of the antenna and equipment.
- (9) For the definition of electrical utility facility, see LUC 20.50.018, and for reference to applicable development regulations relating to electrical utility facilities, see LUC 20.20.255. For new or expanding electrical utility facilities proposed on sensitive sites as described by Figure UT.5a of the Utilities Element of the Comprehensive Plan, the applicant shall obtain Conditional Use Permit approval under Part 20.30B LUC, complete an alternative siting analysis as described in LUC 20.20.255.D and comply with decision criteria and design standards set forth in LUC 20.20.255. For expansions of electrical utility facilities not proposed on sensitive sites as described by Figure UT.5a, the applicant shall obtain Administrative Conditional Use Permit approval under Part 20.30E LUC and comply with decision criteria and design standards set forth in LUC 20.20.255.
- (10) Electric Vehicle Infrastructure, excluding Battery Exchange Stations, is ancillary to motor vehicle parking and highways and rights-of-way, and is permitted through the applicable review process as a component of that use.
- (11) Refer to Part 20.25M LUC, Light Rail Overlay District, for specific requirements applicable to EPF defined as a regional light rail transit facility or regional light rail transit system pursuant to LUC 20.25M.020. A conditional use permit is not required when the City Council has approved a regional light rail transit facility or regional light rail transit system by resolution or ordinance, or by a development agreement authorized by Chapter 36.70B RCW and consistent with LUC 20.25M.030.B.1.

Chart 20.25P.050

Wholesale and Retail in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District

<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>Wholesale and Retail – Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District (1)</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District EG - TOD</u>
	<u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u>	
<u>5</u>	Trade (Wholesale and Retail)	
<u>51</u>	Wholesale Trade: General Merchandise, Products, Supplies, Materials and Equipment except the following:	
<u>5111</u>	Wholesale Trade: Motor Vehicles, Primary and Structural Metals, Bulk Petroleum	
<u>5156</u>		
<u>5157 5191</u>		
<u>5192</u>		
<u>5193</u>	Scrap Waste Materials, Livestock Recycling Centers	<u>P</u>
<u>521</u>	Lumber and Other Bulky Building Materials Including Preassembled Products	
<u>522</u>		
<u>523</u>		
<u>524</u>		
<u>5251</u>	Hardware, Paint, Tile and Wallpaper (Retail)	
<u>5252</u>	Farm Equipment	
<u>53</u>	General Merchandise: Dry Goods, Variety and Dept. Stores (Retail)	<u>P</u>
<u>54</u>	Food and Convenience Store (Retail)	<u>P 2</u>



<u>STD LAND USE CODE REF</u>	<u>Wholesale and Retail – Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District (1)</u>	<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District EG - TOD</u>
	<b><u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u></b>	
<u>5511</u>	<u>Autos (Retail)</u>	
	<u>Trucks, Motorcycles, Recreational Vehicles (Retail)</u>	
	<u>Boats (Retail)</u>	
<u>552</u>	<u>Automotive and Marine Accessories (Retail)</u>	
<u>553</u>	<u>Gasoline Service Stations</u>	
<u>56</u>	<u>Apparel and Accessories (Retail)</u>	<u>P</u>
<u>57</u>	<u>Furniture, Home Furnishing (Retail)</u>	<u>P</u>
<u>58</u>	<u>Eating and Drinking Establishments</u>	<u>P 3, 4</u>
<u>59</u>	<u>Misc. Retail Trade: Drugs, Liquor, Antiques, Books, Sporting Goods, Jewelry, Florist, Photo Supplies, Video Rentals and Computer Supplies</u>	<u>P 5</u>
	<u>Adult Retail Establishments</u>	<u>P 6</u>
<u>59</u>	<u>Marijuana Retail Outlet</u>	
<u>5961</u>	<u>Farm Supplies, Hay, Grain, Feed and Fencing, etc. (Retail)</u>	
<u>596</u>	<u>Retail Fuel Yards</u>	
<u>5996</u>	<u>Garden Supplies, Small Trees, Shrubs, Flowers, Ground Cover, Horticultural Nurseries and Light Supplies and Tools</u>	
<u>5999</u>	<u>Pet Shop (Retail and Grooming)</u>	<u>P</u>
	<u>Computers and Electronics (Retail)</u>	<u>P</u>

**Notes: Uses in EG-TOD– Wholesale and Retail**

- (1) All wholesale and retail uses, which offer shopping carts to customers, shall (a) designate a shopping cart containment area as defined in BCC 9.10.010; (b) display signage around shopping cart corrals and at the perimeter of the shopping cart containment area that provides notice that unauthorized removal of a shopping cart from the premises constitutes theft under RCW 9A.56.270 and unauthorized abandonment of a shopping cart more than 100 feet away from the parking area of a retail establishment or shopping cart containment area is a Class 3 civil infraction as defined in RCW 7.80.120; and (c) display information on each shopping cart that is consistent with the labeling requirements of RCW 9A.56.270 and includes a 24-hour toll-free phone number to report abandoned shopping carts. Abandoned shopping carts or shopping carts located outside of a shopping cart containment area constitute a public nuisance under BCC 9.10.030(H) and may be abated through the provisions of Chapter 1.18 BCC.
- (2) Food and convenience stores (retail) must contain at least 75 percent square footage of retail food sales not for consumption on premises.
- (3) Microbrewery manufacturing is permitted subordinate to an eating and drinking establishment; provided, that the manufacturing use occupies not more than 50 percent of the total square footage of the combined establishment.
- (4) Drive-in windows are not permitted.
- (5) Drive-in and drive-through facilities are permitted as a subordinate use pursuant to LUC 20.20.840 only if located within a structured parking area and not adjacent to any publicly accessible space.
- (6) Adult retail establishments are subject to the regulations for adult entertainment uses in LUC 20.20.127.

**20.25P.060 Dimensional Requirements.**

**A. General.**

This subsection (Chart 20.25P.060.A, Dimensional Requirements in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District) sets forth the dimensional requirements for the district. The Dimensional Requirements of Chart 20.20.010 do not apply in the EG - TOD. Each structure, development, or activity in the EG-TOD shall comply with these requirements except as otherwise provided in this section. If a number appears in a box at the intersection of a column and a row, the dimensional requirement is subject to the special limitation indicated in the corresponding Note.

**Chart 20.25P.060.A Dimensional Requirements in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District**

	<b><u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District</u></b>
<b><u>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</u></b>	<b><u>EG-TOD</u></b>
<b><u>DIMENSIONS</u></b>	(1)
Minimum Setbacks of Structures (feet)	0 (2)(3)(4)

Eastgate LUCA Draft for Public Hearing, Page 90

<u>Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District EG-TOD</u>	
<b>LAND USE CLASSIFICATION</b>	(1)
<b>DIMENSIONS</b>	
Front Yard	10 (5)
Minimum Façade Separation (feet) (Setback/Stepback)	5 (2) (3) (4)
Rear Yard (feet)	5 (2) (3) (4)
Side Yard (feet)	2.0 (1)
2 Side Yards	160/45 (6)
Floor Area Ratio	75
Maximum in Building Height (feet)	
Maximum Impervious Surface (percent)	

**Commented [BT(1):** This impervious surface percentage is modeled after BelRed.

**Notes: Chart 20.25P.060A Dimensional Requirements in Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District**

- (1) See LUC 20.25H.045 for calculation of density/intensity on sites in the Critical Areas Overlay District.
  - (2) See LUC 20.20.030 for designation and measurement of setbacks.
  - (3) See LUC 20.25H.035 for additional critical area setbacks.
  - (4) If the setback abuts a street right-of-way, access easement or private road, the minimum dimension is 10 feet unless a greater dimension is specified.
  - (5) Façade Separation. Where building height exceeds 45 feet, the façade of any building that fronts on the streets shown on the figure in LUC 20.25P.090A.3 shall have a minimum façade separation of 10 feet that shall be measured from the back of the required sidewalk dimension to all portions of the building located above 40 feet.
  - (6) Stand-alone parking garages shall have a maximum building height of 45 feet.
- B. Exceptions to Dimensional Requirements**
1. Impervious Surface. See LUC 20.20.460 for exceptions and performance standards relating to impervious surface.
  2. Floor Area Ratio. A maximum of 1.0 FAR floor area ratio may be excepted for affordable housing, public restrooms, open space, and special dedications as provided below. Provided, neither the combination nor the singular use of any of these

- methods shall exceed an exception of 1.0 FAR. Underground buildings as defined in LUC 20.50.050 are not structures for the purpose of calculating floor area.
- a. In the EG-TOD land use district, up to 1.0 FAR of floor area dedicated to on-site affordable housing shall not be counted for the purposes of calculating the FAR of a project, provided that:
- i. The bedroom mix and exterior finishes shall be comparable to the market rate units, but interior design, unit size, amenities and interior finishes may vary.
  - ii. An agreement in a form approved by the City will be executed by the applicant and recorded with the King County Record's Office, or its successor organization, requiring the affordable housing to remain for the life of the project. This agreement shall be a covenant running with the land, binding on assigns, heirs, and successors of the applicant.
- b. In the EG-TOD land use district, up to 1.0 FAR of floor area dedicated to on-site public restrooms shall not be counted for the purposes of calculating FAR of a project, provided that:
- i. The restrooms are open to the public during regular business hours.
  - ii. An agreement in a form approved by the City will be executed by the applicant and recorded with the King County Record's Office, or its successor organization, requiring the public restrooms to remain for the life of the project. This agreement shall be a covenant running with the land, binding on assigns, heirs, and successors of the applicant.
- c. Floor Area Earned from Special Dedications and Transfers
- i. General. Land that is dedicated to the City of Bellevue for right-of-way or to accommodate the linear alignment of an RLRT system, or open space, without compensation to the owner, may be used for the purpose of computing maximum FAR notwithstanding the definition of floor area ratio in LUC 20.50.020; provided, that the requirements of subsection c.ii or c.iii of this section are met. The Director shall calculate the amount of square footage earned for transfer. Transferable floor area shall only be used in the EG-TOD.
  - ii. Right-of-Way and Linear Alignment of an RLRT System Special Dedications. The special dedication provisions of LUC 20.30V.170.B do not apply in the EG-TOD.
- aa. Eligible Right-of-Way Identified in an Adopted Plan or Document. A property owner may make special dedication by conveying land identified for right-of-way or linear alignment of an RLRT system acquisition in a Transportation Facilities Plan of the Comprehensive Plan, the Transportation Facilities Plan adopted by the City Council, the Capital Investment Program Plan or the street development standards of 20.25P.090 by an instrument approved by the City Attorney.
  - bb. Eligible Right-of-Way Not Otherwise Identified. A property owner may make a special dedication by conveying land identified by the Director of Transportation as necessary for safety or operational improvement projects by an instrument approved by the City Attorney.

cc. Floor Area Earned. The floor area available to transfer shall be equal to maximum FAR limits that apply to the square footage of the area to be dedicated in conformance with this subsection c.ii and shall be included in the project limit for the purpose of computing maximum FAR. The transfer FAR shall be calculated based on applicable land use district regulations for the dedication area.

iii. Open Space Transfers.

aa. Eligible Open Space identified within the Eastgate Subarea Plan. A property owner may earn floor area for transfer to a different site by conveying land identified for open space in the Eastgate Subarea Plan by an instrument approved by the City Attorney.

bb. Floor Area Earned. The floor area available to transfer shall be equal to that permitted through the FAR limits that apply to the square footage of the area to be dedicated in conformance with this subsection c.iii and shall be added to the allowed floor area of the project for the purpose of computing maximum FAR. The transfer FAR shall be calculated based on applicable land use district regulations for the dedication area.

**20.25P.070 Landscape Development and Fence Standards**

**A. General.**

1. Applicability. The provisions of LUC 20.20.520.A, D, E, G, I, J, K, and L apply to development in the EG-TOD in addition to the provisions contained in this Section.

2. Review Required. The Director shall review the proposed landscape development, outdoor storage, retail display, and fencing and may approve a proposed structure, alteration, site development, use, or occupancy only if the requirements of this section are met.

**B. Street Frontage Landscape Development Requirements.**

1. Purpose/Intent. Landscape development as required by this section is necessary to maintain and protect property values, to enhance the visual appearance of the EG-TOD, to preserve the natural wooded character of the Pacific Northwest, to promote utilization of natural systems, to reduce the impacts of development on the storm drainage system and water resources, to provide a better transition between the various land use districts in the Eastgate Subarea and to enhance the pedestrian environment.

2. Street Trees and Landscaping – Perimeter.

a. Street Tree Species.

- i. On the A street on the figure in LUC 20.25P.090 A.3, Tulip Trees (*Liriodendron tulipifera*) shall be planted.
- ii. On the B streets on the figure in LUC 20.25P.090 A.3 street trees shall be planted. The street tree species may be selected from one of the following: Triumph Elm – Ulmus 'Morton Glossy', Katsura tree - *Katsura japonica*, or Yellowwood - *Cladrastis kentukea*.
- iii. If the tree species listed above are impracticable to install because of disease or because they are unavailable, the Director may approve a comparable substitute species during the Design Review process.

b. Installation. Street trees, at least 2.5 inches in caliper or as approved by the Director, shall be planted at least 3 feet from the face of the street curb, and spaced a maximum of 30 feet apart. A street tree planter area may also include decorative paving and other plant materials, except grass that requires mowing. The use of planter strips for stormwater treatment is encouraged. Installation shall be in accordance with the Parks and Community Services Department Environmental Best Management Practices and Design Standards, as now or hereafter amended.

c. Irrigation. A permanent automatic irrigation system shall be provided at the time of installation of street trees and sidewalk planter strip landscaping located in a required planter strip. The irrigation system shall be served by a separate water meter installed by the applicant and served by City-owned water supply with 24-hour access by the City. The use of rainwater to supplement irrigation is encouraged. Irrigation system shall be designed per the Parks and Community Services Department Environmental Best Management Practices and Design Standards, as now or hereafter amended.

d. Street Landscaping. Street trees together with shrubbery, groundcover and other approved plantings are required in a planter strip along the length of the frontage. Vegetation included in the planter strip shall be able to withstand urban conditions, shall be compatible with other plantings along the same street, and shall reflect the character of the area within which they are planted, as approved by the Director.

D. Interior Property Line Development.

1. Purpose/Intent. The landscape development required by this section is necessary to provide visual separation of uses so as to soften the appearance of parking areas and building elevations.

2. Where Required. A 10-foot landscape buffer shall be provided along an interior property not regulated elsewhere.

3. Applicable Standard.

a. Evergreen and deciduous trees, with no more than 50 percent being deciduous, a minimum of six feet in height, and planted at intervals no greater than 30 feet on center; and

b. If planted to buffer a building elevation, shrubs, a minimum of three and one-half feet in height, and living ground cover planted so that the ground will be covered within three years; or

c. If planted to buffer a parking area, access, or site development other than a building, any of the following alternatives may be used unless otherwise noted:

i. Shrubs, a minimum of three and one-half feet in height, and living ground cover must be planted so that the ground will be covered within three years.

- ii. Earth-mounding, an average of three and one-half feet in height, planted with shrubs or living ground cover so that the ground will be covered within three years.
- iii. A combination of earth-mounding and shrubs to produce a visual barrier at least three and one-half feet in height.

E. Tree Retention and Replacement.

LUC 20.20.900.A, B, C, D, and G shall apply in the EG-TOD.

F. Fences.

- 1. No fence shall be permitted to violate the sight obstruction restrictions at street intersections. (See BCC 14.60.240, as now or hereafter amended).
- 2. No fences shall be allowed at street frontage.
- 3. Prohibited Fences. The following types of fences are prohibited:
  - a. Barbed wire.
  - b. Electric fences.
  - c. Chain link fences are not permitted on any street frontage in the EG-TOD except as follows:
    - i. To secure a construction site or area during the period of construction, site alteration, or other modification;
    - ii. In connection with any approved temporary or special event use; or
    - iii. As a component of an existing development pursuant to LUC 20.25P.030.

**20.25P.080 Parking, Circulation, and Internal Walkway Requirements.**

A. General.

- 1. General. The provisions of LUC 20.20.590.C, E, G, H, I, and K apply to development in the EG-TOD in addition to the provisions contained in this section.
- 2. Review Required. The Director shall review the proposed parking, circulation, and walkways and may approve the proposed structure, alteration, site development, use, or occupancy only if the requirements of this section are met.

Eastgate LUCA Draft for Public Hearing, Page 95

B. Minimum/Maximum Parking Requirements by Use – Specified Uses.

1. Number of Parking Stalls. The requirements of this section for the number of parking stalls apply to each new use and to each new tenant.
2. Parking Standards for EG – TOD Land Use District – Chart 20.25P.080.B.2.

**Chart 20.25P.080.B.2 – PARKING STANDARDS FOR EASTGATE TRANSIT ORIENTED DEVELOPMENT LAND USE DISTRICT (6) (7)**

<u>Use</u>	<u>Unit of Measure</u>	<u>EG-TOD</u>	
		<u>Min.</u>	<u>Max.</u>
a. <u>Financial institution</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.0/3.5</u> <u>(1)</u>
b. <u>Manufacturing/assembly</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>2.0</u>
c. <u>Home furnishing, retail and major appliances retail</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>3.0</u>
d. <u>Manufacturing/assembly (other than high technology/light industry)</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>1.5</u>
e. <u>Office: Business services/professional services/general office</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.0/3.5</u> <u>(1)</u>
f. <u>Office: Medical/dental/health-related services</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>3.5</u>	<u>4.0/4.5</u> <u>(1)</u>
g. <u>Residential</u>	<u>Per unit</u>	<u>0.75(5)</u>	<u>2.0</u>
h. <u>Restaurant and bar (3)</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>5.0 (4)</u>	<u>15.0</u>
i. <u>Retail, personal service, shopping center</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>2.5 (4)</u>	<u>4.5</u>
j. <u>Retail and personal service in mixed-use development (2, 3)</u>	<u>Per 1,000 nsf</u>	<u>2.0</u>	<u>3.5</u>



k.	Senior housing: Nursing home	Per patient bed	0.25	0.75
l.	Senior housing: Senior citizen dwelling or congregate care	Per living unit	0.25	1.0
m.	Wholesale, warehouse	Per 1,000 nsf	1.5	2.0

**Notes applicable to parking standards for EG - TOD (Chart 20.25P.080.B.2):**

- (1) The maximum parking ratio for financial institutions and office uses in Chart 20.25P.080.B.2.a and e may be increased from 3.0 to 3.5 per 1,000 nsf and in Chart 20.25P.080.B.2.f from 4.0 to 4.5 per 1,000 nsf as follows:
- a. For off-site parking, the additional 0.5 per 1,000 nsf increment shall be provided in an interim surface parking configuration no more than 500 feet away from the site. The interim parking will have a sunset clause of 10 years, or such other period approved through a phasing plan, Part 20.30V LUC; or
  - b. For on-site parking, the additional 0.5 per 1,000 nsf increment may be constructed on-site if it is part of an approved phasing plan, Part 20.30V LUC, and dedicated for a portion of the parking requirement for a future phase of the project.
- (2) If retail and personal service space in a mixed-use development exceeds 25 percent of the net square footage of the development, the retail, personal service, and shopping center parking requirements in Chart 20.25P.080.B.2.i apply to the entire retail and personal service space.
- (3) If restaurant and/or bar uses exceed 25 percent of the total net square footage of a retail, shopping center, or mixed-use development, the restaurant and bar requirements in Chart 20.25P.080.B.2.h apply to the entire restaurant and/or bar space.
- (4) No parking is required for retail and restaurant and/or bar uses under 2,000 nsf when the use is: directly adjacent to a public on-street parking supply of at least 20 spaces within 500 feet, or within 1,000 feet of a public parking garage, or within 500 feet of a light rail or bus rapid transit station.
- (5) The minimum requirement for up to and including one bedroom apartment units available to persons earning 60 percent or less than the median income as determined by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development for the Seattle Metropolitan Statistical Area is 0.25 stalls per unit. An agreement to restrict the rental or sale of any such units to an individual earning 60 percent or less of the median income shall be recorded with King County.
- (6) Vanpool/Carpool Facilities. The applicant shall provide a vanpool/carpool loading facility that is outside of required driveway or parking aisle widths and that is contained within the required parking and circulation areas. The facility shall be adjacent to an entrance door to the structure served by the parking, or as nearly so as possible after barrier-free access parking has been provided, and shall be consistent with all applicable design guidelines.

(7) Tandem/Stacked Parking Stalls. The applicant may use tandem/stacked parking stalls to exceed the minimum parking requirement, so long as the maximum parking requirement is not exceeded.

C. Parking Structure Performance Standards.

The Director may approve a proposal for a parking structure through design review if the following criteria are met:

1. Exposed parking on the roof of a structure shall not be permitted;
2. Unfinished ceilings visible from the public right-of-way shall be substantially screened from view;
3. Lighting shall utilize cut-off shields to prevent spillover upon adjacent uses and the right-of-way and to conceal the light source;
4. Parking structures shall include ground floor habitable space if fronting on a local street or public open space. In other areas, active ground floors facing streets or walkways are strongly encouraged;
5. Parking garages shall be constructed with horizontal floors; and
6. Design Guidelines of LUC 20.25P.100 shall be met.

D. Phased Parking.

The property owner may install the required parking spaces in phases pursuant to a phasing plan, Part 20.30V LUC. Each phased parking installation must include the approved minimum to meet the parking requirements for the completed phases of the development for which the parking is provided. The phasing schedule must specifically indicate when all parking approved pursuant to this section will be provided.

1. Location. Phased parking may be located off site if the criteria of subsection C of this section are met.
2. Assurance Device. The Director may require an assurance device pursuant to LUC 20.40.490 to ensure compliance with the requirements and intent of subsection C of this section.

E. Director's Authority to Modify Required Parking.

1. The Director may modify the minimum or maximum parking ratio for any use identified in LUC 20.25P.080.B as follows:
  - a. The modified parking ratio is supported by a parking demand analysis including but not limited to:
    - i. Documentation supplied by the applicant regarding actual parking demand for the proposed use; or
    - ii. Evidence in available planning and technical studies relating to the proposed use; or
    - iii. Required parking for the proposed use as determined by other comparable jurisdictions.

- b. The proposal does not result in any adverse impact beyond the site, and
- c. A shared parking agreement is executed pursuant to LUC 20.20.590.1, for any Shared Use of Parking.
- 2. Periodic Review. The Director may require periodic review of the reduced parking supply to ensure the terms of the approval are being met.

F. Bicycle Parking.

Bicycle parking is required for all uses permitted in the EG-TOD Land Use District pursuant to the following standards:

- 1. Ratio.
  - a. One space per 10,000 nsf for nonresidential uses greater than 20,000 nsf.
  - b. One space per every 10 dwelling units for residential uses.
- 2. Location. Minimum bicycle parking requirement shall be provided on site.
- 3. Covered spaces. At least 50 percent of required parking shall be protected from rainfall by cover.
- 4. Racks. The rack(s) shall be securely anchored and a bicycle six feet long can be securely held with its frame supported so the bicycle cannot be pushed or fall in a manner that will damage the wheels or components.
- 5. Size Requirement. Each required bicycle parking space shall be accessible without moving another bicycle.

G. Unspecified Uses.

The Director shall establish the minimum number of parking spaces required and may establish the maximum number of parking spaces allowed for any use not specified in LUC 20.25P.080.B. The Director may consider but is not limited to the following in establishing parking requirements for an unspecified use:

- 1. Documentation supplied by the applicant regarding actual parking demand for the proposed use; or
- 2. Evidence in available planning and technical studies relating to the proposed use; or
- 3. Required parking for the proposed use as determined by other comparable jurisdictions.

**20.25P.085 Required Ground Floor Uses**

- A. Required Ground Floor Uses.

1. Purpose/Intent. Ground floor wholesale, retail and service uses are an essential component of active and vital streets as well as transit station areas. Ground floor uses create a vibrant “18-hour” pedestrian environment where neighborhood services are within an easy walk, bike or transit trip.
2. Where Required. Figure LUC 20.25P.090.A.3 identifies that street on which ground floor wholesale, retail and services uses are required for building frontages. The wholesale, retail and service uses can be found in LUC 20.25P.030.
3. Applicable Standards for Ground Floor Uses.
  - a. Ground floor uses shall satisfy the intent of subsection A.1 of this section and include uses such as:
    - i. Eating and drinking establishments;
    - ii. Merchandise sales (including but not limited to grocery, food retail, art, and clothing); or
    - iii. Personal services (including but not limited to laundry and beauty services).
  - b. Continuous retail storefronts shall be provided for 100 percent of the building frontage on a designated street.
  - c. Interruptions in storefronts shall be limited to residential lobbies and required emergency access. Lobby interruptions shall be limited to 25 percent of the building frontage.
  - d. All other uses are prohibited on the ground floor.

**20.25P.090 Eastgate Transit Oriented Development Land Use District Street Standards**


**A. Required Streets.**

1. Purpose. The intent of the local street grid is to introduce a public right-of way system that improves mobility by increasing access for multi-modal traffic throughout the EG-TOD Land Use District.

2. Where required, Figure 20.25P.090A.3. identifies the general location of new streets. The Director may approve modifications to the street grid through a Master Development Plan to respond to specific site conditions, property ownership, and phasing considerations; provided the street grid satisfies the purpose above in A.1 and meets the applicable standards below.

3. Street Diagram.

Eastgate Required Streets - Figure 1



(Ord. XXXXXX, X-X-2016, )

4. Applicable Standards.

- a. The total perimeter distance of a block shall not exceed 1200 feet. For the purpose of measuring this dimension, a block may be bordered by a right-of-way, an alley with pedestrian facilities, a private roadway with pedestrian facilities, or a pedestrian street.
- b. All streets shall be accessible to the public at all times. Gates or other means of restricting access are prohibited.
- c. Street and parking design details, including roadway sections and engineering, shall receive all approvals required pursuant to City codes and standards, including but not limited to transportation and utility codes and development standards, as now or hereafter adopted.

B. Sidewalks – Perimeter.

1. Minimum Width- Sidewalks for Segment A (Retail Street). See LUC 20.25P.090.A.3.

- a. Sidewalk – 8 feet.
- b. Planter Strip – 5 to 8 feet.
- c. Curb – 6 inches.

2. Minimum Width – Sidewalks for Segment B. See LUC 20.25P.090.A.3.

- a. Sidewalk – 8 feet.
- b. Planter Strip – 5 feet.
- c. Curb – 6 inches.

C. Parking.

- 1. Purpose and Intent. On-street parking can contribute to the pedestrian environment, be a great benefit to retail uses, and enhance elements of neighborhood character.
- 2. Where required. Short term parking is required on Segment A. See LUC 20.25P.090.A.3.

20.25P.100 Design Guidelines.

A. Introduction.

The Eastgate Design Guidelines support and complement the community vision described in the Eastgate Subarea Plan that is part of the City's adopted Comprehensive Plan. The Design Guidelines offer a flexible tool for quality and innovative development. They do not prescribe specific design solutions or make rigid requirements. Each guideline must be met, but there are many ways to achieve the outcome intended by a particular guideline. The guidelines are a descriptive template for promoting and improving the urban character of the area without dictating or prescribing a specific style or theme.

Each individual guideline provides the following detail:

- Intent: An initial concise statement of the objective of the guideline.

- Guideline: Explanatory text describing the details of the guideline.
- Recommended: Standards and textual and photographic examples of development consistent with the intent of the guideline.  
Visual examples are included as models for design and review purposes. They are intended to provide a means to effectively judge a building or project relative to the design criteria; they are not intended to be specific examples to be replicated.

**B. Character and Site Guidelines.**

**Purpose.**

These guidelines address the qualities that make the EG-TOD unique. They describe what makes the area a special, distinct “place,” not simply a group of individual buildings and streets. As a gateway for the City of Bellevue, with visibility from the I-90 corridor, Eastgate demonstrates both the urban and the green elements of the City in a Park theme, and is important to achieving the vision of the Mountains to Sound Greenway. A sense of arrival, and views of urban development against a green forested backdrop, characterize the area.

**1. Integrate the Natural Environment.**

**a. Intent.**

Integrate new landscape areas, sustainable design elements, natural drainage/LID features, and green open spaces. Reinforce existing linkages, and orient buildings to Eastgate’s natural and landscaped features.

**b. Guideline.**

Site and building design should capitalize on existing elements of the natural environment, such as habitat corridors, the Mountains to Sound Greenway (MTS), and other trail connections with Bellevue College, the Eastgate Park and Ride and other points of interest.

Designs should also integrate new natural features, such as pedestrian connections, street trees, natural drainage systems and open space amenities for residents, employees and visitors. Depending on the location, this may be accomplished through integration of the natural environment with new development or providing a smooth transition between the natural and built environments.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. The following existing natural environments and connections should be protected and incorporated into new development or redevelopment:
  - Clear and convenient public access to open space amenities.
  - Views of urban elements against the green, forested backdrop of the hillside.
  - Open spaces and/or access points to the Mountains to Sound Greenway trail.

- ii. The landscape development requirements of LUC 20.25P.070 shall apply.
- iii. New buildings should be sited to take maximum advantage of adjacent community facilities and natural areas and open spaces.
- iv. Architectural elements should use materials, colors and forms that are harmonious with the natural surroundings.
- v. The MTS trail should be identified as an asset to new development and redevelopment through the provision of complementary open spaces and access points, signage and other design elements that raise awareness and use of the trail.
- vi. Green walls, green roofs, rain gardens and abundant landscaping are encouraged on and around buildings visible from the I-90 corridor, to promote Eastgate's natural character.
- vii. Rain gardens, modular wetlands, and stormwater planters pursuant to Bellevue Utilities Department Engineering Standards Chapter D9, now or as hereafter amended are encouraged.
- viii. Developments and design features that promote environmental sustainability such as low impact development, preservation and enhancement of critical areas, and energy efficiency are encouraged.

## **2. Enhance the Pedestrian System.**

### **a. Intent.**

Prioritize the pedestrian by eliminating barriers and ensuring that walking routes are convenient, direct and pleasant.

### **b. Guideline.**

Pedestrian routes should be attractive, easy to use and encourage walking and activity. Sidewalks should be continuous, avoiding interruptions such as vehicle curb cuts or changes in direction or grade. The portion of the sidewalk dedicated to walking should be free of barrier such as utility poles, newspaper boxes, café tables and chairs, permanent planters, mechanical equipment, waste and recycling receptacles, tree grates or other obstructions and clutter.

### **c. Recommended.**

- i. The pedestrian network should include:
  - Required local streets meeting the standards of LUC 20.25P.090. Refer to the figure in LUC 20.25P.090.A.3.
  - The pedestrian hill climb and plaza that connects the EG-TOD to Bellevue College.
  - Pedestrian routes that are safely integrated with the street system.
  - Limited curb cuts along pedestrian routes for pedestrian safety and comfort.
  - Pedestrian access that maintain travel routes where rights-of-way have traditionally been located.



- ii. Parking structures shall be linked to the public right-of-way, include pedestrian walkways and comply with the parking structure performance standards of 20.25P.080.
- iii. Pedestrian access connections to the Eastgate Park and Ride area shall be coordinated with and connect to all areas of the EG-TOD.
- iv. Pedestrian walkways should meet the following requirements:
  - Proposed pathway is sufficiently wide to accommodate the intended number of users.
  - Landscape allows visibility and access and does not block pathway.
  - Walkways paved with high-quality, durable materials, such as brick or stone.
  - Pedestrian-scale lighting should be provided on all sidewalks and pathways.

### **3. Establish and Strengthen Gateways.**

#### **a. Intent.**

Use architectural and landscape elements to mark transitions and entrances.

#### **b. Guideline.**

Eastgate serves as a gateway into Bellevue. Entrances should be celebrated at many levels. Pedestrians, cyclists, transit passengers, and motorists should experience a sense of “entering” or moving into the area. Some of the key gateways are listed below, although additional areas not listed here may also receive a gateway treatment.

Key gateways in Eastgate include specific locations and corridors that provide physical and visual access as describe below:

- The intersection of 142<sup>nd</sup> Place SE with Eastgate Way and with the required streets. Refer to the figure in LUC 20.25P.090.A.3.
- Visual connections from I-90, where Eastgate serves as a gateway into Bellevue.
- Visual and physical connections to the Mountains to Sound Greenway.
- Visual and physical connections to Bellevue College.

#### **c. Recommended.**

- i. The following types of gateway treatments are encouraged:
  - Adjacent buildings designed to emphasize presence and importance of gateways. Use special architectural treatment to further provide prominence to open spaces associated with gateways.
  - Architectural free standing elements to emphasize the gateways in any identified plazas and open spaces. Structural elements using artistic elements to elevate the importance of the identified gateways.

- Signage, landscaping, and lighting, used to identify visual and physical gateways.
- Markers or inlaid art treatment in sidewalk paving to strengthen sense of entry into the EG-TOD area.
- Design elements that indicate a change or separation in transportation modes, such as a change from auto to pedestrian areas.

#### **4. Create a Variety of Activated Outdoor Spaces.**

##### **a. Intent.**

Provide comfortable and inviting outdoor spaces for a variety of activities during all hours and seasons.

##### **b. Guideline.**

Inviting outdoor gathering spaces that maximize opportunities for use should be incorporated throughout the EG-TOD area. Outdoor spaces should be spatially well-defined, inviting, secure, and easy to maintain. They should provide space for both active and passive recreation for residents and visitors to the area. All areas should be welcoming to pedestrians and designed to accommodate special events.

##### **c. Recommended.**

- A variety of open space types should be incorporated into the EG-TOD area.
- Pedestrian walkways and courtyards should be incorporated into residential or office development areas.
- Courtyards, squares and plazas should be adjacent to active ground floor uses wherever possible.
- Public spaces should be defined with materials such as pavers, street furniture, textural materials and colored concrete.
- Trees, shrubs and plants should be used to define walkways, create transitions from the plaza to the street and provide visual interest.
- Buildings may surround green spaces to provide visual definition and vitality generated by active ground floor uses.
- Structures, pavilions and seating areas should be easily accessible and feel safe and secure during both day and evening hours. Spaces that are usable and inviting in all seasons are encouraged.
- Spaces should be designed to consider solar orientation throughout different times of the year. Spaces should be oriented to optimize exposure to winter sun, while providing areas of relief from summer sun exposure. Vegetation placed in these spaces should be suited to the anticipated level of solar access.

#### **C. Pedestrian Emphasis Guidelines.**

**Purpose.**

The pedestrian emphasis guidelines promote an environment where pedestrians are a priority. The highest consideration should be given to the ease and comfort of pedestrian movement and creation of desirable gathering places.

**1. Define the Pedestrian Environment.**

**a. Intent.**

Building and streetscape designs should provide a continuous, visually rich pedestrian experience along ground floor street frontages.

**b. Guideline.**

The most important part of a building to a pedestrian is its ground floor – the lowest 20 feet of the facade, which a person experiences walking past or entering the building. This pedestrian experience zone should provide a continuous and comfortable street edge for the pedestrian. Ground floor building transparency should foster interaction between the public and private realms.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. The following design elements should be incorporated into buildings that front on required local streets. Refer to the figure in LUC.20.25P.090.A.3.
  - Building entrances that are directly accessible from the public street.
  - Transparent windows or window displays at the street level.
- ii. Buildings and streetscapes should incorporate the following elements to better define the pedestrian environment:
  - Continuous buildings along a street front that frame the pedestrian portion of the right-of-way
  - Walls that use a variety of forms, colors and compatible cladding materials to create visual interest and street detail and avoid uniform treatment of the entire block face.
  - Facades that provide a rhythm by using bays, columns, pilasters or other articulation at the street level.
  - Careful selection and coordination of streetscape furnishings, materials, and fixtures to create a cohesive streetscape design that relates to surrounding architectural details.
  - Signs and lighting at the ground level that complement the human scale.

**2. Protect Pedestrians from the Elements.**

**a. Intent.**

Provide pedestrians with weather protection on routes between the Eastgate Park and Ride and development in the TOD.

**b. Guideline.**

Awnings, canopies, and marquees are encouraged along the ground floor of buildings to protect pedestrians from rain and snow and to provide shade in summer.

**c. Recommended**

The design of weather protection should be an integral component of the building facade. Awnings should be in proportion to the building and sidewalk, and not so large as to impact street trees, light fixtures or other street furniture. Continuity of overhead protection is encouraged, particularly along high pedestrian travel routes.

- i. Weather protection should be continuous. Pedestrian weather protection should include at least one of the following:
  - Fabric awnings.
  - Marquees
  - Horizontal metal canopies, especially if transom or clerestory windows are above storefront glazing.
  - Glazed canopies.

- ii. Weather protection should follow the pattern of storefronts.

**3. Integrate Art.**

**a. Intent.**

Art in the EG-TOD area should complement the context and character of a site, building or the district as a whole. Art should be integrated at gateways.

**b. Guideline.**

Large scale art in both public and private applications should bring focus to an outdoor space while small scale pieces should bring detail to the pedestrian realm surrounding a building or site. At any scale, art should not overwhelm outdoor spaces or render buildings mere backdrops. Art should not be used as advertising.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Incorporate public art the design of which:
  - Responds or relates to the unique characteristics of the EG-TOD area;

- Emphasizes the presence and location of gateways;
- Utilizes durable, vandal-resistant materials; and
- Ensures that the art will age well.



- ii. The following types of public art should be incorporated:
  - Art which is designed for the building or site and
  - Functional or interactive artwork.

#### 4. Provide Places for Stopping and Viewing.

##### a. Intent.

Provide comfortable and inviting places where people can stop to sit, rest and visit.

##### b. Guideline.

Seating, resting and viewing opportunities should be incorporated into open space, streetscapes and pathways to enhance vitality of the urban environment. People-watching, socializing and eating are restful and pleasurable activities for the pedestrian; providing special places where they can do these activities increases the pedestrian's sense of enjoyment. Seating should be located in open, well-designed areas with access to natural light and sun in order to receive the most use. The preservation of existing views from public spaces is highly encouraged.

##### c. Recommended.

- i. The following elements should be incorporated into public spaces:
  - Formal benches and informal seating such as wide steps, edges of landscape planters and low walls;

- More seating near active retail establishments such as outside eating and drinking establishments and food vendors.
  - Seating adjacent to pedestrian walkways.
  - Places for stopping and viewing adjacent to and within open spaces, plazas, and courtyards; and
  - A sense of separation from vehicular traffic.
- ii. The following open space amenities should be incorporated into public spaces in a manner that relates to the surrounding context and meet the needs of expected users:
- Protection from noise, access to sun, and places to sit and eat lunch.
  - Play spaces, gathering and event spaces and seating for retail shoppers; and
  - Ground level open spaces that are open to the public and visually and physically accessible from streets and occupied buildings.

**D. Architectural Guidelines.**

**Purpose.**

The architecture guidelines promote high quality development while reinforcing the area's sense of place and Northwest provenance. They do this by encouraging innovative design, construction techniques and materials that reflect Eastgate's relationship with the Mountains-to-Sound Greenway Trail, role as a gateway into the City and the emerging urban character of the area.

**1. Use High Quality Materials.**

**a. Intent.**

Create a sense of permanence and bring life and warmth to the EG-TOD area through the use of high quality building materials, while promoting the use of locally sourced and sustainable building materials.

**b. Guideline.**

Wall and building materials must enhance the street environment while maintaining compatibility with adjacent buildings. Materials should show depth, quality and durability. It should be apparent that the materials have substance and mass, and are not artificially applied only to the building's surface. Durable, high quality materials are particularly important at the base of buildings where storefronts face sidewalks or open space.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. The following materials or measures should be incorporated:
  - Durable, natural materials such as brick, stone, terra cotta, and wood, and other high quality materials, such as finished concrete and cement stucco. Local materials are preferred.
  - Varied, yet compatible cladding materials; and
  - Articulated window and storefront trim.
- ii. Concrete, masonry, or other durable material is required within 18 inches of grade when adjacent to a public sidewalk, path, or drive aisle to provide a durable surface where damage is most likely.

**2. Promote Architectural Compatibility.**

**a. Intent.**

New buildings should contribute to the quality and character of the character and context of the area.

**b. Guideline.**

Buildings should relate to nearby buildings, with similar design characteristics. However, some degree of variation in architectural elements and design is encouraged to avoid visual monotony. The building's form, materials and colors should enhance, not detract from, the area's overall character. Development that is visible from I-90 should incorporate the wooded corridor adjacent to Bellevue College by using striking forms and elements that highlight these natural features.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Buildings should exhibit the following:
  - Architectural elements used at a scale and level of detailing proportionate to the size of the building.
  - Forms, proportions, rhythms, materials, colors and architectural motifs that are suggested by and complement adjacent buildings and the natural environment of the adjacent habitat.
  - Site features, such as fences, walls, and light fixtures should be consistent with the scale and architectural design of the primary structure.
  - Refuse and recycling receptacles should be enclosed within the building. If enclosing in the building is not feasible, then the receptacles should be wrapped or complementary to street furnishings.

### **3. Provide Interesting Building Massing.**

#### **a. Intent.**

Use architectural features to break down the mass and scale of buildings to create a comfortable sense of enclosure with an uninterrupted street edge.

#### **b. Guideline.**

The length and breadth of a building should be friendly in scale and inviting to the pedestrian. Portions of a large building mass should be broken into smaller, appropriately scaled modules, with changes in plane indicated by projections and indentations. This allows an overly large building to appear as multiple smaller, side-by-side buildings. Vertical and horizontal elements should be used to create a human scale and form a coherent pattern providing visual interest to the public.

#### **c. Recommended.**

- i. Long expanses of building frontage should be broken down both horizontally and vertically. Buildings with non-residential uses on the ground floor should have articulation features at approximately 50 feet or less along the street front to create a pattern of small storefronts.
- ii. Buildings should feature a vertically articulated tripartite facade division – base, middle and top for buildings over five stories.
- iii. Vertical articulation of windows, columns and bays is encouraged.
- iv. Building massing should maximize solar access to publicly accessible open spaces, especially for lunchtime sunlight. Building massing should also utilize solar orientation to maximize winter passive heating gains and minimize summer cooling needs.

### **4. Create Attractive Building Silhouettes and Rooflines.**

#### **a. Intent.**

Building rooflines should enliven the pedestrian experience, provide visual interest with details that create forms and shadows, and create a distinct identity for the EG-TOD area.

#### **b. Guideline.**

A building's silhouette should be compatible with the intended character of the area and enhance the streetscape.

#### **c. Recommended.**



- i. Buildings visible from I-90 should have a distinctive silhouette to create a unique identity within Eastgate and announce the entry into Bellevue.
- ii. Buildings should incorporate a combination of the following elements:
  - Vertical architectural expression of important building functions as entries;
  - Varied roof line heights;
  - Green roofs or rooftop terraces; and
  - Well-detailed cornices that have significant proportions (height and depth) and create visual interest and shadow lines.

**5. Foster Attractive Rooftops.**

**a. Intent.**

Integrate rooftop elements into the building design, treat stormwater runoff and connect to the natural environment on the roof, and create an activated roof form.

**b. Guideline.**

Roof shape, surface materials, colors, and penthouse functions should all be integrated into the overall building design. The roof should take inspiration from the Mountains to Sound Greenway and tree corridor between Bellevue College and the TOD by addressing environmental needs and making use of views of natural environmental elements. Telecommunications equipment, including satellite dishes, cell-phone towers or antennas, should not be visible from public spaces or adjacent residential districts.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. A green roof or rooftop terraces and gardens should be provided and should:
  - Reduce and treat stormwater runoff; and
  - Provide habitat for local species.
- ii. Rooftop mechanical equipment should be fully screened, accommodated within the maximum height limit and integrated into the building's architectural style.

**6. Promote Welcoming Residential Entries.**

**a. Intent.**

Residential entries should provide a graceful transition between the public and private realms.

**b. Guideline.**

Residential entries should be substantial enough to suggest privacy yet welcoming to those who approach and enter.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Lobby entries to multifamily buildings and individual units at the street-level should provide:
  - Pedestrian weather protection entries.
  - Transparent doors, windows, or glazing near the door.
  - Double or multiple doors.
  - Visibility and security. Entrances should be visible from the street or pedestrian path and located in areas with high pedestrian activity or where residents can view the entry.
- ii. Entries are encouraged to feature the following:
  - Doors combined with transom windows or side lights.
  - Durable, high-quality metal door hardware.
  - Doors accessed from weather protected entries.

**7. Promote Visually Interesting Upper Floor Residential Windows.**

**a. Intent.**

Upper floor residential windows should create an open and inviting atmosphere that adds visual interest and enhances the experience of the building both inside and out.

**b. Guideline.**

The windows of a residential building should be pleasing and coherent. Their size and detailing should be of a human scale with regular spacing and a rhythm of similarly shaped windows.

**c. Required or Recommended.**

i. Windows should be:

- Operable.
- Recessed from building facade, not flush.
- Broken into multi-planes.

## **8. Design Inviting Retail, Office, and Commercial Entries.**

### **a. Intent.**

Design retail, office, and commercial entries to create an open atmosphere that draws customers inside.

### **b. Guideline.**

Primary entries to retail and commercial establishments should be frequent along the street front and transparent, allowing passersby to see the activity within the building and bring life and vitality to the street. Architectural detail should be used to help emphasize the building entry.

### **c. Recommended.**

- The sides of a building which face a public street shall include public entrances to the building.
- Entrances should be provided to each tenant space and should create activity for the pedestrian at frequent intervals along the building frontage.
- Entrances should feature some of the following elements:
  - Doors with a minimum of 50 percent window area.
  - Building lighting that emphasizes entrances.
  - Doors combined with special architectural detailing and door hardware.
  - Double or multiple door entries.
  - Large cafe or restaurant doors that open the street to the interior by pivoting, sliding or rolling up overhead

**9. Activate and Emphasize Corners.**

**a. Intent.**

Use corner entries to reinforce intersections as important places for pedestrian interaction and activity.

**b. Guideline.**

Locate entry doors on the corners of retail buildings wherever possible. Entries at 45-degree angles and free of visual obstructions are encouraged.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Primary building entrance located at corner is recommended.
- ii. The following architectural elements should emphasize the corner entry:
  - Weather protection, special paving and building wall lighting.
  - Architectural detailing such as materials, colors, and finishes as long as such details do not provide visual and physical obstructions.

**10. Provide Inviting Ground Floor Retail and Commercial Windows.**

**a. Intent.**

Use transparency to enhance visual interest and to draw people into retail and commercial uses.

**b. Guideline.**

Retail and commercial uses should use unobstructed windows that add activity and variety at the street level, inviting pedestrians into retail and commercial uses and providing views both in and out.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Clear window glazing that provides visual access to the activity within the building should be provided on the ground floor building façades facing required local streets and public open space. On other internal streets, commercial ground floors should feature some amount of transparent windows on the ground floor façades.

The following window types are encouraged:

- Operable windows that open by pivoting, sliding or shuttering for restaurants and cafes.
- Painted wood, metal, and tile- or stone-clad panels below windows.
- Transom windows.

## **11. Build Compatible Parking Structures and Surface Parking.**

### **a. Intent.**

Use design elements to enhance the compatibility of parking structures with the TOD streetscape.

### **b. Guideline.**

Any sidewalk facing parking garage frontage should be designed to appear like any other occupied building in the area. The ground floor façade shall retain a high degree of transparency into occupied space. The horizontal garage form can be broken down by adding more wall surface and habitable space, while retaining adequate garage ventilation.

### **c. Recommended.**

- i. Surface parking should be located behind the building and accessible via an alley or shared driveway (if applicable) to minimize curb cuts.
- ii. Parking structure facades visible from I-90, high-use pedestrian areas, or adjacent residential zones but not fronting on a local street or public open space should feature green walls or other screening devices for the wall mass as approved by the Director.
- iii. Parking structures should feature the following elements:
  - Small openings that may be glazed to function as windows.
  - Stairways, elevators and parking entries and exits that occur at mid-block.
  - Single auto exit/entry control point to minimize number and width of driveway openings (entry and exit points may be separated).
  - Vertical expression of building structure.
- iv. Parking areas should be designed to minimize conflicts between pedestrian and vehicular movements. Parking area landscaping should be used to define and separate parking, vehicular access, and pedestrian areas within parking lots.

v. Parking areas shall include plantings using trees of 3 inches caliper or 14 to 16 feet high and shrubs no taller than 42 inches high at approximately 35 feet on-center parallel to the aisle, or shall be screened using similar materials. Other parking lot landscaping shall meet LUC 20.20.520 requirements for Type V landscaping.

vii. Any parking areas located along a street or pathway should be screened with shrubs that are 42" high or as approved by the Director.

E. Lighting Guidelines.

**Purpose.**

The lighting of buildings and open spaces should provide security, promote environmental sustainability and contribute to the character and overall sense and vitality of the area.

**1. Orient Lighting toward Sidewalks and Public Spaces.**

**a. Intent.**

Pedestrian-scaled lighting should be used to highlight sidewalks, street trees and other features, and harmonize with other visual elements in the district.

**b. Guideline.**

Pedestrian-scaled lighting should be provided along pedestrian walkways and public open spaces. A single fixture type should be used throughout an area with slight variations allowed to identify smaller districts. Fixtures should be visually quiet as to not overpower or dominate the streetscape. Lighting may also be used to highlight trees and similar features within public and private plazas, courtyards, walkways and other similar outdoor areas to create an inviting and safe ambiance.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Lighting within commercial plazas or office areas should direct lighting to the interior of the site.
- ii. The following lighting elements and strategies are recommended:
  - Light poles and fixtures should accommodate both pedestrians and vehicles wherever possible.
  - Lighting designs shall promote even, consistent lighting of streets and pedestrian areas.
  - Lighting of landscape areas and open spaces shall meet public safety needs, but be minimized to control light pollution.

iii. The following lighting strategies are encouraged:

- Incorporation of artistic elements on light poles.
- Integration or concealment of light fixtures into the design of buildings or landscape walls and stairways.
- Application of lights that are no brighter than necessary and only placed where necessary.
- Incorporation of adjustable lighting to respond to site conditions;
- Incorporation of footlights that illuminate walkways and stairs.
- Incorporation of energy-efficient lighting.
- Incorporation of bollard lighting that is directed downward toward walking surfaces.

## 2. Integrate Building Lighting.

### a. Intent.

Architectural lighting should enhance and help articulate building design, including illumination of cornices and entries.

### b. Guideline.

Exterior lighting of buildings should be an integral component of the facade composition. Lighting should be used to create effects of shadow, relief and outline that add visual interest and highlight aspects of the building. Lighting should not cast glare into residential units or onto adjacent parcels or streets in any way that decreases the safety of pedestrians and vehicles. Lighting should also not cast glare onto natural areas or be used for advertising.

### c. Recommended.

- i. The following lighting elements and strategies are encouraged:
- Wall-washing lighting fixtures.
  - Decorative wall sconces and similar architectural lighting fixtures.
  - Screened upright fixtures on buildings or within the landscape.
  - Lighting that provides natural color.
  - Adjustable intensity for brightness.
  - Energy-efficiency.

## F. Sign Guidelines.

Eastgate LUCA Draft for Public Hearing, Page 119

**Purpose.**

Signs may provide an address, identify a place of business, locate residential buildings or generally offer directions and information. Regardless of their function, signs should be architecturally compatible with and contribute to the character of the district. Signs should not compete with each other or dominate the setting due to inconsistent height, size, shape, number, color, lighting or movement. Signs can contribute significantly to a positive retail and pedestrian environment, improve public safety perceptions and reinforce a sense of place.

**1. Consider Size and Placement of Wall Signs.**

**a. Intent.**

Signs should be sized and placed so that they are compatible with a building's architectural design and contribute to the character of the building and the broader district.

**b. Guideline.**

Signs should not overwhelm the building or its special architectural features. Signs should not render the building a mere backdrop for advertising or building identification. Signs should be scaled and designed for their environment and intended reader.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Signs should be scaled and oriented to pedestrian movement.
- ii. Signs should be architecturally integrated into the building design and meet requirements of Chapter 22.10 BCC. The following sign types exemplify ways to accomplish this standard:
  - Signs incorporated into the building architecture as embossing, low relief casting or application to wall surfaces.
  - Signs that are painted or made with applied metal lettering and graphics.
  - Signs made of durable materials.
  - Signs incorporating lighting as part of their design.
  - Signs located above storefronts, on columns or on walls flanking doorways.

**2. Orient Hanging Signs to Pedestrians.**

**a. Intent.**



Hangng signs can contribute significantly to a positive retail and pedestrian environment and reinforce a sense of place.

**b. Guideline.**

Signs should not overwhelm the streetscape. They should be compatible with and complement the building's architecture, including its awnings, canopies, lighting and street furniture.

**c. Recommended.**

- i. Hangng signs should incorporate the following features:
  - Sign lighting that is integrated into the facade of the building.
  - Signs constructed of high-quality materials and finishes.
  - Signs attached to the building in a durable fashion.

20.20.010 Uses in land use districts dimensional requirements.  
Chart 20.20.010

...

Uses in land use districts Dimensional Requirements

STD LAND USE- CODE REF	LAND USE CLASSIFICATION	Professional Office	Office	Office/ Limited Business	Office Limited Business 2	Light Industry	General Commercial	Neighbor- hood Business	Neighbor- hood Mixed Use	Com- munity Business	Factoria Land Use District 1	Factoria Land Use District 2	Factoria Land Use District 3	Down- town Office- Distrie t-1	Down- town Office- Distrie t-2	Down- town Mixed Use- Distrie t	Down- town Resid- ential- Distrie t	Down- town- Old Bellev- ue- Distrie t	Down- town- Office- and Limited- Business- District
		PO	O	OLB	OLB 2	LI	GC	NB	NMU	CB	F1	F2	F3	DNTN O-1	DNT N O-2	DNT N MU	DNT N R	DNT N OB	DNTN OLB
	<b>DIMENSIONS</b>	(8; 21)	(8; 21)	(8; 21)	(21)	(8; 21)	(8; 21)	(8; 21)	(21)	(8; 21)	(28)	(21, 31)	(21, 32)	(7)	(7)	(7)	(7)	(7)	(7)
	Minimum Setbacks of Structures (feet) Front Yard (18) (20)	30	30	50	0	15	15		0			50	20						
	Rear Yard (17) (18) (20)	25	25	50	0	(2)	(2)	(2)	0	(2)		30	5						
	Side Yard (17) (18) (20)	20	20	30	0	(2)	(2)	(2)	0	(2)		30	5						
	2 Side Yards (17) (18) (20)	40	40	60	0	(2)	(2)	(2)		(2)		60	10						
	Floor Area Ratio	(8)	(48) (8)	(8)	1	(8)	(8)	(8)	1(47)	(8)		.75							
	Minimum Lot Area Acres (A) or Thousands of Sq. Ft. (3)			2A								2A	2A						
	Dwelling Units per Acre (15) (22)	10 (23)	20 (23)	30 (23)				15 (23)		30 (23)		30 (23)	30 (23)						
	Minimum Dimensions (feet) Width of Street Frontage			200								200	200						
	Width Required in Lot (4)			200								200	200						
	Depth Required in Lot (4)																		
	Maximum in Building Height (feet) (10)	20	30	45 (6)	75	45 (9)	30	20 (25)	75	45 (46)		75	75/135 (33, 34)						
	Maximum Lot Coverage by Structures (percent) (13) (14) (16)	35 (24)	35 (24)	35 (24)	35	50		35 (24)	35			35 (24)	40 (24)						
	Maximum Impervious Surface (percent) (35) (37)	80	80	80	80	85	85	80	80	85		80	80						

**Commented [BT(1)]:** The impervious percentages for OLB 2 and NMU may be reduced to 60% as a part of the LID project.

**Commented [BT(2)]:** See comment above

NOTE: Dimensional Requirements for Downtown Districts are found in Part 20.25A LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Evergreen Highlands Design District (EH-A, EH-B, EH-C, EH-D) are found in Part 20.25F LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Office and Limited Business – Open Space (OLB-OS) are found in Part 20.25L LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Medical Institution District (MI) are found in Part 20.25J LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Bel-Red Land Use Districts are found in Part 20.25D LUC.

Dimensional Requirements for Eastgate Transit Oriented Development District is found in Part 20.25F LUC.

DRAFT

**Notes: Uses in land use districts – Dimensional requirements**

- (1) Side yard setback in R-30 Districts increases to 20 feet on any side yard where structure exceeds 30 feet above finished grade.
  - (2) All rear and side yards shall contain landscaping as required by LUC 20.20.520.
  - (3) See LUC 20.20.012.
  - (4) See LUC 20.20.015.
  - (5) Except in Transition Areas, the maximum allowable building height in R-20 Districts may be increased to 40 feet if ground floor or underground parking for that building is provided and occupies a minimum of 75 percent of the building footprint.
  - (6) The maximum allowable building height is 75 feet on any property designated OLB which lies within 475 feet of the right-of-way of I-405, between I-90 and SR-520.
  - ~~(7) Dimensional requirements for Downtown Land Use Districts are listed in LUC 20.25A.020. Intentionally deleted.~~
  - (8) Any office building or any office portion of a building in the PO, O, OLB, LI, GC, NB, CB or F1 Districts shall comply with the following limitations on Floor Area Ratio:
    - (a) At 0.5 FAR, no office building or office portion of a building may exceed 50,000 square feet of gross floor area; and
    - (b) For any office building or office portion of a building greater than 50,000 square feet in gross floor area, the following sliding scale shall be observed as interpolated and extrapolated below:
      - (i) At 0.3 FAR, no office building or office portion of a building may exceed 100,000 square feet of gross floor area; and
      - (ii) At 0.1 FAR, no office building or office portion of a building may exceed 150,000 square feet of gross floor area.
    - (c) In an O District, north of Factoria Mall and directly adjacent to an F2 District, any office building or any office portion of a building may have a Floor Area Ratio greater than 0.50, not to exceed a Floor Area Ratio of 0.75 FAR. In this district, the sliding FAR scale does not apply.
- This footnote 8 shall not apply to sites in the Critical Areas Overlay District. Density/intensity on sites in the Critical Areas Overlay District is calculated pursuant to LUC 20.25H.045.
- (9) The maximum building height may be exceeded upon approval of the Director of the Development Services Department. Requests for such approval shall be processed in accordance with the administrative conditional use procedure of Part 20.30E LUC. Before granting any such approval, the Director of the Development Services Department must find that:
    - (a) The height increase is only to accommodate equipment, structures or buildings that contain special equipment primarily related to light manufacturing, wholesale, trade and distribution use, and is not for office or bulk retail use; and
    - (b) There is functional need for a height increase; and
    - (c) The overall site development will minimize adverse impacts caused by the height increase. Notwithstanding the provisions of this note, no height increase is permitted within a Transition Area as defined in Part 20.25B LUC.
  - (10) Except in Transition Areas, the allowable building height of any building located in PO, O, OLB, GC, NB, or CB Districts may be increased by one story, but not to exceed 15 feet, if basement parking for that building occupies a minimum of 75 percent of the building footprint.
  - (11) The LUC contains enhanced setback requirements for churches, clubs, and institutions (refer to LUC 20.20.190) and schools (refer to LUC 20.20.740) located in residential land use districts.
  - (12) For each square foot of lot area devoted to open space in excess of 30 percent of the total lot area, one square foot is added to the lot area for the purpose of calculating density.
  - (13) Lot coverage is calculated after subtracting all critical areas and stream critical area buffers; provided, that coal mine hazards (20.25H.130) and habitat associated with species of local importance (20.25H.150) shall not be subtracted.
  - (14) Maximum lot coverage by structures is determined after public right-of-way and private roads are subtracted from the gross land area.
  - (15) Except for sites in the Critical Areas Overlay District, if there is a conflict between the minimum lot area and the permitted number of dwelling units per acre, the minimum lot area controls. Density/intensity on sites in the Critical Areas Overlay District is calculated pursuant to LUC 20.25H.045
  - (16) Exceptions to Lot Coverage. Although not considered structures for purposes of calculating lot coverage, the following may be considered impervious surfaces subject to the impervious surface limits. See LUC 20.20.460 and 20.50.026.
    - (a) Underground buildings as defined in LUC 20.50.050 are not structures for the purpose of calculating lot coverage.

- (b) Buildings constructed partially below grade and not higher than 30 inches above existing or finished grade, whichever is lower, are not structures for the purpose of calculating lot coverage subject to the following conditions:
- (i) The 30-inch height limit must be met at all points along the building excluding those areas necessary to provide reasonable ingress and egress to the underground portions of the building; and
  - (ii) The rooftop of the building shall be screened from abutting properties with 10 feet of Type II landscaping as described in LUC 20.20.520.G.2 except that the required trees shall be a minimum of 10 feet in height at planting; or, if a use is proposed for the rooftop, the rooftop may be landscaped consistent with the planting requirements for the specific use that is proposed and for the land use district in which the use is located. All landscaping shall comply with standards set forth in LUC 20.20.520. The provisions of LUC 20.20.520.J (Alternative Landscaping Option) are applicable.
- (17) If the setback abuts a street right-of-way, access easement or private road, the minimum dimension is 10 feet unless a greater dimension is specified.
- (18) See LUC 20.20.030 for designation and measurement of setbacks.
- (20) See LUC 20.25H.035 for additional critical area setbacks.
- (21) See LUC 20.25H.045 for calculation of density/intensity on sites in the Critical Areas Overlay District.
- (22) Density for senior citizen dwelling, congregate care senior housing, and assisted living is calculated as follows: units less than 600 square feet count as one-half unit and units 600 square feet or greater count as one unit.
- (23) This residential density may be in addition to FAR only for senior citizen dwellings, assisted living and congregate care senior housing.
- (24) Lot coverage may be increased to 50 percent if congregate care senior housing, senior citizen dwellings, assisted living or nursing homes are constructed on site; provided, however, that coverage for the nonresidential portions of the development cannot exceed the maximum limits indicated. Lot coverage within NB Districts may be increased to 50 percent for mixed use development which includes residential uses comprising at least one-half the square footage of the building footprint. Underground parking in excess of 50 percent of the site area shall not be included in lot coverage calculations.
- (25) The maximum building height for structures is increased to 30 feet only if residential uses or administrative office uses are provided on the second floor, and provided the structure does not exceed two stories. For purposes of this note, a story is defined pursuant to the International Building Code, Section 202, as adopted and amended by the City of Bellevue.
- (26) See LUC 20.20.125 for specific requirements applicable to detached accessory structures.
- (27) Lot coverage for schools located in residential land use districts is limited to 35 percent of the site area (refer to LUC 20.20.740).
- (28) Dimensional requirements for the F1 Land Use District are listed in LUC 20.25F1.040.
- (29) (Repealed by Ord. 5726).
- (30) (Repealed by Ord. 5726).
- (31) Any office building or any office portion of a building in the F2 District may not exceed a Floor Area Ratio of 0.75 FAR.
- (32) The maximum FAR for the combined properties in the F3 Land Use District, regardless of use, shall be 1.26 FAR; provided, that individual parcels or portions of property lying within the F3 Land Use District may have FAR for those individual parcels or portions which exceed an FAR of 1.26; provided, that the FAR calculated for the entire aggregated property within the F3 Land Use District shall not exceed 1.26. The maximum FAR permitted herein is based on a maximum total development, including existing and new development of 950,000 square feet, calculated in the same manner as provided for in the calculation of FAR. In the event of an inconsistency between the FAR maximum of 1.26 and the maximum total development amount of 950,000 square feet, the latter shall control.
- (33) In no event shall building height exceed 324 feet above sea level, based on North American Vertical Datum, 1988 (NAVD – 88).
- (34) Maximum building height south of the F3 Land Use District Separation Line shall be 135 feet, with structural elements not intended for habitation above 135 feet, so long as structural elements do not exceed 275 feet above sea level based on NAVD – 88.
- (35) See LUC 20.20.460 for exceptions and performance standards relating to impervious surface.
- (36) Impervious surface limits for legally established nonconforming nonresidential uses and for new allowed nonresidential uses in these residential land use districts shall be 80 percent.
- (37) Maximum impervious surface and maximum lot coverage by structures are independent limitations on allowed development. All areas of lot coverage by structures are included in the calculation of total maximum impervious surface, unless such structures are excepted under LUC 20.20.460.
- (38) Certain non-critical area setbacks on sites in the Critical Areas Overlay District may be modified pursuant to LUC 20.25H.040.
- (39) These dimensional standards may be modified through an approved conservation subdivision, LUC 20.45A.060 or conservation short subdivision, LUC 20.45B.055.

(40) The greenscape requirements of this section shall be imposed any time a permit, approval, or review, including land alteration or land development for Single-Family Land Use Districts, is required by the Bellevue City Code or Land Use Code. Existing single-family front yard setbacks legally established on a site prior to January 1, 2008, which do not meet the minimum greenscape requirements set forth in Chart 20.20.010 shall not be considered nonconforming. The City shall not, however, approve proposals to decrease the greenscape percentage set forth in Chart 20.20.010 where a site already falls below the minimum greenscape requirements. Where an existing site falls below the minimum requirements set forth in Chart 20.20.010, the removal of greenscape shall not be approved unless an equal amount of existing impervious surface, pervious surface, or hardscape is removed, such that the net amount of greenscape is unchanged. The Director may modify the requirements of Chart 20.20.010 for nonconforming lots, corner lots, or lots with unique sizes and shapes. See LUC 20.50.022 for the definition of greenscape.

(41) See LUC 20.20.900.F for significant tree retention requirements relating to Single-Family Districts excluding, however, the R-1 Land Use District in the Bridle Trails Subarea which is otherwise governed by LUC 20.20.900.E.

(42) Dimensional requirements for the Bel-Red Land Use Districts are found at LUC 20.25D.080.

(43) Floor Area Ratio (FAR) Threshold: Development which exceeds a gross Floor Area Ratio (FAR) threshold of 0.5 shall comply with the following requirements:

(a) Applicability: FAR threshold requirements are applicable to new single-family homes and additions to existing homes that result in a 20 percent or greater increase in gross square feet.

(b) Maintain a minimum structure setback of 7.5 feet for each side yard; and, incorporate either daylight plane standards or a second story stepback of not less than 5 feet on each side of the building facing a side yard property line.

(c) Exemptions: New single-family homes constructed as part of a subdivision pursuant to Part 20.45A LUC or planned unit development pursuant to Part 20.30D LUC are not subject to FAR threshold requirements. The Director may modify the FAR threshold requirements on either side of the structure where it can be demonstrated that the adjacent structure has been constructed at an FAR that exceeds the 0.5 threshold and the adjacent structure is built to dimensions which exceed the requirements noted in subsection (b) of this note.

(44) Maximum building height for single-family uses in single-family residential land use districts is 30 feet measured from the average elevation of the existing grade around the building to the highest point of a flat roof, or 35 feet to the ridge of a pitched roof. Refer to LUC 20.50.012 for definition of Building Height – Single-Family Uses in Single-Family Land Use Districts.

(45) For new single-family residential homes and additions in single-family land use districts, the maximum height of any individual building facade is 40 feet measured from the existing grade at the building wall to the ridge of a pitched roof or top of a flat roof. New single-family homes constructed as part of a subdivision pursuant to Part 20.45A LUC or planned unit development pursuant to Part 20.30D LUC are exempt from this requirement.


(46) Maximum building height in CB districts of the Wilburton Subarea that are located between 116th Ave NE and the BNSF Corridor is 75 feet.

(47) Up to 1 FAR of floor area dedicated to on-site affordable housing shall not be counted for the purposes of calculating the FAR of a project, provided that:

(a) The bedroom mix and exterior finishes shall be comparable to the market rate units, but interior design, unit size, amenities and interior finishes may vary;


(b) An agreement in a form approved by the City will be executed by the applicant and recorded with the King County Record's Office, or its successor organization, requiring the affordable housing to remain for the life of the project. This agreement shall be a covenant running with the land, binding on assigns, heirs, and successors of the applicant.

(48) Office-designated properties in the Eastgate Subarea annexed into the City with the Eastgate annexation (May 2012) shall not be considered nonconforming with respect to FAR if the development thereon was legally established prior to the date of annexation.



# Proposed Rezones - Eastgate Changes

February 2016



The information on this map is a geographic representation derived from the City of Bellevue Geographic Information System. The City of Bellevue does not guarantee that the information on this map is accurate or complete. This map is provided on an "as is" basis and disclaims all warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and non-infringement. Any commercial use or sale of this map or portions thereof is prohibited without express written authorization by the City of Bellevue. The City of Bellevue is not responsible for any damages arising from the use of information on this map. Users of this map is at user's risk. Users should verify the information before making project commitments.

# Proposed Eastgate Zoning

## February 2016



**Legend**

- Eastgate Study Area
- Proposed Zoning Changes
- School Property
- City Parks

Scale: 0 425 850 1,700 2,550 Feet

Scale: 0 0.15 0.3 0.45 0.6 Miles

Sources: City of Bellevue





DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT  
 ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATOR  
 450 110<sup>th</sup> Ave NE  
 BELLEVUE, WA 98009-9012

**DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE**

**PROPONENT:** City of Bellevue, Development Services Department

**LOCATION OF PROPOSAL:** Eastgate Subarea

**DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL:** Land Use Code Amendment (LUCA) proposes to create three new land use districts called Eastgate Transit Oriented Development (EG-TOD), Office Limited Business 2 (OLB-2) and Neighborhood Mixed Use (NMU). The LUCA proposes to include use tables, dimensional standards, development standards, and design guidelines for each new zone. The LUCA proposes to rezone other parcels to preexisting districts. The LUCA proposes to amend the Transition Area Design District to include the OLB 2 and NMU Districts. This will serve to reduce development impacts to adjacent residential districts. The LUCA will include conformance amendments to ensure consistency with the rest of the Land Use Code.

**FILE NUMBERS:** 12-132861-AD      **PLANNER:** Matthews Jackson

The Environmental Coordinator of the City of Bellevue has determined that this proposal does not have a probable significant adverse impact upon the environment. An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(C). This decision was made after the Bellevue Environmental Coordinator reviewed the completed environmental checklist and information filed with the Land Use Division of the Development Services Department. This information is available to the public on request.

- There is no comment period for this DNS. There is a 14-day appeal period. Only persons who submitted written comments before the DNS was issued may appeal the decision. A written appeal must be filed in the City Clerk's office by 5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_.
- This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC 197-11-355. There is no further comment period on the DNS. Only persons who submitted written comments before the DNS was issued may appeal the decision. This DNS is only appealable as part of the City's action on the amendment to the Land Use Code. In order to comply with requirements of SEPA and the State of Washington Growth Management Act for coordination of hearings, any appeal of the SEPA threshold determination herein will be considered by the Growth Management Hearings Board along with an appeal of the City Council's action. For information on how to appeal a proposal, visit the Permit Center at City Hall or call (425) 452-4188.
- This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2) and is subject to a 14-day comment period from the date below. Comments must be submitted by 5 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_. This DNS is also subject to appeal. A written appeal must be filed in the City Clerk's Office by 5:00 p.m. on \_\_\_\_\_.

This DNS may be withdrawn at any time if the proposal is modified so as to have significant adverse environmental impacts; if there is significant new information indicating a proposals probable significant adverse environmental impacts (unless a non-exempt license has been issued if the proposal is a private project); or if the DNS was procured by misrepresentation or lack of material disclosure.

*Carl Heller*  
 Environmental Coordinator

6/9/2016  
 Date

**OTHERS TO RECEIVE THIS DOCUMENT:**

- State Department of Fish and Wildlife / [Stewart.Reinbold@dfw.gov](mailto:Stewart.Reinbold@dfw.gov); [Christa.Heller@dfw.wa.gov](mailto:Christa.Heller@dfw.wa.gov);
- State Department of Ecology, Shoreline Planner N.W. Region / [Jobu461@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Jobu461@ecy.wa.gov); [sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov)
- Army Corps of Engineers [Susan.M.Powell@nws02.usace.army.mil](mailto:Susan.M.Powell@nws02.usace.army.mil)
- Attorney General [ecyolvef@atg.wa.gov](mailto:ecyolvef@atg.wa.gov)
- Muckleshoot Indian Tribe [Karen.Walter@muckleshoot.nsn.us](mailto:Karen.Walter@muckleshoot.nsn.us); [Fisheries.fileroom@muckleshoot.nsn.us](mailto:Fisheries.fileroom@muckleshoot.nsn.us)

## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

### ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

#### A. BACKGROUND

**1. Name of the proposed project:**

Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project

**2. Name of Applicant:**

City of Bellevue

**3. Address and telephone number of applicant and contact person:**

Terry Cullen  
Planning & Community Development  
City of Bellevue  
P.O. Box 90012  
Bellevue, WA 98009-9012  
(425) 452-4070

**4. Date of Review:**

Original Date of Review: December 2012

Modified Date of Review: May 2016

**5. Agency requesting checklist:**

City of Bellevue

**6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):**

The Eastgate/I-90 Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) submitted its Final Report and recommended Preferred Alternative to the Bellevue City Council in April of 2012. Council accepted the report and recommendation on April 23, 2012 and voted to initiate the Comprehensive Plan Amendment (CPA) process for plan implementation. Full implementation will occur through amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Zoning Map, Transportation Facilities Plan, and other regulatory and policy documents. Those amendments were anticipated to begin late 2012 with completion in 2014. The project implementation was delayed. During the time period when the original checklist was completed in 2012 and the present (2016), amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan and Transportation Facilities Plan were completed. Amendments to the City's Land Use Code and Zoning Map are in process now and are expected to be adopted by year end 2016. The planning Horizon for the plan is Year 2030.

**7. Plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal:**

Following amendments to City policy and regulatory documents, future development in the I-90 corridor would occur in a manner consistent with those amendments.

**8. Environmental information that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this project:**

Preceding preparation of this checklist, the City conducted an initial environmental review of four proposed Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project alternatives. That Environmental Review Report (ERR) was part of the *Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report (August, 2011)*, which considered multiple aspects of the alternatives. The ERR drew in part from other environmental documents prepared for this project, including the following: *Eastgate Preliminary Screening Analysis (Perteet, December 2009)*; *Existing Conditions Inventory (City of Bellevue, Summer 2010)*; and the Technical Memos appended to the *Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report (City of Bellevue, September 2011)*.

In developing the Preferred Alternative, two additional reports were prepared: 1) the *Draft Eastgate / I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project: Land Use Characteristics by District Report (Makers, 2011)* and 2) *The Eastgate / I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project: Transportation Strategies Report (Bellevue, 2012)*.

**9. Applications that are pending for governmental approvals or other proposals directly affecting the property covered by the proposal:**

The City of Bellevue is drafting land use code amendments to create 3 new zoning districts – Neighborhood Mixed Use, Office Limited Business-2 and Eastgate TOD and conducting an areawide rezoning to put these new districts into place. A map is attached showing the proposed location of each area being considered for rezoning.

A privately initiated comprehensive plan amendment has been submitted for the 2016 cycle. This privately-initiated application would amend the map designation on this 14-acre site from Office (O) to Office Limited Business (OLB). This site is currently developed with 280,000 square feet of office in four buildings with surface parking and is located in the general vicinity of SE 30<sup>th</sup> Place. A location map is attached.

The City of Bellevue is in the process of amending its development codes and standards to implement Low Intensity Development principles. This citywide code amendment will directly affect property in the Eastgate study area.

The City of Bellevue is updating the background information for its Critical Areas Ordinance. This may or may not result in amendments to the City codes. Any potential code amendments will be citywide and may directly affect property in the Eastgate study area.

**10. List of governmental approvals or permits that will be needed for the proposal:**

Approvals or permits that were needed for this proposal and have been completed in the time period between the original checklist (2012) and the modified, updated checklist (2016):

## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

- Amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan;
- Amendments to the Transportation Facilities Plan

Approvals or permits that are pending for this proposal and have yet to be completed:

- Amendments to the City's Land Use Code including the text and city zoning map. (Expected completion is 2016.)

**11. Brief, complete description of the proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site:**

### **Project Overview**

The purpose of the City of Bellevue's Land Use & Transportation Project is to develop a long-range (to Year 2030) plan for the evolution of the Eastgate/I-90 corridor. From November, 2010 through April, 2012 the project team worked with a Council-appointed Citizen Advisory Committee to develop and evaluate several alternative growth scenarios for the Eastgate/I-90 corridor to help ensure that the area continues to attract and retain employers, provides a mix of services to surrounding neighborhoods, and serves as a vibrant and significant contributor to Bellevue's economic health in the coming decades.

Based on the studies supporting the Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report (Bellevue, 2011), a preferred alternative, encompassing elements of the studied alternatives, was developed for recommendation to the Bellevue City Council. Following Council acceptance on April 23, 2012, revisions to the Bellevue Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Zoning Map, Transportation Facilities Plan, and other policy or regulatory documents are required to implement the plan. Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and Transportation Facilities Plan have been completed. Amendments to the Land Use and Zoning Map are currently in process. Those amendments will be reviewed through the City's Commission processes.

The primary geographic focus of this project is the commercial area fronting the north and south sides of I-90, one of the city's major employment centers. The study area contains 633 acres, and supports approximately 24,300 jobs, or 17% of the city's total employment (March 2009).

### **SEPA/GMA Integration**

For the purpose of compliance with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), this project is utilizing the "Integrated SEPA/GMA" process authorized by WAC 197-11-210. This integrated process ensures early consideration of environmental issues, helping inform the development of alternative courses of action and crafting a final preferred plan or alternative. It also includes early and expanded "scoping" of environmental concerns to identify environmental issues that might influence decisions on future plans or courses of action. Since the project's inception, public input has been sought on environmental issues, through such measures as public open houses, online questionnaires, stakeholder interviews, presentations to interest groups, and public

comment opportunities at CAC meetings. Environmental considerations have informed the understanding of the study area and the development of alternatives including the Preferred Alternative.

Because this type of environmental review occurs at the “programmatic” or “non-project” level, it is by definition less specific or quantifiable than what would occur at a “project” level. More in-depth environmental review will be required at future stages. These stages include reviewing any proposal to construct a project in accordance with the amended policy or regulatory documents.

For the purpose of the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project, an assessment of potential environmental consequences arising from a no action alternative and three action alternatives was undertaken. That assessment was one of several inputs used by the CAC and the project team in developing the Preferred Alternative. This current checklist builds on the information contained in the prior assessment, and addresses potential environmental impacts of the Preferred Alternative.

#### **Developing the Preferred Alternative**

As part of the project, the City of Bellevue developed one “no action” and three draft “action” land use and transportation alternatives. The draft alternatives were informed by the known environmental characteristics and the public’s stated environmental concerns regarding the study area and surrounding neighborhoods. The draft alternatives reflect anticipated outcomes of three scenarios with varying emphases: Alternative 1 reflected a “Jobs/Housing Mix” theme, Alternative 2 a Regional Employment Center theme, and Alternative 3 a Functional Improvements theme. The no action alternative projected the growth that could be expected to occur absent any changes to the Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Transportation Facilities Code, or other policy and regulatory documents.

Based on the analysis of the alternatives in the Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report (Bellevue, 2011), a preferred alternative, representing a “hybrid” of the three action alternatives, was developed. It incorporates elements of the three action alternatives. The characteristics of the Preferred Alternative (land use pattern; housing units, office, retail, industrial and institutional space; transportation improvements and open spaces) fall within the range of parameters analyzed in the Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report.

In general office, retail, hotel, and institutional development proposed under the Preferred Alternative are in the range of draft alternatives 1 and 2. The amount of housing is similar to, but higher than, draft alternative 3 (800 vs. 400 units). No new industrial development is proposed or expected. The table below compares the types and amounts of new development that would be expected within the study area under the No Action and three draft Action alternatives, and the Preferred Alternative.

## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

### Projected Growth by Land Use Type (Year 2030)

Land Use Type:	No Action	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Preferred Alternative
Office (Sq Ft)	200,000	1,000,000	2,000,000	500,000	1,800,000
Retail (Sq Ft)	0	100,000	50,000	200,000	100,000
Industrial (Sq Ft)	86,000	-167,000	0	0	0
Institutional (Sq Ft)	280,000	350,000	420,000	280,000	350,000
Residential (Housing Units)	0	2,000	0	400	800
Hotel (Rooms)	0	200	300	100	300

### The Preferred Alternative

The preferred alternative can be organized into nine districts, each with a unique character and distinguishing features. The entire Eastgate area would be anchored by a transit-oriented core featuring a mix of transit, commercial, and residential uses located north of I-90, between the 142<sup>nd</sup> PI Bridge and 148<sup>th</sup> interchange. For each district, key development assumptions are presented in Table 2 and brief summaries of the proposed changes in land use, transportation and character are provided.

### Key Features of the Eastgate Districts

	Proposed Uses	FAR*	Height*
Transit-oriented Development Center	Office, commercial, and residential w/ ground floor retail	Rezone to the proposed Eastgate TOD zoning district. 2.0 FAR with requirements for design standards.	Up to 160 feet
Richard's Valley	Light-industrial and flex-tech	No change	No change
King County Site and vicinity	Medium density office	Rezone to proposed Office Limited Business-2 zoning district. 1.0 FAR with requirements for design standards.	Up to 75 feet

**EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT**

Sunset Village	Short term: Support existing auto dealerships. Encourage existing retail to remain.	No change	No change
I-90 Office Park and vicinity	Infilling parking lots with additional office space and ancillary retail	North part remains 0.5 FAR – no change.  South part rezone to proposed Office Limited Business-2 zoning district. 1.0 FAR with design guidelines.	Up to 75 feet
Eastgate Plaza and vicinity	Long term uses: office, retail, restaurants, lodging and multi-family residential; community-serving uses	Rezone to the proposed Neighborhood Mixed Use zoning district. 1.0 FAR with design guidelines.	Mixed use residential/retail up to 75 feet height and requirements to protect neighboring SFR from impacts.
North Factoria and Vicinity	West and south of Newport Corporate Center: A range of office and residential uses with pedestrian oriented uses on ground floor.  Newport Corporate Center and eastward: Office uses allowing other commercial uses and residential.	Newport Corporate Center and westward and southward: maintain existing FAR.  East of Newport Corporate Center: rezone to the proposed Office Limited Business-2 zoning district 1.0 FAR with design guidelines.	Newport Corporate Center and westward-consistent with existing.  East of Newport Corporate Center – 75 feet.
Interchange Gateway 1: Eastgate Interchange	Road and tree canopy improvements are proposed		
Interchange Gateway 2: Lakemont Interchange			

*\* FAR and height are approximate and will be more fully refined as part of plan implementation/code amendment phase.*

## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

### Transit-oriented Development Center

The district would encompass all properties fronting the Park-and-Ride/Transit Center, and those extending to the northern edge of the Bellevue College campus and eastward to the lot on the west side of 146<sup>th</sup> Place SE. It would be Eastgate's focal point with the most intense and greatest diversity of uses, best multi-modal access, and highest visibility. The area would have the highest and most visible concentration of buildings and structures framed by the wooded slopes and landscaped SE Eastgate Way. Key attributes of the district plan include:

- New zoning and land use provisions for increased densities, reductions in parking requirements, and incentives for intense mixed-use development.
- Improvements to 142<sup>nd</sup> Place SE to create a "transit emphasis corridor" including a widened covered walkway on the bridge structure and improvements to Snoqualmie River Road to enhance bus service.
- Formalizing an east-west main street for multi-modal users between 142<sup>nd</sup> and 148<sup>th</sup>, connecting the Lincoln Executive Center with the Park-and-Ride.
- Enhancing access and connectivity along SE Eastgate Way with the addition of bicycle lanes.

### Richards Valley

Richards Valley currently contains a light industrial area with several other uses including a King County transfer station and electrical substation. The Plan would maintain the light-industrial uses while encouraging higher density flex-tech development and stream and vegetation corridor enhancements. Key attributes of the district plan include:

- Improving the function of riparian corridors, floodplains, and wetlands with redevelopment.
- Reducing impervious surfaces and encouraging additional planting with redevelopment.
- Daylighting streams with redevelopment, where possible.
- Improving street frontages and landscaping along Richard Road.

### King County Site and Vicinity

This site is located north side of I-90 midway between Richards Road and the Park-and-Ride/Transit Center. The site includes a large vacant parcel owned by King County and adjacent properties to the west fronting Eastgate Way. The site is planned for new office development with greater heights and FAR allowances. The preferred alternative would also include substantial street landscaping and on-site open space to compensate for the additional allowed development capacity.

### Sunset Village



This area directly north of the Eastgate interchange and directly east of 148<sup>th</sup> Ave SE is currently occupied by auto dealerships. It is unlikely that these uses will change in the short term. There are also small service retail businesses, which would be encouraged to remain. The long-term plan under the preferred alternative is for a greater mix of office and retail uses. Redevelopment will be accompanied by improved pedestrian/bike access and landscaping improvements. Key proposed transportation improvements include:

- Improvement of 148<sup>th</sup>/150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE intersection with a third continuous southbound through lane from SE Eastgate Way to SE 38<sup>th</sup> Street.
- Improvement of intersections at SE Eastgate Way/150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE and 156<sup>th</sup> Ave SE/SE Eastgate Way with either a) widening and channelization improvements or b) multi-lane roundabout.
- Addition of bike lanes along SE Eastgate Way.
- Improved on-site vehicle and pedestrian circulation.

#### I-90 Office Park and Vicinity

The area includes the cluster of office use in the Eastgate Office Park along 158<sup>th</sup> and 160<sup>th</sup> Ave SE. The buildings in the area are built to current development regulations and are relatively new. Little new development or redevelopment is expected in the near to mid-term. Over the long term, increased development capacity would encourage more intense office development. The preferred alternative also includes pedestrian connections through parking lots and pedestrian-oriented outdoor spaces. Redevelopment in the area would also improve stormwater management because existing stormwater management systems would be replaced with systems that comply with current regulations, which are more protective of water quality.

#### Eastgate Plaza and Vicinity

The area includes an 8-acre shopping center immediately south of I-90 and east of 150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE. It also includes several other nearby uses. Current uses are viable and unlikely to change in the short term. In the long term, the plan includes a variety of commercial and residential uses with community oriented retail and/or other community-serving uses in its western portion. In the eastern portion, office and hotel use would be encouraged. The preferred alternative also includes several transportation improvements including:

- Improvement of the intersections at 150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE/I-90 eastbound off-ramp and SE 37<sup>th</sup> St with either widening or a round-about.
- Improvement 148<sup>th</sup> Ave SE/150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE between SE 28<sup>th</sup> St and SE 38<sup>th</sup> St to be an attractive and important north-south connector in south central Bellevue.
- Widen 150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE/SE 37<sup>th</sup> St to allow for a by-pass lane on the right side of SE 37<sup>th</sup> St.

#### North Factoria and Vicinity

## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

The area includes 66 acres of the Factoria Subarea centered along Factoria Boulevard SE and the commercially zoned lands stretching to the east along the south side of I-90. Most of the properties already feature substantial development and stable businesses; there may be some redevelopment opportunities in the mid to long term, especially on the sub-district's eastern and western perimeters.

In 2005, the Factoria Subarea Plan was updated with the Factoria Area Transportation Study (FATS) which recommended transportation, urban design and site planning strategies to create a pedestrian and transit-oriented neighborhood. This plan supports the FATS work by encouraging site development consistent with the earlier study.

### Interchange Gateways 1 and 2

I-90 is the defining feature of the Eastgate area. The interchanges function as gateways to the study area and the city. The preferred alternative proposes increasing tree canopy to improve corridor aesthetics. It also proposes including encouraging WSDOT to move forward with planned projects that would decrease congestion at these areas. Other than roadway improvements, no other new development is proposed for these areas.

### **Scoping Process**

Initial scoping for the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project began in December 2009 when the City of Bellevue conducted a preliminary screening analysis of traffic operations through the study area in order to evaluate the viability of two preliminary growth scenarios. The results of this analysis provided a good understanding of existing transportation conditions, identified existing points of congestion, and provided a solid foundation on which to base future development and land use alternatives. The Bellevue City Council approved the project scope and principles in early February 2010, including the concept of using the Integrated SEPA/GMA process.

The project scope, in part, was designed to address environmental considerations. In addition to being cognizant of natural environmental constraints, such as steep slopes, streams, and wetlands within and neighboring the study area, the project scope and principles were concerned with ensuring adequate utility, transportation, and social (parks, fire, police, etc.) infrastructure to support any future land use and transportation scenario that might emerge from the planning process. Key among these considerations is the development of alternatives for transportation infrastructure based on an analysis that focused on modeling and evaluating preferred land use alternatives including providing regional access and promoting adequate circulation within the study area, while mitigating impacts to the surrounding landscape.

Additionally, considerations for greenhouse gas emissions were studied for the alternatives in accordance with Washington State greenhouse gas reduction goals by exploring means to reduce GHG emissions within the project area. The selection of a preferred alternative was based on, in part, an understanding of the GHG emission

consequences of each draft alternative, and of the necessary transportation improvements needed to accommodate the project vision and providing strategies to minimize impacts to the surrounding area.

The project principles were developed with the intention of enhancing the economic viability of the Eastgate corridor while not degrading the mobility of other parts of the city, and to ensure that it continues to contribute to the diversity of the City's economic mix. In achieving this goal, planning would require the consideration of integrated land uses and transportation across Eastgate, with the consideration of transit-oriented developments in portions of the area. Changes in land use should be informed by transportation opportunities and impacts, such that facilities may create opportunities for a well integrated district promoting land use and transportation performance. The project principles are based on a model of environmental sustainability so that future plans for the area produce measurable environmental benefits.

### **Public Outreach**

Public involvement was integral to the environmental scoping and planning process and played a significant role in identifying and directing goals for the development of the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project. Beginning in spring 2010 the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project, the City of Bellevue worked with the public to identify issues and concerns through a series of dialogues, including open houses, online surveys, stakeholder interviews, community association dialogues, and other interest group interactions.

The first set of open houses was held in March 2010 and addressed the project objectives in addition to the SEPA and GMA methodology to be used in the planning process. The public was encouraged to identify environmental issues to help in the SEPA determination. To assist in project development the City prepared the *Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory* in summer 2010. This inventory documents several environmental features including existing land uses, elements of the physical environment (i.e. streams, wetlands, topography/steep slopes), parks and recreation, utility infrastructure, transportation infrastructure, and transit services.

A Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) was appointed by the City Council in October 2010 and quickly became familiar with the preliminary screening analysis, the project scope and principles, the existing conditions inventory, and public input. From this information the CAC drafted the alternatives that are currently under consideration. The draft alternatives were introduced for public feedback through two open houses held in June 2011. In addition to open house forums, SEPA-related comments were collected through CAC meetings, online questionnaires, an online visual preference survey, stakeholder interviews, neighborhood association presentations, interest group/professional association presentations, and economic development forums. A final open house was held in October 2011 to seek input on the emerging Preferred Alternative (at the time, called a Preliminary Preferred Alternative).

## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

Through the planning process and public involvement several environmentally-related topics were identified as important. Traffic and transportation infrastructure was the most prevalent concern identified through public feedback. Notable problems with transportation infrastructure include congestion, poor circulation, poor freeway access, and poor signal timing.

A concern identified by some residents living around the nearby Phantom Lake was the effect that further development in the northeast part of the study area might have on water quantity and quality in Phantom Lake, particularly if impervious surface area is increased as a result of any action alternative. These residents expressed concerns that further development in the Phantom Lake drainage basin (a portion of which lies within the study area) may exacerbate existing or perceived water quality issues in Phantom Lake. In addition, several environmental concerns were identified by the public including, but not limited to, stream, wetlands, and steep slopes, particularly in the Richards Valley industrial area. People questioned how these natural features might be impacted or might influence future development. Other concerns expressed by the public included existing freeway noise, light and glare impacts from auto dealers on the north side of I-90, air quality, and aesthetics related to lack of freeway landscaping and the general appearance of the corridor.

A number of valuable environmental interests were identified through the planning process in conjunction with the CAC and through public involvement. Among the environmental interests expressed, those that were identified as having a high level of interest include:

- *promoting environmentally sustainable development;*
- *providing a variety of transportation choices, mixing land uses to provide services and amenities within walking distance of office buildings;*
- *exploring opportunities for improving environmental conditions in Richards Valley; and*
- *landscaping of the freeway corridor, and using the Mountains-to-Sound Greenway (MTSG) Trail as a unifying visual element/basis for a "green theme".*

The Preferred Alternative was developed with these and other environmental considerations in mind. Completion of the "missing link" of the MTSG Trail through the study area, as envisioned by the Preferred Alternative, will not only provide the public with recreation opportunities and greater transportation mode choice, but will serve as a key component of a broader non-motorized network in the study area. Opportunities will be sought to leverage and reflect the MTS Greenway theme in the built environment, in ways that promote environmental sustainability. Land use regulations will be made more flexible to allow and promote retail and service uses that support the study area's employment base, as well as surrounding neighborhoods. By making such uses more available throughout the study area and within walking distance to offices, there will be less reliance upon the automobile for routine daytime errands.

~~--- The Richards Valley industrial area is characterized by steep topography, streams, and wetlands. Some of the streams have been re-routed and/or culverted over the years to accommodate development. The Preferred Alternative envisions enhancement of these wetlands and streams as properties redevelop, but also recognizes that the number and locations of these features will make redevelopment challenging.~~

The Preferred Alternative also recognizes the concerns of Phantom Lake residents regarding possible impacts on lake water quality and quantity resulting from increased development in the northeast portion of the study area. Any new development (or redevelopment) would be required to satisfy stricter storm water standards than those that applied to prior (existing) development, and therefore would maintain and could improve water quality. These stormwater standards would address the Phantom Lake water quality/quantity concerns.

**12. Location of the proposal, including street address, if any, and section, township, and range; legal description; site plan; vicinity map; and topographical map, if reasonably available:**

The Eastgate/I-90 study area is located in the south central part of Bellevue. It contains the Eastgate/I-90 employment corridor, one of the city's five major employment centers. The study area extends east from I-405 a distance of roughly 2-1/4 miles to approximately 161<sup>st</sup> Avenue SE, plus an extension encompassing I-90 right-of-way out to and including the Lakemont Interchange. In a north-south direction, the study area generally extends from SE 26<sup>th</sup> Street on the north to SE 38<sup>th</sup> Street on the south. The study area contains 633 acres, 504 acres north of I-90 and 129 acres south of I-90. The study area includes portions of several planning subareas, including Eastgate, Richards Valley, and Factoria. Appendix A includes a map showing the study area boundaries.

**EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT**

**B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS**

*(This part not required for non-project actions)*

**C. SIGNATURE**

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:

*Kerry Cullen*

Date Submitted:

*May 19, 2016*

**D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS****1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?**Discharge to Surface and Ground Water

No direct discharge of waste materials to surface or ground waters is anticipated from land uses included in the Preferred Alternative. There would be no septic systems or livestock in the study area. Considerations for waste material discharge during construction would be identified and evaluated on a case-by-case basis for proposed developments within the study area. Waste material containment, storage, and disposal would be considered for projects with the potential to contaminate surface water bodies or ground water.

The Eastgate/I-90 study area contains approximately 59% impervious area. Total impervious area is not likely to decrease and may increase slightly. However, because increases in impervious surface area would likely be part of redevelopment or road expansion projects, they would be subject to stormwater management regulations requiring maintenance or improvement in stormwater hydrology and water quality.

There are no provisions in the Preferred Alternative for the operational production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances. Considerations would be required on a case-by-case basis to ensure that individual construction sites take measures to properly store hazardous, toxic, or otherwise dangerous materials appropriately to prevent potential impacts.

Emissions to Air

Development under the Preferred Alternative may result in air quality impacts during construction activities including fugitive dust, odors, and emissions from heavy machinery, trucks, and other vehicles traveling to and operating on construction sites. Increased traffic congestion and delays due to construction would have the potential to increase localized emissions by slowing or stopping traffic.

Increased development density, particularly office and institutional, would likely result in an increase in the number of auto trips (see traffic analysis) and associated emissions. As part of the *Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report*, a greenhouse gas emissions analysis was conducted (Fehr and Peers, 2011). The results of the analysis showed that because of the inclusion of residential development and multimodal transportation options, Alternative 1 was the only alternative that achieved lower per capita CO<sub>2</sub> emissions than the No Action Alternative. Alternatives 2 and 3 generated slightly higher levels of CO<sub>2</sub> per capita.

The greenhouse gas analysis was not extended to the Preferred Alternative, but it is assumed that the results would fall within the range found for the draft alternatives. The Preferred Alternative includes similar transportation improvements, but not as many projected housing units as Alternative 1. Therefore, greenhouse gas emissions would likely be lower than Alternatives 2 and 3, but may be higher than the no action scenario.

### Noise

Under the Preferred Alternative, short-term noise impacts could result from construction activities including vehicles and equipment. Construction noise is exempt from the City's noise control ordinance (BCC 9.18) from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. on weekdays and 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. on (BCC 9.18.020.C). Sound generating development activities occurring outside of these times, on Sundays or on holidays, would require permission from the Director of the Development Services Department and only in cases where activity would not interfere with residential use permitted in the zone. There are no new noise-producing land uses proposed for the area. Long-term impacts could result from increased traffic in the Eastgate/I-90 study area. However, the incremental increase in auto noise would be unlikely to significantly raise the overall noise level.

**Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:**

### Surface and Ground Water

Considerations would be required on a case-by-case basis to ensure that individual construction activities and development sites take measures to abate and capture storm and waste water runoff, and properly store hazardous, toxic, or otherwise dangerous materials in a way to prevent potential impacts to ground water resources. If construction activities comply with the City's storm and wastewater regulations, clearing and grading standards, and all other building and development codes significant impacts to groundwater are unlikely.

### Air

Mitigation measures to control air quality impacts would be considered and developed on a project-by-project basis, and could include transportation demand management strategies such as transit and carpooling incentives, bike facilities, and other means of encouraging alternatives to SOV travel.

### Noise

The City's code (BCC 9.18.025 – 9.18.030) regulates noise levels through classes of environmental designations for noise abatement (EDNA). Residential land use districts are classified as EDNA A, commercial land use district are classified as EDNA B and industrial land use districts are classified as EDNA C. For each EDNA, maximum sound levels are established in BCC 9.18.030 based on the sound's source. Maximum permissible sound levels are lowest for EDNA A and highest for EDNA C. Specific zoning has not been established at this point in the planning process. Residential development may be located in either an EDNA A or B area and these designations would determine the allowable sound levels.

In addition, each development proposed under the Preferred Alternative will be required to comply with the development restrictions of BCC 9.18.045B for exterior and interior sound and noise attenuation measures. New development adjacent to I-90 is expected to buffer areas (particularly north of the Transit Oriented Center) from freeway noise. Residential



buildings could also be sited and designed to minimize noise impacts on residents. Assuming this was done; impacts from noise could be maintained below a significant level. Existing noise standards for construction and operation are considered sufficient to control potential noise impacts.

## **2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?**

The majority of the study area that would be impacted by new land uses has been largely cleared of vegetation through past development. The amount of vegetation that will be removed or altered as a result of new development will depend on specific development proposals. There are no known threatened, endangered, or critical vegetation species in the study area.

Animals in the study area include species typically found in urbanized areas of the Pacific Northwest. Terrestrial species likely include various species of hawk, bald eagles, various songbirds, and various small mammals. Aquatic species likely include trout and various amphibians.

Limited portions of study area streams are fish passable. According to WDFW Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) database (2011), Sunset Creek and Richards Creek are mapped as habitat for Coho salmon north of I-90. East Creek is listed as habitat for cutthroat trout. Richards Creek is mapped as habitat for Sockeye and Chinook salmon north of its confluence with Sunset and East Creek (WDFW, 2011). All development under the Preferred Alternative would have to comply with the City's critical areas regulations which would preclude impacts to streams, wetlands, buffers and protected species and habitats.

### **Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:**

Projects occurring in the study area under the Preferred Alternative would be subject to review on a case-by-case basis and impacts to vegetation would be mitigated consistent with the City's critical area buffer standards and tree retention regulations.

The study area is highly developed and has not been identified as habitat for threatened or endangered terrestrial species. Sunset and Richards Creeks are identified as salmon bearing. Individual project activities would be required to avoid or (in limited cases, where no feasible option exists for public projects) mitigate any impacts to these streams, as well as wetlands and buffers.

As part of project development, green features such as utilizing natural drainage patterns and restoring fragmented or altered habitat would be encouraged under the Preferred Alternative. Restoration plantings, landscaping, and the development of park infrastructure would occur under the Preferred Alternative as well.

Consistent with BCC 20.25H, development proposals under the Preferred Alternative would have to include a determination of whether the proposal would impact habitats associated with species of local importance (defined in BCC 20.25H.150). If so, the proposal would have to

implement wildlife management plans that have been developed by WDFW for such species (BCC 20.25H.160).

**3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?**

Energy and natural resource use in the study area would be typical of urbanized commercial, institutional and/or high density residential areas. Under the Preferred Alternative, new and existing development will require electrical power and natural gas. Construction would require gasoline and diesel as well as typical building materials.

The Preferred Alternative envisions a higher density urban area that would likely require more energy and natural resources than are used under current conditions. Infill and more intensive redevelopment of existing urbanized land is expected to consume fewer energy and natural resources than if the same amount of development were to occur in an area that had not previously been converted to urban uses. The expected new development is modest relative to the vast developed areas in the region and there are no proposed uses that would accelerate depletion of an energy source or supply or natural resource, as compared to the No Action Alternative.

**Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:**

Existing city and local utility infrastructure is adequate to serve the growth projected under the Preferred Alternative. Development and redevelopment in the study area would be consistent with all local utility standards. In addition, new development under the Preferred Alternative would be required to consider and incorporate green features and energy conservation into building design. Accordingly, no significant impacts to energy availability are anticipated.

**4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?**

The study area contains several environmentally sensitive areas and public parks. Wetlands are located around Richards Creek just south of Eastgate Way and around headwater segments of East Creek north of SE 30th Street. Other small wetland areas are on the Bellevue Airfield Park site. In addition to wetlands, several streams are located through the study area. Richards, Sunset, East, and Vasa Creeks run through the central portion of the study area, while four additional streams are located near the Lakemont extension. Richards, Sunset, and East creeks have been identified as salmon bearing.

Steep slopes have also been identified in the study area. Specific areas of steep slopes (>40% and  $\geq 1,000$  Sq Ft) are near Sunset ravine, along the southern edge of Bellevue College, south of I-90 along SE 36th Street, along the area just west of 150th Avenue, and along the northwest side of the landfill park site. A more detailed inventory of environmentally

-sensitive area can be found in the Eastgate-I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory (City of Bellevue, 2010).

There are several public areas and parks in the study area including Robinswood, Spiritridge and Sunset Parks. In addition, City staff is working on the master plan for a new park, on land collectively called 'The Eastgate Area Properties'; a 27.5 acre area, located near the Advanta office building, near the 'Lake to Lake Trail.'

According to the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Register, there are no listed places or objects on or adjacent to the Eastgate/I-90 study area.

The Preferred Alternative has been developed to protect sensitive and public lands and resources. One of the primary objectives of the Preferred Alternative is to improve the area's environmental quality by enhancing natural systems and stream corridors and improving public lands. Critical areas would be protected consistent with the city's critical areas regulations. All parks would be maintained and/or improved. The Mountains to Sound Greenway is proposed to be improved.

**Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:**

Impacts to environmentally sensitive areas and public lands are not anticipated. The study area is highly developed and has not been identified as habitat for threatened or endangered terrestrial species. Sunset and Richards Creeks are identified as salmon bearing. Individual project activities would be required to avoid or (in limited cases, where no feasible option exists for public projects) mitigate any impacts to these streams, as well as wetlands and buffers. The inventory of parks would be maintained or improved. All development would be required to comply with all state and federal law regulating inadvertent discovery of archeological, historic or cultural resources.

**5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?**

An examination of the Eastgate/I-90 corridor, resulting in the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project, was called for in the City of Bellevue's Comprehensive Plan. The objective of the development and implementation of a preferred alternative is pursuant of the City's Comprehensive Plan Policy ED-19 which recognizes the need to "maintain and update integrated land use and transportation plans to guide the future of the City's major commercial areas and help them respond to change" and to further establish as a goal "to maintain the quality of older commercial areas, promoting redevelopment and revitalization as needed to maintain their vitality".

The Preferred Alternative was developed to meet the policy goals of the City, improve environmental conditions and avoid impacts to surrounding areas. The Preferred Alternative, while consistent with the general policy direction in the Comprehensive Plan, would necessitate specific changes to the City's Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Zoning Map, and Transportation Facilities Plan, and would include design elements to avoid land use incompatibilities. There are no shorelines in the study area.

## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

### **Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:**

Development of the Preferred Alternative was consistent with the goals of the city and policies in the City's Comprehensive Plan. No shoreline or land use impacts are anticipated. Therefore, no additional measures are provided.

### **6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?**

#### Transportation

Improvements to the study area's roadway network, transit system, and pedestrian accessibility are a key element of the Preferred Alternative. A complete description of these proposed Improvements are included in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).

The City's Bellevue-Kirkland-Redmond (BKR) travel demand model (EMME version MP030r5.5) was used to evaluate how projected traffic under the Preferred Alternative would impact 2030 roadway facilities. The total number of estimated trips generated under three scenarios is as follows:

Development Scenario	PM Peak Trips
Existing (2009)	95,434
2030 with Improvements	120,374
2030 without improvements	120,878

According to the traffic analysis of the Preferred Alternative, the proposed capacity improvements at area intersections will accommodate future traffic growth and result in measurable improvements over existing conditions at these same locations. Furthermore, when considered from a corridor-wide perspective, the "2030 with improvements" scenario results in 41.7 seconds of delay per vehicle compared to 46.5 seconds of delay per vehicle in the "2030 without improvements" scenario, a 12 percent reduction in vehicle delay at corridor intersections. The complete and detailed analysis of traffic and transportation in the study area is included in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).

#### Public Services and Utilities

The Preferred Alternative includes increased office, institutional, and retail development, which would increase the demand for public services such as emergency services. The modest amount of residential growth would generate the need for additional emergency, school, library, and health care services.

In general, however, the existing utility infrastructure is adequate to serve the anticipated growth, and substantial upgrades are not expected to be needed. Therefore, significant impacts to public services are not anticipated.

**Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:**

**Transportation**

The Preferred Alternative includes transportation improvements that address congestion, transit, and pedestrian facilities. All of these improvements are being evaluated as measures to improve connectivity and access within the study area. Improvements will accommodate future traffic growth and result in overall improvements over existing conditions. Improvements are described in detail in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).


**Public Services and Utilities**

Existing public services and utilities are generally adequate to serve the anticipated growth under the Preferred Alternative, therefore no measures are proposed. Depending on the nature of residential development that is proposed, it may be necessary to evaluate whether expansion of services for new residents is necessary.

**7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.**

In general, the Preferred Alternative will rely on existing local, state and, to a lesser extent, federal regulations to protect existing environmental conditions. The Preferred Alternative will also include incentives for private developers to improve ecological conditions and open space. All development will be required to comply with the City's regulations for stormwater (including state NPDES requirements for construction), clearing and grading, critical areas protection and development regulations and standards. Projects would also have to comply with state and federal standards including protection of ESA listed species.







**Proposed Plan Amendment Site 1**



SEPA Environmental Checklist: Preferred Alternative

**CITY OF BELLEVUE  
EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND  
TRANSPORTATION PROJECT**

**Prepared for:  
City of Bellevue**

**April, 2012**



**EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT**

*(This page intentionally left blank)*

**ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

**A. BACKGROUND**

**1. Name of the proposed project:**

Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project

**2. Name of Applicant:**

City of Bellevue

**3. Address and telephone number of applicant and contact person:**

Mike Bergstrom  
Planning & Community Development  
City of Bellevue  
P.O. Box 90012  
Bellevue, WA 98009-9012  
(425) 452-6866

**4. Date of Review:**

April 2012

**5. Agency requesting checklist:**

City of Bellevue

**6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):**

The Eastgate/I-90 Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) is expected to submit its Final Report and recommended Preferred Alternative to the Bellevue City Council in the first quarter of 2012. Following Council acceptance, the plan would be implemented through amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Zoning Map, Transportation Facilities Plan, and other regulatory and policy documents. Those amendments are anticipated to begin in 2012. The planning Horizon for the plan is Year 2030.

**7. Plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal:**

Following Council's acceptance of the CAC's Final Report and recommendation, related amendments to City policy and regulatory documents will occur. Future development in the I-90 corridor would occur in a manner consistent with those amendments.

**8. Environmental information that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this project:**

Preceding preparation of this checklist, the City conducted an initial environmental review of four proposed Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project alternatives. That Environmental Review Report (ERR) was part of the *Evaluation of Draft Alternatives*

2011

*Report (August, 2011)*, which considered multiple aspects of the alternatives. The ERR drew in part from other environmental documents prepared for this project, including the following: *Eastgate Preliminary Screening Analysis (Perteet, December 2009)*; *Existing Conditions Inventory (City of Bellevue, Summer 2010)*; and the Technical Memos appended to the *Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report (City of Bellevue, September 2011)*.

In developing the Preferred Alternative, two additional reports were prepared: 1) the *Draft Eastgate / I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project: Land Use Characteristics by District Report (Makers, 2011)* and 2) *The Eastgate / I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project: Transportation Strategies Report (Bellevue, 2012)*.

**9. Applications that are pending for governmental approvals or other proposals directly affecting the property covered by the proposal:**

There are no pending applications directly affecting development and implementation of the preferred alternative for the Eastgate/I-90 corridor.

**10. List of governmental approvals or permits that will be needed for the proposal:**

Implementation of the preferred alternative will ultimately require changes to the City's Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Zoning Map, Transportation Facilities Plan, and other policy and regulatory documents. As the plan is implemented, individual projects will require project level review and approval.

**11. Brief, complete description of the proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site:**

**Project Overview**

The purpose of the City of Bellevue's Land Use & Transportation Project is to develop a long-range (to Year 2030) plan for the evolution of the Eastgate/I-90 corridor. Since November, 2010 the project team has worked with a Council-appointed Citizen Advisory Committee to develop and evaluate several alternative growth scenarios for the Eastgate/I-90 corridor to help ensure that the area continues to attract and retain employers, provides a mix of services to surrounding neighborhoods, and serves as a vibrant and significant contributor to Bellevue's economic health in the coming decades.

Based on the studies supporting the Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report (Bellevue, 2011), a preferred alternative, encompassing elements of the studied alternatives, was developed for recommendation to the Bellevue City Council. Following Council acceptance, revisions to the Bellevue Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Zoning Map, Transportation Facilities Plan, and other policy or regulatory documents would be required for implementation of the plan and would be reviewed through the City's Commission processes (primarily Planning Commission and Transportation Commission).

The primary geographic focus of this project is the commercial area fronting the north and south sides of I-90, one of the city's major employment centers. The study area contains 633 acres, and supports approximately 24,300 jobs, or 17% of the city's total employment (March 2009).

**SEPA/GMA Integration**

For the purpose of compliance with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), this project is utilizing the “Integrated SEPA/GMA” process authorized by WAC 197-11-210. This integrated process ensures early consideration of environmental issues, helping inform the development of alternative courses of action and crafting a final preferred plan or alternative. It also includes early and expanded “scoping” of environmental concerns to identify environmental issues that might influence decisions on future plans or courses of action. Since the project’s inception, public input has been sought on environmental issues, through such measures as public open houses, online questionnaires, stakeholder interviews, presentations to interest groups, and public comment opportunities at CAC meetings. Environmental considerations have informed the understanding of the study area and the development of alternatives including the Preferred Alternative.

Because this type of environmental review occurs at the “programmatic” or “nonproject” level, it is by definition less specific or quantifiable than what would occur at a “project” level. More in-depth environmental review will be required at future stages. These stages include reviewing any proposal to construct a project in accordance with the amended policy or regulatory documents.

For the purpose of the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project, an assessment of potential environmental consequences arising from a no action alternative and three action alternatives was undertaken. That assessment was one of several inputs used by the CAC and the project team in developing the Preferred Alternative. This current checklist builds on the information contained in the prior assessment, and addresses potential environmental impacts of the Preferred Alternative.

### **Developing the Preferred Alternative**

As part of the project, the City of Bellevue developed one “no action” and three draft “action” land use and transportation alternatives. The draft alternatives were informed by the known environmental characteristics and the public’s stated environmental concerns regarding the study area and surrounding neighborhoods. The draft alternatives reflect anticipated outcomes of three scenarios with varying emphases: Alternative 1 reflected a “Jobs/Housing Mix” theme, Alternative 2 a Regional Employment Center theme, and Alternative 3 a Functional Improvements theme. The no action alternative projected the growth that could be expected to occur absent any changes to the Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Transportation Facilities Code, or other policy and regulatory documents.

Based on the analysis of the alternatives in the Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report (Bellevue, 2011), a preferred alternative, representing a “hybrid” of the three action alternatives, was developed. It incorporates elements of the three action alternatives.

The characteristics of the Preferred Alternative (land use pattern; housing units, office, retail, industrial and institutional space; transportation improvements and open spaces) fall within the range of parameters analyzed in the Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report.

In general office, retail, hotel, and institutional development proposed under the Preferred Alternative are in the range of draft alternatives 1 and 2. The amount of housing is similar to, but higher than, draft alternative 3 (800 vs. 400 units). No new industrial development is proposed or expected. The table below compares the types and amounts of new development that would be expected within the study area under the No Action and three draft Action alternatives, and the Preferred Alternative.

**Projected Growth by Land Use Type (Year 2030)**

Land Use Type:	No Action	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Preferred Alternative
Office (Sq Ft)	200,000	1,000,000	2,000,000	500,000	1,800,000
Retail (Sq Ft)	0	100,000	50,000	200,000	100,000
Industrial (Sq Ft)	86,000	-167,000	0	0	0
Institutional (Sq Ft)	280,000	350,000	420,000	280,000	350,000
Residential (Housing Units)	0	2,000	0	400	800
Hotel (Rooms)	0	200	300	100	300

**The Preferred Alternative**

The preferred alternative can be organized into nine districts, each with a unique character and distinguishing features. The entire Eastgate area would be anchored by a transit-oriented core featuring a mix of transit, commercial, and residential uses located north of I-90, between the 142<sup>nd</sup> PI Bridge and 148<sup>th</sup> interchange. For each district, key development assumptions are presented in Table 2 and brief summaries of the proposed changes in land use, transportation and character are provided.

**Key Features of the Eastgate Districts**

	Proposed Uses	FAR*	Height*
Transit-oriented Development Center	Office, commercial, and residential w/ ground floor retail	0.5 with allowances to increase to 1.5 to 2.0 through incentives	10 to 12 stories
Richard’s Valley	Light-industrial and flex-tech	No change	No change
King County Site and vicinity	High density office	0.5 with allowances to increase to 1.0 to 1.5 through incentives	8 to 12 stories, to achieve visibility from I-90
Sunset Village	Short term: Support existing auto dealerships. Encourage existing retail to remain. Long term: More intense mix of office and commercial.	0.5 with allowances to increase to 0.75 to 1.0 through incentives	4 to 6 stories

**EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT**

I-90 Office Park and vicinity	Infilling parking lots with additional office space and ancillary retail	0.5 with allowances to increase to 0.75 to 1.0 through incentives	4 to 6 stories
Eastgate Plaza and vicinity	Long term uses: office, retail, restaurants, lodging and multi-family residential; community-serving uses	For office uses, 0.5 with allowances to increase to 0.75 to 1.0 through incentives	4 to 6 stories that protect neighboring SFR from impacts
North Factoria and Vicinity	West of Newport Corporate Center: A range of office and residential uses with pedestrian oriented uses on ground floor.  Newport Corporate Center and eastward: Office uses allowing other commercial uses (e.g.: auto dealerships) and residential	Newport Corporate Center and westward: maintain existing FAR  East of Newport Corporate Center: 0.5 with allowances to 0.75 to 1.0 through incentives	Newport Corporate Center and westward-consistent with existing.  East of Newport Corporate Center – 4 to 6 stories
Interchange Gateway 1: Eastgate Interchange	Road and tree canopy improvements are proposed		
Interchange Gateway 2: Lakemont Interchange			

*\* FAR and height are approximate and will be more fully refined as part of plan implementation/code amendment phase.*

**Transit-oriented Development Center**

The district would encompass all properties fronting the Park-and-Ride/Transit Center, and those extending to the northern edge of the Bellevue College campus and eastward to 148th Ave SE. It would be Eastgate’s focal point with the most intense and greatest diversity of uses, best multi-modal access, and highest visibility. The area would have the highest and most visible concentration of buildings and structures framed by the wooded slopes and landscaped SE Eastgate Way. Key attributes of the district plan include:

- New zoning and land use provisions for increased densities, reductions in parking requirements, and incentives for intense mixed-use development.
- Improvements to 142<sup>nd</sup> Place SE to create a “transit emphasis corridor” including a widened covered walkway on the bridge structure and improvements to Snoqualmie River Road to enhance bus service.
- Formalizing an east-west main street for multi-modal users between 142<sup>nd</sup> and 148<sup>th</sup>, connecting the Lincoln Executive Center with the Park-and-Ride.

- Enhancing access and connectivity along SE Eastgate Way with the addition of bicycle lanes.

### Richards Valley

Richards Valley currently contains a light industrial area with several other uses including a King County transfer station and electrical substation. The Plan would maintain the light-industrial uses while encouraging higher density flex-tech development and stream and vegetation corridor enhancements. Key attributes of the district plan include:

- Improving the function of riparian corridors, floodplains, and wetlands with redevelopment.
- Reducing impervious surfaces and encouraging additional planting with redevelopment.
- Daylighting streams with redevelopment, where possible.
- Improving street frontages and landscaping along Richard Road.

### King County Site and Vicinity

This site is located north side of I-90 midway between Richards Road and the Park-andRide/Transit Center. The site includes a large vacant parcel owned by King County and adjacent properties to the west fronting Eastgate Way. The site is planned for new office development with greater heights and FAR allowances. The preferred alternative would also include substantial street landscaping and on-site open space to compensate for the additional allowed development capacity.

### Sunset Village

This area directly north of the Eastgate interchange and directly east of 148<sup>th</sup> Ave SE is currently occupied by auto dealerships. It is unlikely that these uses will change in the short term. There are also small service retail businesses, which would be encouraged to remain. The long-term plan under the preferred alternative is for a greater mix of office and retail uses. Redevelopment will be accompanied by improved pedestrian/bike access and landscaping improvements. Key proposed transportation improvements include:

- Improvement of 148<sup>th</sup>/150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE intersection with a third continuous southbound through lane from SE Eastgate Way to SE 38<sup>th</sup> Street.
- Improvement of intersections at SE Eastgate Way/150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE and 156<sup>th</sup> Ave SE/SE Eastgate Way with either a) widening and channelization improvements or b) multi-lane roundabout.
- Addition of bike lanes along SE Eastgate Way.
- Improved on-site vehicle and pedestrian circulation.

### I-90 Office Park and Vicinity

The area includes the cluster of office use in the Eastgate Office Park along 158<sup>th</sup> and 160<sup>th</sup> Ave SE. The buildings in the area are built to current development regulations and are relatively new. Little new development or redevelopment is expected in the near to mid-term. Over the long term, increased development capacity would encourage more intense office development. The preferred alternative also includes pedestrian connections through parking lots and pedestrian-oriented outdoor spaces. Redevelopment in the area would also improve stormwater



management because existing stormwater management systems would be replaced with systems that comply with current regulations, which are more protective of water quality.

### Eastgate Plaza and Vicinity

The area includes an 8-acre shopping center immediately south of I-90 and east of 150th Ave SE. It also includes several other nearby uses. Current uses are viable and unlikely to change in the short term. In the long term, the plan includes a variety of commercial and residential uses with community oriented retail and/or other community-serving uses in its western portion. In the eastern portion, office and hotel use would be encouraged. The preferred alternative also includes several transportation improvements including:

- Improvement of the intersections at 150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE/I-90 eastbound off-ramp and SE 37<sup>th</sup> St with either widening or a round-about.
- Improvement 148<sup>th</sup> Ave SE/150<sup>th</sup> Ave SE between SE 28<sup>th</sup> St and SE 38<sup>th</sup> St to be an attractive and important north-south connector in south central Bellevue.
- Widen 150th Ave SE/SE 37th St to allow for a by-pass lane on the right side of SE 37th St.

### North Factoria and Vicinity

The area includes 66 acres of the Factoria Subarea centered along Factoria Boulevard SE and the commercially zoned lands stretching to the east along the south side of I-90. Most of the properties already feature substantial development and stable businesses; there may be some redevelopment opportunities in the mid to long term, especially on the sub-district's eastern and western perimeters.

In 2005, the Factoria Subarea Plan was updated with the Factoria Area Transportation Study (FATS) which recommended transportation, urban design and site planning strategies to create a pedestrian and transit-oriented neighborhood. This plan supports the FATS work by encouraging site development consistent with the earlier study.

### Interchange Gateways 1 and 2

I-90 is the defining feature of the Eastgate area. The interchanges function as gateways to the study area and the city. The preferred alternative proposes increasing tree canopy to improve corridor aesthetics. It also proposes including continuing encouraging WSDOT to move forward with planned projects that would decrease congestion at these areas. Other than roadway improvements, no other new development is proposed for these areas.

## **Scoping Process**

Initial scoping for the Eastgate/I-90 land use and transportation project began in December 2009 when the City of Bellevue conducted a preliminary screening analysis of traffic operations through the study area in order to evaluate the viability of two preliminary growth scenarios. The results of this analysis provided a good understanding of existing transportation conditions, identified existing points of congestion, and provided a solid foundation on which to base future development and land use alternatives. The Bellevue City Council approved the project scope and principles in early February 2010, including the concept of using the Integrated SEPA/GMA process.

The project scope, in part, was designed to address environmental considerations. In addition to being cognizant of natural environmental constraints, such as steep slopes, streams, and

wetlands within and neighboring the study area, the project scope and principles were concerned with ensuring adequate utility, transportation, and social (parks, fire, police, etc.) infrastructure to support any future land use and transportation scenario that might emerge from the planning process. Key among these considerations is the development of alternatives for transportation infrastructure based on an analysis that focused on modeling and evaluating preferred land use alternatives including providing regional access and promoting adequate circulation within the study area, while mitigating impacts to the surrounding landscape.

Additionally, considerations for greenhouse gas emissions were studied for the alternatives in accordance with Washington State greenhouse gas reduction goals by exploring means to reduce GHG emissions within the project area. The selection of a preferred alternative was based on, in part, an understanding of the GHG emission consequences of each draft alternative, and of the necessary transportation improvements needed to accommodate the project vision and providing strategies to minimize impacts to the surrounding area.

The project principles were developed with the intention of enhancing the economic viability of the Eastgate corridor while not degrading the mobility of other parts of the city, and to ensure that it continues to contribute to the diversity of the City's economic mix. In achieving this goal, planning would require the consideration of integrated land uses and transportation across Eastgate, with the consideration of transit-oriented developments in portions of the area. Changes in land use should be informed by transportation opportunities and impacts, such that facilities may create opportunities for a well integrated district promoting land use and transportation performance. The project principles are based on a model of environmental sustainability so that future plans for the area produce measurable environmental benefits.

### **Public Outreach**

Public involvement has been integral to the environmental scoping and planning process and has played a significant role in identifying and directing goals for the development of the Eastgate/I-90 land use and transportation project. Beginning in spring 2010 the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use and Transportation Project, the City of Bellevue has worked with the public to identify issues and concerns through a series of dialogues, including open houses, online surveys, stakeholder interviews, community association dialogues, and other interest group interactions.

The first set of open houses was held in March 2010 and addressed the project objectives in addition to the SEPA and GMA methodology to be used in the planning process. The public was encouraged to identify environmental issues to help in the SEPA determination. To assist in project development the City prepared the *Eastgate I90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory* in summer 2010. This inventory documents several environmental features including existing land uses, elements of the physical environment (i.e. streams, wetlands, topography/steep slopes), parks and recreation, utility infrastructure, transportation infrastructure, and transit services.

A Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) was appointed by the City Council in October 2010 and quickly became familiar with the preliminary screening analysis, the project scope and principles, the existing conditions inventory, and public input. From this information the CAC drafted the alternatives that are currently under consideration. The draft alternatives were introduced for public feedback through two open houses held in June 2011. In addition to open house forums, SEPA-related comments have been collected through CAC meetings, online questionnaires, an online visual preference survey, stakeholder interviews, neighborhood

association presentations, interest group/professional association presentations, and economic development forums. A final open house was held in October 2011 to seek input on the emerging Preferred Alternative (at the time, called a Preliminary Preferred Alternative).

Through the planning process and public involvement several environmentally-related topics were identified as important. Traffic and transportation infrastructure was the most prevalent concern identified through public feedback. Notable problems with transportation infrastructure include congestion, poor circulation, poor freeway access, and poor signal timing.

A concern identified by some residents living around the nearby Phantom Lake was the effect that further development in the northeast part of the study area might have on water quantity and quality in Phantom Lake, particularly if impervious surface area is increased as a result of any action alternative. These residents expressed concerns that further development in the Phantom Lake drainage basin (a portion of which lies within the study area) may exacerbate existing or perceived water quality issues in Phantom Lake. In addition, several environmental concerns were identified by the public including, but not limited to, stream, wetlands, and steep slopes, particularly in the Richards Valley industrial area. People questioned how these natural features might be impacted or might influence future development. Other concerns expressed by the public included existing freeway noise, light and glare impacts from auto dealers on the north side of I-90, air quality, and aesthetics related to lack of freeway landscaping and the general appearance of the corridor.

A number of valuable environmental interests have been identified through the planning process in conjunction with the CAC and through public involvement. Among the environmental interests expressed, those that identified as having a high level of interest include:

- *promoting environmentally sustainable development;*
- *providing a variety of transportation choices, mixing land uses to provide services and amenities within walking distance of office buildings;*
- *exploring opportunities for improving environmental conditions in Richards Valley; and*
- *landscaping of the freeway corridor, and using the Mountains-to-Sound Greenway (MTSG) Trail as a unifying visual element/basis for a “green theme”.*

The Preferred Alternative was developed with these and other environmental considerations in mind. Completion of the “missing link” of the MTSG Trail through the study area, as envisioned by the Preferred Alternative, will not only provide the public with recreation opportunities and greater transportation mode choice, but will serve as a key component of a broader non-motorized network in the study area. Opportunities will be sought to leverage and reflect the MTS Greenway theme in the built environment, in ways that promote environmental sustainability. Land use regulations will be made more flexible to allow and promote retail and service uses that support the study area’s employment base, as well as surrounding neighborhoods. By making such uses more available throughout the study area and within walking distance to offices, there will be less reliance upon the automobile for routine daytime errands.

The Richards Valley industrial area is characterized by steep topography, streams, and wetlands. Some of the streams have been re-routed and/or culverted over the years to accommodate development. The Preferred Alternative envisions enhancement of these wetlands and streams

as properties redevelop, but also recognizes that the number and locations of these features will make redevelopment challenging.

The Preferred Alternative also recognizes the concerns of Phantom Lake residents regarding possible impacts on lake water quality and quantity resulting from increased development in the northeast portion of the study area. Any new development (or redevelopment) would be required to satisfy stricter storm water standards than those that applied to prior (existing) development, and therefore would maintain and could improve water quality. These stormwater standards would address the Phantom Lake water quality/quantity concerns.

**12. Location of the proposal, including street address, if any, and section, township, and range; legal description; site plan; vicinity map; and topographical map, if reasonably available:**

The Eastgate/I-90 study area is located in the south central part of Bellevue. It contains the Eastgate/I-90 employment corridor, one of the city's five major employment centers. The study area extends east from I-405 a distance of roughly 2-1/4 miles to approximately 161<sup>st</sup> Avenue SE, plus an extension encompassing I-90 right-of-way out to and including the Lakemont Interchange. In a north-south direction, the study area generally extends from SE 26<sup>th</sup> Street on the north to SE 38<sup>th</sup> Street on the south. The study area contains 633 acres, 504 acres north of I-90 and 129 acres south of I-90. The study area includes portions of several planning subareas, including Eastgate, Richards Valley, and Factoria. Appendix A includes a map showing the study area boundaries.

## B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

### 1. Earth

**a. General description of the site:**

The study area displays topographic characteristics typical of the Puget Sound region, with prominent slopes and streams carving ravines to lower waters. There are a number of steep areas, mostly in areas of ravines or as a result of cuts made for I-90. The notable slopes are near Sunset Ravine; along the southern edge of Bellevue College; south of I-90 along SE 36<sup>th</sup> Street; along the area just west of 150<sup>th</sup> Avenue; and along the northwest side of the landfill park site. The study area is currently developed and contains a mix of office, retail, industrial, institutional, and residential land uses.

**b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?**

There are a number of steep slopes in the study area that exceed a 40 percent grade. The steepest slopes are located in the vicinity of Sunset Ravine, along the southern edge of Bellevue College, south of I-90 along SE 36<sup>th</sup> Street, along the area just west of 150<sup>th</sup> Avenue, and along the northwest side of the landfill park site.

**c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? Specify the classification of agricultural soils and note any prime farmland.**

The Soil Surveys for the City of Bellevue indicate that the study area is primarily underlain by Snohomish Silt loam, a hydric soil that has been artificially drained. Soil maps for the study area are available to view on the City's website at:

[http://www.ci.bellevue.wa.us/pdf/Development%20Services/09a\\_nrcs\\_soils\\_Internet.p df](http://www.ci.bellevue.wa.us/pdf/Development%20Services/09a_nrcs_soils_Internet.pdf)

**d. Are there any surface indications or a history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.**

There are no known indications of unstable soils. The study area is not classified as a “seismic hazard area”. No liquefaction susceptibility hazard areas are mapped within the study area. No landslide hazards are mapped within the study area. The study area is heavily developed and has significant areas of impervious surfaces. Landslide and seismic hazard areas in the city are mapped and available to view at the City’s website at:

[http://www.bellevuewa.gov/pdf/Development%20Services/12a\\_seismic\\_Internet.pdf](http://www.bellevuewa.gov/pdf/Development%20Services/12a_seismic_Internet.pdf)

**e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate the source of the fill.**

The development and implementation of a preferred alternative is a non-project or programmatic action and would not directly result in and filling or grading. New development and/or redevelopment under the preferred alternatives would likely result in filling and grading. The extent of filling and grading would be dependent on the amount of development proposed. In general, the greatest densities and projected development activity is planned and projected for the Transit-oriented Development Center. The most development would be expected there.

New development activities anywhere in the study area would be subject to further review on a case-by-case basis and would need to be consistent with the City of Bellevue City Code (BCC) Chapter 27.36 “Clearing and Grading” and state regulations regarding water quality protection during construction.

**f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use?**

Under the preferred alternative, the intensity of land use in the study area would increase in the Eastgate/I-90 study area. Potential impacts from erosion associated with clearing and development activities in the study area would occur with most new development and the addition of new proposed transportation improvements.

Soils temporarily exposed during construction could be eroded by stormwater. However, all construction projects would be required to comply with the City’s erosion control regulations. Erosion control measures are required to mitigate these potential impacts. Following construction, graded or filled areas would be stabilized and landscaped.

The scale of potential erosion depends on the amount of expected development. Expected development was assigned to areas within the study area using traffic analysis zones (needed for traffic modeling). Most of the new development is expected in and around the Transit-oriented Development Center. Approximately 70 percent of the projected office development would be in the Transit-oriented Development Center and the King County Site and approximately 40 percent off expected retail would also be in the Transit-oriented Development Center.

Minor erosion impacts are unavoidable. Assuming that development complies with the City’s erosion control requirements, impacts from construction would be mitigated consistent with BCC BCC 23.76. Unmitigated significant impacts from erosion are not anticipated.

**g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example buildings or asphalt)?**

Under existing conditions, the majority of the project area contains impervious surfaces (59 percent) including roads, parking lots, and commercial, industrial, residential and institutional buildings.

Under the Preferred Alternative, development or redevelopment of the project area including new office, retail, industrial, institutional, and residential uses would occur in existing areas that are predominantly covered by impervious surfaces. As noted above, new development and higher densities are expected in limited, concentrated areas.

Nearly 80 percent of expected new office development is planned for the Transit-Oriented Development center, the King County site, Eastgate Plaza area, and Factoria. Likewise, nearly 75 percent of retail and 90 percent of residential development are planned for the Transit-Oriented Development center, Eastgate Plaza area, and Factoria area. These areas, planned for higher densities, are largely developed and predominantly covered by impervious surfaces. New development and redevelopment may result in an incremental increase in impervious surface, but stricter stormwater regulations require and would ensure that stormwater management conditions would be maintained or improved.

Transportation improvements including but not limited to roadway and intersection improvements, sidewalks, bicycle and pedestrian trails could also result in an increase of impervious surface area. As a non-project action, specific roadway, intersection and trail designs have not been developed. Therefore, total amount of impervious surface resulting from transportation improvements is not known at this stage of planning. In general, new roadways or acquisition of new rights-of-way are not proposed. Under the Preferred Alternative, some roadways would be widened, intersections may be expanded and non-motorized routes would be introduced. While these features do have the potential to increase total impervious area, they would also include pervious landscaping, medians and other pervious features. Transportation facilities would also be designed consistent with the city's stormwater regulations.

While the total amount of impervious area that would result from implementation of the Preferred Alternative is unknown, increased development densities may result in additional impervious area. However, as noted, more stringent stormwater standards currently in place would maintain or improve stormwater management for new development and redevelopment. Additionally, new impervious area is limited by regulations for critical areas and their buffers, the presence of parks that will remain open and largely impervious, and compliance with screening requirements.

**h. Describe the proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any.**

All construction projects would be required to comply with state requirements under the general NPDES for construction as well as the City's erosion control regulations, which require erosion control BMPs and appropriate site management techniques to mitigate these potential impacts. Following construction, graded or filled areas are required to be stabilized and landscaped. Assuming that development complies with the City's erosion control requirements, significant impacts from erosion are unlikely.

## 2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (e.g. dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities, if known.**

Development under the Preferred Alternative would result in air quality impacts during construction activities including fugitive dust, odors, and emissions from heavy machinery, trucks, and other vehicles traveling to and operating on construction sites. Increased traffic congestion and delays due to construction would have the potential to increase localized emissions by slowing or stopping traffic.

Increased development density, particularly office and institutional, would likely result in an increase in the number of auto car trips (see traffic analysis) and associated emissions. However, the increase would not add an appreciable amount of emissions to existing conditions caused by surrounding urban development and I-90. It is unlikely that adverse air impacts would be significant. Also, it is anticipated that by having goods and services available closer to employment and housing, automobile trips would be reduced, helping to limit the overall increase in emissions in the area.

As part of the *Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report*, a greenhouse gas emissions analysis was conducted (Fehr and Peers, 2011) (Appendix B). The purpose was to evaluate the differences in Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions between four alternatives. The results of the analysis showed that because of the inclusion of residential development and multimodal transportation options, Alternative 1 was the only alternative that achieved lower per capita CO<sub>2</sub> emissions than the No Action Alternative. Alternatives 2 and 3 generated slightly higher levels of CO<sub>2</sub> per capita.

The greenhouse gas analysis was not extended to the Preferred Alternative, but it is assumed that the results would fall within the range found for the draft alternatives. The Preferred Alternative includes similar transportation improvements, but not as many projected housing units as Alternative 1. Therefore, greenhouse gas emissions would likely be lower than Alternatives 2 and 3, but may be higher than the no action scenario.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odors that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.**

Construction activities associated with development under the Preferred Alternative would have the potential to temporarily create odors and/or emissions. The King County transfer station has the potential to create odors, but the County is planning on redeveloping the transfer station, which would include additional odor control. There are no other known sources of off-site odors or emissions.

- c. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any.**

Mitigation measures to control air quality impacts would be considered and developed on a project-by-project basis, and could include transportation demand management strategies such as transit and carpooling incentives, bike facilities, and other means of encouraging alternatives to SOV travel.

## 3. Water

## a. Surface:

- 1. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, and wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.**

Four streams, mainly tributaries or headwater segments, run through the central part of the study area and include, from east to west, Richards, Sunset, East, and Vasa Creeks. Four additional creeks cross the southern Lakemont extension.

Existing wetlands in the study area are located around Richards Creek just south of Eastgate Way and around headwater segments of East Creek just north of SE 30<sup>th</sup> Street. These wetland areas are linked to a larger wetland stream complex north of Kamber Road. Smaller wetland areas are on the site of the proposed Bellevue Airfield Park. A complete description of the areas surface waters is contained in the *Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory* (City of Bellevue, 2010).

Phantom Lake lies roughly 500 feet north of the northeast portion of the study area. A portion of the study area (roughly 144 acres) lies within the Phantom Lake Drainage Basin.

- 2. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.**

There are no planned developments included in the preferred Alternative that would require work in the study area's streams or wetlands. Transportation improvements under any of the alternatives may include work on culverts. Development is likely within 200 feet of streams and wetlands where allowed, and in accordance with applicable regulations.

All development under the Preferred Alternative would be required to comply with the City's critical areas code, which prohibits nearly all activities in streams and wetlands and their buffers. In cases where temporary impacts are unavoidable, the City's critical areas code requires mitigation that results in no loss of the functions and values of the resource.

Impacts to surface water resources and wetlands would be evaluated on a project-by-project basis. If future development is proposed in the vicinity of any surface waters or wetlands, the project action will be evaluated for consistency with the requirements codified in BCC 20.25H "Critical Areas Overlay District." The City would determine the appropriate mitigation of any potential adverse impacts.

- 3. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that could be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill materials.**

No filling or dredging activities in surface water resources or wetlands are planned as a component of the Preferred Alternative. Future construction activities associated with development or redevelopment would not likely involve the filling or dredging of surface water resources or wetlands. The placement or removal of dredge or fill materials into or from surface waters or wetlands is prohibited by the City's critical areas regulations for private development; and allowed for public infrastructure only where there is no feasible alternative (BCC 20.25H.055.B and 20.25H.055.C). In general, development and



redevelopment would be required to remain outside of designated critical areas and buffers.

Wetlands and streams are concentrated in the Richards Valley portion of the Study Area. While this area is envisioned to remain in light industrial land uses, some transition to flex-tech or research & development uses could occur, which could result in redevelopment of some properties. In addition, the vacant King County site along Eastgate Way is planned for more intensive office development (approximately 500,000 square feet). Accordingly, the potential for development proposals to affect surface waters exists. However, the Preferred Alternative also includes the following strategies for Richards Valley:

- Reduce impervious surface below existing.
- Encourage further planting with redevelopment, particularly on missing links between parks and vegetated corridors.
- Where possible daylight streams with redevelopment
- Explore enhancing drainage features as naturalistic settings.
- Improve riparian corridors, floodplains, wetlands, and steep slopes to enhance ecological functions.

In addition, construction activities near or adjacent to surface water bodies would be required to comply with the City's critical areas standards as well as Storm and surface water regulations.

**4. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversion? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities, if known.**

No surface water withdrawals are planned as a component of the Preferred Alternative. It is possible that transportation infrastructure improvements would affect culverts and may require temporary diversion of surface water. However, as a non-project plan, the specific nature of improvements is not currently known. Any diversion needed for culvert work would be temporary and would be assessed at a project specific level. All work would comply with the City's critical area code.

**5. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year flood plain? If so, note location on the site plan.**

Several tributary and headwater stream segments are located throughout the project area and are bounded by existing development. Construction activities located within the FEMA 100-year floodplain of streams located the project area would be required to comply with local, state, and federal floodplain regulations, in addition to the City's critical area buffers.

New projects developing in accordance with the preferred alternative, once implemented, would not be located in the 100-year flood plain. Updated floodplain maps would very likely place some existing buildings in the floodplain. If these buildings were to redevelop they would have to meet City's regulations to elevate, flood proof, or otherwise reduce the risk of structural flooding, and to mitigate for any potential impacts on other properties due to floodplain modification. If construction activities occur in previously developed areas, significant impacts to floodplains are unlikely.

**6. Does the proposal involve discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.**

No direct discharge of waste materials to surface waters is anticipated under the Preferred Alternative. Considerations for waste material discharge would be identified and evaluated on a case-by-case basis for proposed development within the study area. Waste material containment, storage, and disposal would be considered for projects with the potential to contaminate surface water bodies.

The Preferred Alternative does not include any new industrial development, which, as a use, has the highest likelihood of handling hazardous materials. In fact, under the Preferred Alternative, the amount of industrial use is expected to decrease (compared to the No Action alternative). All development would be required to comply with the City's stormwater code, in addition to local, state, and federal waste material discharge standards. While the potential for accidental spill is present, compliance with current regulations would likely result in no significant impacts. **b. Ground**

**1. Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.**

All developments in the Eastgate study area are connected to stormwater facilities, municipal water facilities, and the sanitary sewer system. This infrastructure eliminates the need for withdrawals from ground water and would help avoid/abate discharge to groundwater.

Under the Preferred Alternative, water could be withdrawn from or discharged into the ground water during construction activities, such as temporary dewatering to build underground parking structures. Considerations would be required on a case-by-case basis to ensure that individual construction sites take measures to abate and capture storm and waste water runoff, and properly store hazardous, toxic, or otherwise dangerous materials appropriately to prevent potential impacts to ground water sources. All construction activities would comply with state requirements under the general NPDES for construction as well as the City's erosion control regulations, which require erosion control BMPs and appropriate site management techniques to mitigate these potential impacts (BCC 23.76.090). If construction activities follow the City's storm and wastewater regulations and clearing and grading standards, significant impacts to ground water are not anticipated.

**2. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any. Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) is expected to serve.**

Under the Preferred Alternative, no waste material would be discharged into ground water. There would be no septic systems or livestock in the study area.

Considerations would be required on a case-by-case basis to ensure that individual construction activities and development sites take measures to abate and capture storm and waste water runoff, and properly store hazardous, toxic, or otherwise dangerous materials in a way to prevent potential impacts to ground water resources. If construction activities comply with the City's storm and wastewater regulations, clearing and grading standards, and all other building and development codes significant impacts to groundwater are unlikely.

### c. Water Runoff (including storm water)

#### 1. Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (including quantities if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The Eastgate/I-90 study area contains approximately 59% impervious area. Impervious surface is often used as a proxy for watershed condition. Watershed conditions begin to deteriorate once the basin is 10-15% impervious area (City of Bellevue, 2010). As noted, impervious area is well over 10-15%, indicating the study area's hydrology is likely degraded compared to predevelopment (i.e. forested) conditions.

Total impervious area is not likely to decrease and may increase slightly with the proposed increase in development density. Maximum allowed impervious area in the city's commercial zones is 80 – 85 percent. While developments on individual lots may achieve 80 percent impervious, the study area overall will remain below that because of the presence of critical areas, buffers, stormwater management facilities, parks, landscaping and other unpaved or undeveloped areas.

In addition, increases in impervious surface area would likely be part of redevelopment or road expansion projects subject to stormwater regulations that required post construction stormwater hydrology and water quality conditions to be maintained or improved (BCC 24.06.065).

As of January 1, 2010 the City of Bellevue adopted new stormwater regulations intended to reduce the deleterious effects of imperviousness on stream health, water quality and quantity (BCC24.06.015). The regulations require that new development or redevelopment projects mitigate site runoff to pre-developed (forested) conditions if downstream areas are less than 40% impervious, if downstream areas are greater than 40% impervious, post construction conditions must remain the same as the pre-construction conditions (BCC 24.06.065.G.7.b).

There are small-scale detention facilities owned by private property owners, the City of Bellevue, or King County scattered throughout the Eastgate/I-90 study area. However, the study area was largely developed under older stormwater regulations that, in many cases, did not fully protect downstream receiving waters. As a result, stormwater runoff is currently subjected to a lower standard of control (i.e., detention and treatment) than what is currently required.

The study area currently contains areas zoned for office, commercial, light industrial, and residential uses (including R-5, R-10, and R-20). The current code (BCC 20.20.010) prescribes maximum percentages of impervious allowed on a project site in each zoning district (office, office light business, and neighborhood business: 80%; community business, light industrial, and general commercial: 85%; residential R-5: 55%, R-10: 80%, and R-20: 80%). Increasing density and development in the Preferred Alternative could increase the total impervious area in the study area, if development maximized the allowed impervious area. However, much of the study area that is planned for increased development density has been largely cleared of vegetation through past development. Existing vegetation includes critical areas and buffers and ornamental plantings as part of landscaping.

New development or redevelopment under the Preferred Alternative would include new commercial, retail and residential multi-family uses concentrated in the Transitoriented Development Center, King County site, Eastgate Plaza and Factoria area. Bellevue College expansion and expansion of auto dealers north of I-90, as well as I90 off ramp improvements, and minor development south of I-90 are also anticipated. All of these would likely include new impervious area.

New developments or redevelopments in the study area would be subject to the City's current and more stringent stormwater regulations; mitigating runoff impacts to mimic predevelopment/forested conditions. Assuming existing stormwater management on properties being developed or redeveloped is not as effective as new requirements and that new development complies with current requirements that require maintenance or improvement in stormwater management, development under the Preferred Alternative could represent an incremental improvement to overall stormwater management in the basin and study area.

**2. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.**

No waste materials are expected to enter ground or surface waters. As noted above, all new development under any of the alternatives would be required to comply with current stormwater standards. If compliance is achieved a significant amount of waste material would not enter ground or surface water.

**d. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any.**

As of January 1, 2010 the City of Bellevue adopted new stormwater regulations intended to reduce the deleterious effects of imperviousness on stream health (water quality and quantity). The new regulations require that new development or redevelopment projects mitigate site runoff to pre-developed/forested conditions if the drainage area of the immediate stream is less than 40% impervious (BCC 24.06.065(G)(7)(b)). If the drainage area of the immediate stream is greater than 40% impervious, site runoff would have to match existing conditions. The former is likely the case for the Eastgate/I-90 study area. This is a more stringent standard than existed when most of the area was developed.

Assuming that all new developments under the Preferred Alternative achieve consistency with the City's new stormwater standards and potentially trigger the requirement to manage stormwater to a predevelopment, forested condition, future developments could result in better stormwater management than exists currently and would, at a minimum, maintain existing stormwater management. As such, the Preferred Alternative would marinating existing stormwater conditions and could achieve greater overall improvements to stormwater management.

**4. Plants**

**a. Types of vegetation found on-site:**

The study area is heavily developed and includes vegetation typical of developed areas in the Pacific Northwest. The area also includes areas of ornamental vegetation. A complete plant survey has not been conducted, but the following are species likely to be present.

- x **Deciduous trees:** Alder, Cottonwood, Maple, other
- x **Evergreen trees:** Douglas-fir, Hemlock, Cedar, Other
- x **Shrubs:** Sword fern, Salmonberry, Salal, Oregon grape
- x **Grass:** Various native, ornamental and invasive species
- **Pasture:** None
- x **Wet Soil Plants:** Cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other

**b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?**

Development and implementation of the Preferred Alternative would result in future construction activities and development or redevelopment of a higher density land use pattern and more infrastructure in the Eastgate/I-90 study area. The majority of the study area that would be impacted by new land uses has been largely cleared of vegetation through past development. The amount of vegetation that will be removed or altered as a result of new development will depend on the specific development proposal. As a non-project action, that information is not available at this stage of the planning process. Projects occurring in the study area under the Preferred Alternative would be subject to review on a case-by-case basis and impacts to vegetation would be mitigated consistent with the City's critical area buffer standards and tree retention regulations.

As part of project development, green features such as utilizing natural drainage patterns and restoring fragmented or altered habitat could be encouraged under the Preferred Alternative. Restoration plantings, landscaping, and the development of park infrastructure would occur under the Preferred Alternative as well. Because development must comply with the City's critical area buffers for streams and wetlands, significant impacts to vegetation are not anticipated.

**c. List threatened or endangered species or critical habitat known to be on or near the site.**

There are no known threatened, endangered, or critical vegetation species in the study area. Alteration or destruction of threatened, endangered, or critical vegetation species would be regulated by City critical areas restrictions including habitats associated with species of local importance (20.25H.150) as well as state, and federal rules. Significant impacts to threatened or endangered vegetation are not anticipated.

**d. Describe proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on-site.**

Adoption of the Preferred Alternative would encourage increased density and development resulting in some loss of the limited existing vegetation in the study area, although much of the area's existing unprotected (non-critical area) vegetation is ornamental. The Preferred Alternative includes provisions for landscaping of areas to improve the aesthetic and environmental character of the study area, including within freeway interchanges and boulevard streets. Planting designs would incorporate the use of native species and would include low groundcover, low shrubs, and trees for canopy cover.

In addition, all development would be required to comply with the City's critical areas regulations, tree retention policies and setbacks and screening requirements. Mitigation and

upgrades to parks and rights-of-way would recapture some of the lost vegetation. Development consistent with current regulations would not result in significant impacts.

Development activities that are not categorically exempt from SEPA would be subject to reviewed under SEPA subject to exemption thresholds outlined in the City's implementing ordinance (BCC 22.02). Any impacts to native vegetation as a result of future projects will be appropriately mitigated under SEPA substantive authority.

## 5. Animals

### a. **Underline any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:**

Animals in the study area include species typically found in urbanized areas of the Pacific Northwest. Terrestrial species likely include various species of hawk, bald eagles, various songbirds, and various small mammals. Aquatic species likely include trout and various amphibians.

Limited portions of study area streams are fish passable. According to WDFW Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) database (2011), Sunset Creek and Richards Creek are mapped as habitat for Coho salmon north of I-90. East Creek is listed as habitat for cutthroat trout. Richards Creek is mapped as habitat for Sockeye and Chinook salmon north of its confluence with Sunset and East Creek (WDFW, 2011)..

Consistent with BCC 20.25H, development proposals under the Preferred Alternative would have to include a determination of whether the proposal would impact habitats associated with species of local importance (defined in BCC 20.25H.150). If so, the proposal would have to implement wildlife management plans developed by WDFW for such species (BCC 20.25H.160).

### b. **List any threatened or endangered species or critical habitat near the site.**

The study area is highly developed and has not been identified as habitat for threatened or endangered terrestrial species. According to the WDFW PHS database, Richards Creek is listed as habitat for Chinook salmon, a federally listed species. Richard's Creek and Sunset Creek are mapped as habitat for Coho salmon, a species of local importance designated per BCC 20.25H.150.

The study also contains PHS listed habitat areas and wetland habitats, within the study area. Critical habitat areas (e.g., streams and wetlands) have been identified and mapped by the City. All projects development under the Preferred Alternative would be required to comply with the City's critical areas regulations, existing area species and habitats would be protected. Significant impacts to threatened or endangered species or their associated critical habitat areas are not anticipated.

### c. **Is the site part of a migratory route? If so, explain.**

The study area is located within the Pacific Flyway, which is a flight corridor for migrating waterfowl and other avian fauna. The Pacific Flyway covers the entire Puget Sound region, and extends south from Alaska to Mexico and South America.

### d. **Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any.**

The study area is highly developed and has not been identified as habitat for threatened or endangered terrestrial species. Sunset and Richards Creeks are identified as salmon bearing. Individual project activities would be required to avoid or (in limited cases, where no feasible option exists) mitigate any impacts to these streams, as well as wetlands and buffers. If development complies with the City's critical areas regulations, significant impacts to threatened or endangered species or their associated habitat areas would not be anticipated.

## 6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.**

Energy use in the study area would be typical of urbanized commercial areas. Under the Preferred Alternative, development or redevelopment would require electrical power for lighting as well as safety lighting around parking areas and walkways. Natural gas would be used within structures for heating and cooking. Construction would require gasoline and diesel as well as typical building materials.

- b. Would the project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, explain.**

The Preferred Alternative would include changes to the City's comprehensive plan and zoning potentially allowing greater building heights. An increase in shade could result, but would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis for consistency with city policies and standards.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any.**

Existing City and local utility infrastructure is adequate to serve the growth projected under the Preferred Alternative. Development and redevelopment in the study area would be consistent with all local utility standards. In addition, new development under the Preferred Alternative would be required to consider and incorporate green features and energy conservation into building design. Accordingly, no significant impacts to energy availability are anticipated.

## 7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spills, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.**

The development and implementation of the Preferred Alternative would result in more development and a higher density area. Construction sites would pose potential risks for fire and explosion, spill, or exposure to hazardous materials. Spills or leakage from heavy equipment at construction sites could occur. None of these risks would be greater than what is normally anticipated during construction activities, provided normal precautions are taken in storing equipment, hazardous fuels, and other materials used in construction. Waste and storm water would be required to be contained and treated appropriately to mitigate impacts to the environment. All construction activities would follow the City's storm and surface water code

and clearing and grading code, in addition to all local and state regulations. Significant impacts from toxic chemicals, fire hazards, and/or wastes and spills are not anticipated.

**1. Describe special emergency services that might be required.**

Most of the new development included in the Preferred Alternative would be office (1,800,000 square feet). A substantial amount of institutional (Bellevue College), retail and residential are also included in the Preferred Alternative. In general, these uses would not require special emergency services.

Specific uses within these categories are not known at this stage of planning. While unlikely, it is possible that new uses could require special emergency services. These service needs would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. In general, it is not expected that special emergency services would be required for new development under any of the alternatives. Typical emergency services such as fire, police, and emergency medical response may be required for emergencies developing as a result of construction activities.

**2. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards.**

Normal precautions would be taken in storing equipment, hazardous fuels, and other materials used in construction. Storage, maintenance, and handling precautions for any materials considered to be hazardous materials would comply with International Fire Code requirements. Waste and storm water would be contained and treated in an environmentally safe manner. If development activities follow the City's storm and surface water code, grading and clearing code and other development and building codes, significant impacts from toxic chemicals, fire hazards, and/or wastes and spills are unlikely.

**b. Noise**

**1. What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment operation, other)?**

The project location has a long history of elevated noise levels associated with vehicular traffic originating from the I-90 freeway corridor. Noise from I-90 would have a variable effect on the study area depending on land uses at receiving sites.

**2. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)?**

Under the Preferred Alternative, short-term noise impacts could result from construction activities including vehicles and equipment. According to the City's code, construction sounds are exempt from the City's noise control ordinance (BCC 9.18) from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. on weekdays and 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. on (BCC 9.18.020.C). Sound generating development activities or operation of heavy machinery would not occur outside of these times, on Sundays or on holidays, except if permitted by the Director of Community Development and only in cases where activity would not interfere with residential uses permitted in the zone in which it is located. While these impacts would be temporary, noise from construction activities would be noticeable, primarily in residential areas.

The Preferred Alternative includes a moderate amount of new residential development (within the range examined in the Environmental Review Report). Noise impacts from construction on residential uses would depend on the development sequence and would



have to be examined on a case-by-case basis, when residential and other development proposals are submitted under the Preferred Alternative.

Long-term impacts could result from increased traffic in the Eastgate/I-90 study area. However, the incremental increase in auto noise would be unlikely to significantly raise the overall noise level.

Lastly, the Preferred Alternative would increase the residential population in the study area (800 housing units more than current). Residential uses are considered more sensitive to sound levels and are regulated accordingly through 9.18.

**3. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any.**

The City's code (BCC 9.18.025 – 9.18.030) regulates noise levels through classes of environmental designations for noise abatement (EDNA). Residential land use districts are classified as EDNA A, commercial land use district are classified as EDNA B and industrial land use districts are classified as EDNA C. For each EDNA, maximum sound levels are established in BCC 9.18.030 based on the sound's source. Maximum permissible sound levels are lowest for EDNA A and highest for EDNA C. Specific zoning has not been established at this point in the planning process. Residential development may be located in either an EDNA A or B area and these designations would determine the allowable sound levels.

In addition, each development proposed under the Preferred Alternative will be required to comply with the development restrictions of BCC 9.18.045B for exterior and interior sound and noise attenuation measures. New development adjacent to I90 is expected to buffer areas (particularly north of the Transit Oriented Center) from freeway noise. Residential buildings could also be sited and designed to minimize noise impacts on residents. Assuming this was done, impacts from sound could be maintained below a significant level. Existing noise standards for construction and operation are likely sufficient to control potential noise impacts.

**8. Land and Shoreline Use**

**a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?**

Office uses dominate the study area. Office clusters in the study area are on the northeastern edge, in the valley west of 161st Avenue SE, and fronting the freeway on the south. Office uses are also located south of Bellevue College in the Lincoln Executive Center, west of Bellevue College in the Sunset North Corporate Campus, and as smaller components of light-industrial uses in the Richards Valley area.

Retail uses are in two main locations, Factoria Village near Factoria Boulevard and Eastgate Plaza near SE 37th Street and 150th Avenue SE. Other major retail uses include Toyota and Subaru auto dealerships around 150th Avenue SE, in the Sunset Village shopping area, and a Honda auto dealership along SE 36th Street. Other smaller retail uses are located near the Toyota and Subaru dealerships and along 156<sup>th</sup> Avenue SE.

Light industrial and warehouse uses are loosely clustered in Richards Valley, around SE 30th Street, in the general area between 139th Avenue SE on the east and Richards Road on the west.

The two major institutional uses are Bellevue College and the Church of Latter Day Saints (LDS church). A 59-acre parcel of the 99-acre campus of Bellevue College is in the study area. The Bellevue College campus is bounded by 148th Avenue SE to the east, SE 24th Street to the north, and Snoqualmie River Road to the west. The 23-acre campus of the LDS church is bounded by 156th Avenue SE to the east, SE 28th Street to the north, and 148th Avenue SE to the west.

Public facilities classified as institutional/government uses are also scattered across Richards Valley, and these include the King County Transfer Station, Puget Sound Energy substation and the Humane Society.

There are a total of 221 residential units within the study area, all of which are multifamily units clustered between 139th Avenue SE and Bellevue College. In addition to these housing units, the study area has 655 hotel rooms in extended stay format hotels.

In terms of acreage, office uses are the largest consumers of land utilizing 40% of total acreage, while institutional uses consume 16%, commercial uses consume 13%, and industrial uses consume 11% of total land area. A more detailed description of existing land use can found in the *Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory* (City of Bellevue, 2010).

**b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.**

The site has not been used for agriculture in the recent past. The study area was logged in the early 1900s and was later developed for industrial and commercial land uses.

**c. Describe any structures on the site.**

The site has been intensely developed and contains a variety of land uses and structures. Office structures are clustered near the northeastern edge, in the valley west of 161<sup>st</sup> Avenue SE, and fronting the freeway to the south. Retail buildings are concentrated in two locations, Factoria Village and Eastgate Plaza. Light industrial and warehouses are loosely clustered in the Richards Valley. Two institutional complexes, Bellevue College and LDS church are located within the study area.

**d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?**

No structures would be demolished as a direct result of the adoption of the Preferred Alternative. However, redevelopment is likely as the Preferred Alternative is implemented. Because the area is largely built out, redevelopment would, in most cases, result in demolition of structures.

**e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?**

The study area contains a number of zoning classifications. The predominant zoning in the study area is Office Limited Business, which allows for office, hotel, and limited retail uses. Three clusters of Community Business zoning: 1) The Factoria Village shopping center at the north end of the Factoria subarea, 2) the Sunset Village on the north side of I-90, and 3) Eastgate Plaza located to the southeast of 150<sup>th</sup>. The northwest section of the study area includes a large block of land zoned for Light Industrial. Bellevue College is in an area zoned Residential (R-5), although the college does not include residences. Residential zoning in the study area also includes

multifamily areas (R-10) west of Bellevue College and two small multifamily parcels (R-20) south of 150<sup>th</sup> Avenue. In addition to these predominant zoning categories, several properties are zoned General Commercial, Neighborhood Business, or as Office. Implementation of the Preferred

Alternative would necessitate changes to the City's Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, and Zoning Map, and would include design elements to avoid land use incompatibilities.

**f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?**

The study area lies in several designations under the City's current Comprehensive Plan. These designations include community business, office limited business, public facility, and neighborhood business.

**g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?**

There are no shorelines of the state within the study area.

**h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.**

Existing wetlands are located around Richards Creek just south of Eastgate Way and around headwater segments of East Creek north of SE 30th Street. These wetland areas link to a larger wetland stream complex north of Kamber Road. Other small wetland areas are on the Bellevue Airfield Park site. In addition to wetlands, several streams are located through the study area. Richards, Sunset, East, and Vasa Creeks run through the central portion of the study area, while four additional streams are located near the Lakemont extension. Steep slopes have also been identified in the study area. Specific areas of steep slopes (>40% and  $\geq 1,000$  Sq Ft) are near Sunset ravine, along the southern edge of Bellevue College, south of I-90 along SE 36th Street, along the area just west of 150th Avenue, and along the northwest side of the landfill park site. Such steep wooded areas can provide important wildlife habitat. A more detailed inventory of environmentally sensitive area can be found in the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory (City of Bellevue, 2010).

**i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?**

The Eastgate/I-90 study area currently supports 24,000 jobs in approximately 4,950,000 square feet of office space and 655,000. Under the Preferred Alternative, approximately 1,800,000 square feet of new office and 100,000 of new retail space is projected. The new office space is expected to support roughly 5,500 new jobs, and the new retail space is expected to support roughly 250 new jobs. The projected 800 new residential units would increase the area population by about 1,296 individuals, based on an assumed average household size in multifamily development of 1.8 persons per household, and a 10% vacancy rate.

**j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?**

The adoption and implementation of the Preferred Alternatives would increase land use intensity. However, the limited amount of residential development in the study area is not planned to be removed under any of the alternatives. As noted above, the amount of residential development is expected to increase.

**k. Describe proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any.**

Displacement impacts are not expected. No measures are proposed.

**I. Describe proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any.**

An examination of the Eastgate/I-90 corridor, resulting in the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project, was called for in the City of Bellevue's Comprehensive Plan. The objective of the development and implementation of a preferred alternative is pursuant of the City's Comprehensive Plan Policy ED-19 which recognizes the need to "maintain and update integrated land use and transportation plans to guide the future of the City's major commercial areas and help them respond to change" and to further establish as a goal "to maintain the quality of older commercial areas, promoting redevelopment and revitalization as needed to maintain their vitality".

The Evaluation of Draft Alternative Report examined three potential alternatives to achieve the City's planning goals for the Study area and a no-action alternative as a means of comparison. Multiple facets of the alternatives were evaluated; a major component of the evaluation was compatibility of expected development with the surrounding area and existing plans and policies.

The Preferred Alternative was developed to meet the policy goals of the City, improve environmental conditions and avoid impacts to surrounding areas. The Preferred Alternative, while consistent with the general policy direction in the Comprehensive Plan, would necessitate specific changes to the City's Comprehensive Plan, Land Use

Code, Zoning Map, and Transportation Facilities Plan, and would include design elements to avoid land use incompatibilities.

**9. Housing**

**a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.**

Housing surrounding the Eastgate/I-90 study area is comprised largely of existing singlefamily neighborhoods. Housing within the study area itself is very limited, and is confined to the area east of 139th Ave SE and west of Bellevue College.

The development and implementation of the Preferred Alternative is expected to lead to the development of an additional 800 housing units in the study area. Unit costs are unknown at this time. It is possible that some of this housing could provide accommodation for students of Bellevue College.

**b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.**

No housing units would be eliminated directly by the Preferred Alternative. Existing surrounding residential neighborhoods would not be affected by zoning changes. Housing in the city would not be adversely impacted.

**c. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any.**

Not applicable.

## 10. Aesthetics

### a. What is the tallest height of any of the proposed structure(s), not including antennas? What is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Actual heights of buildings are not known at this stage of the planning process. The Preferred Alternative will provide general guidelines for development, but subsequent zoning changes will provide explicit dimensional standards.

In general, the Preferred Alternative envisions increased maximum building heights in limited areas of the Eastgate study area. The highest buildings would be allowed in the Transit-Oriented Development center, where maximum heights would be in the range of 10-12 stories. Buildings of 8 to 12 stories may be allowed at the King County site and building in the Eastgate Plaza area, Sunset Village, and I-90 Office Park areas may be up to 6 stories. Maximum heights in other parts of the study area are generally consistent with existing standards.

Building materials, textures, and exterior coloring would be consistent with design guidelines developed for the area and would fit with the aesthetics of the surrounding developments. In general, the aesthetic character would fit in with the Bellevue College and office complex character, while promoting parks, resting points, and landscaping that would accentuate human scale architectural features. Green design would be incorporated into new building and transportation construction.

### b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

As noted above, final building heights and development layouts are not known at this stage of the planning process. However, the Preferred Alternative does contemplate increased building heights in limited portion of the study area. The greatest building heights would be allowed in the Transit-Oriented Development Center, between 142<sup>nd</sup> Pl and 148 Ave SE; where maximum heights could reach up to 10-12 stories (100 – 120 feet). These buildings would be located adjacent to I-90, south of Bellevue College and east of the Park-and-Ride. Buildings of this height may be visible from the Bellevue College campus to the north and, potentially, from the residential area north of the college. To the east is the I-90/Eastgate interchange and auto dealerships.

Views of the area from Bellevue College are currently blocked by the campus's tree cover, comprised of mature conifers. The campus's trees cover will largely be retained into the future. Also, the site lies at a topographical low spot with slopes rising to the north. The campus and residential areas to the north are 100 – 150 feet higher. The areas on the King County Site proposed for greater height allowance are lower still. Potential development sites in the King County Site are at elevations of approximately 140 feet, 250 lower than the Bellevue College Campus. While these building may be visible from the north, they are unlikely to block views.

Lastly, the entire study area lies in a valley with I-90 at the bottom. Views of Lake Washington are to the east and views of the Cascades are to the west. The TransitOriented Development center and King County Site are not within these view paths. Therefore, these views from residential areas would remain unaltered. Given these factors, is it is unlikely that the proposed increased building heights would represent a significant impact to area views.

## 11. Light and Glare

### a. What type of light and glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Office, retail, institutional, and residential development proposed under the Preferred Alternative would result in increased day and nighttime lighting. Light and glare during daylight hours would likely come from glass windows associated with an increased building density in the study area. Sources of additional light and glare are dependent on the location and design of new uses. Sources of light during nighttime hours would come from electric lights associated with building lighting and exterior safety lights over walkway and parking lot infrastructure. Lighting for all development would comply with the City's lighting standards. If construction follows the City's building code, impacts from glare are likely to be avoided or minimized. Overall impacts are not expected to be significant.

### b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

Light and glare from the project would be unlikely to constitute a safety hazard. Increased lighting from buildings, walkways, and parking areas could be viewed from adjacent properties. Landscaping and additional measures could be used to abate lighting that interferes with adjacent properties. Lighting for all development would comply with the City's lighting standards.

### c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

No existing sources of off-site light or glare would affect the proposed study area.

### d. Describe the proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any.

Under the Preferred Alternative, the retention of trees and vegetation and landscape design would be implemented as necessary on a project-by-project basis to soften or filter light and glare generated from new development. Outdoor lighting would be designed to aim light where appropriate and avoid general light dispersion. Impacts from light and glare are not anticipated under the Preferred Alternative.

## 12. Recreation

### a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The Preferred Alternative is expected to provide several designated and informal recreational opportunities. The development of the Transit-oriented Development Center will create a central location used for shopping, eating, meeting, or walking. Other recreational opportunities included in the Preferred Alternative include:

- Development of "activity centers" in retail areas, providing opportunities for meeting, eating, stopping, and relaxing.
- Improvements to the Mountains-to-Sound Greenway Trail through the Eastgate Area;
- Enhancements to open spaces in the Richards Valley District;
- Potential terrace park along the south side of Bellevue College Campus;

- The addition of bike lanes and/or widened sidewalks along many of the area's street and roadways;
- Plantings in street median and along boulevards; and □ Implementation of the Bellevue Airfield Park project.

In addition, landscaping and the development of parks and trails would be incorporated into the Preferred Alternative and would further enhance recreational options in the Eastgate area. A new City park is proposed to be developed on the old Bellevue Airfield site in the northeast corner of the study area.

**b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.**

The Preferred Alternative would not displace existing recreational uses.

**c. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreational opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant.**

The Preferred Alternatives would increase recreational opportunities in the vicinity by providing additional pathway and trail systems to increase mobility and aesthetic enjoyment of the Eastgate/I-90 study area. No additional measures are needed.

### 13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

**a. Are there any places or objects listed on or eligible for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.**

According to the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Register, there are no listed places or objects on or adjacent to the Eastgate/I-90 study area.

**b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.**

No designated landmarks or evidence of historic, archeological, scientific, or cultural importance are located on or adjacent to the study area.

**c. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any.**

Because no historic or cultural resources have been identified, no specific measures are proposed. In the event that historic, cultural or archaeological resources were unexpectedly exposed during excavation or grading on a project site, all construction would be temporarily halted in the immediate vicinity of activity and the City of Bellevue and Washington Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (WOAHP) would be notified. Construction activity would not resume until the City, WOAHP, and a professional archeologist had been consulted.

Archeological and cultural resources would be examined on a project-by-project basis and would include a review of the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Register.

### 14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on-site plans, if any.**

The Eastgate/I-90 study area's transportation network is mapped in the *Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory* (City of Bellevue, 2010).

- b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?**

Transit in the study area uses both local and commuter routes. Route maps as well as ridership information are provided in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?**

The number of parking spaces is not known at this phase of the planning process. The number of parking spaces will depend on the type and location of development. Development under the Preferred Alternatives will be required to comply with the City's parking requirements and specific parking standards developed for the subarea.

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe.**

Yes, improvements to the study area's roadway network, transit system, and pedestrian accessibility are proposed. A complete description of these proposed Improvements are included in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.**

No there are no water, rail or air transportation facilities in the study area

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.**

The City's Bellevue-Kirkland-Redmond (BKR) travel demand model (EMME version MP030r5.5) was used to evaluate how projected traffic under the preferred alternative would impact 2030 roadway facilities. This methodology is consistent with FHWA guidance indicating that the appropriate tool for the planning phase of a project is a Travel Demand Model. The model produces both an estimate of traffic volumes at area intersections and an assessment of the quality of traffic operations.

Quality of traffic operations on roadway facilities is described in terms of Level of Service (LOS), a measure of operational conditions and motorists' perceptions. LOS ratings range from "A" to "F" and are related to the average delay experienced by all vehicles as they approach the intersection. An LOS A represents the best operation and LOS F represents the worst. Typically an LOS of A, B, C, or D is considered acceptable; LOS of E is considered unacceptable; and an LOS of F is considered failing.



## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

The LOS analysis used PM peak one-hour traffic volumes for forty four intersections within and surrounding the Eastgate subarea. Traffic volumes and LOS were estimated for three scenarios: 1) existing (2009) intersection PM peak hour levels of service; 2) projected (2030) intersection PM peak hour levels of service under the Preferred land use alternative including the proposed transportation improvements; and 3) projected (2030) intersection PM peak hour levels of service under the Preferred land use alternative assuming no change to the existing transportation network. The total number of estimated trips entering intersections in the project area under three scenarios is as follows:

Development Scenario	PM Peak Trips
Existing (2009)	95,434
2030 with improvements	120,374
2030 without improvements	120,878

According to the traffic analysis of the Preferred Alternative, the proposed capacity improvements at area intersections will accommodate future traffic growth and result in measurable improvements over existing conditions at these same locations. Furthermore, when considered from a corridor-wide perspective, the “2030 with improvements” scenario results in 41.7 seconds of delay per vehicle compared to 46.5 seconds of delay per vehicle in the “2030 without improvements” scenario, a 12 percent reduction in vehicle delay at corridor intersections. The complete and detailed analysis of traffic and transportation in the study area is included in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).

**g. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any.**

The Preferred Alternative includes transportation improvements that address congestion, transit, and pedestrian facilities. All of these improvements are being evaluated as measures to improve connectivity and access within the study area. The improvements are described in detail in the *Draft Preferred Alternatives Report*. As noted above, improvements will accommodate future traffic growth and result in overall improvements over existing conditions. Improvements are described in detail in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).

### 15. Public Services

**a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally explain.**

Yes. The Preferred Alternative includes increased office, institutional, and retail development, which would increase the demand for public services such as emergency services. The modest amount of residential growth would generate the need for additional emergency, school, library, and health care services.

**b. Describe proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services.**

Existing services are generally adequate to serve the anticipated growth under the Preferred Alternative, therefore no measures are proposed. Depending on the nature of residential development that is proposed, it may be necessary to evaluate whether expansion of services for new residents is necessary.

## 16. Utilities

### a. Underline utilities currently available at the site:

Electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic systems, telephone services and stormwater drainage are readily available in the study area.

### b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Project-specific extensions of or upgrades to the utilities listed above are likely to be required as properties redevelop in accordance with the Preferred Alternative.

Available water utilities for fire flows in the study area generally fall within the typical range for commercial and multi-family development, which is 2,500 to 3,500 gpm. Fire flows are generally adequate for all areas except the area zoned for office west of Richards Road. This area's 1,500 gpm fire flow may not be enough for future development. However, the Preferred Alternative does not propose changes to land uses in that part of the study area. As properties throughout the study area redevelop, a detailed determination of flow adequacy would be made and would depend on the scale and nature of new development.

In general, however, the existing utility infrastructure is adequate to serve the anticipated growth, and substantial upgrades are not expected to be needed. Therefore, significant impacts to public services are not anticipated.

**C. SIGNATURE**

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:

Date Submitted:

## D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

### 1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

#### Discharge to Surface and Ground Water

No direct discharge of waste materials to surface or ground waters is anticipated from land uses included in the Preferred Alternative. There would be no septic systems or livestock in the study area. Considerations for waste material discharge during construction would be identified and evaluated on a case-by-case basis for proposed developments within the study area. Waste material containment, storage, and disposal would be considered for projects with the potential to contaminate surface water bodies or ground water.

The Eastgate/I-90 study area contains approximately 59% impervious area. Total impervious area is not likely to decrease and may increase slightly. However, because increases in impervious surface area would likely be part of redevelopment or road expansion projects, they would be subject to stormwater management regulations requiring maintenance or improvement in stormwater hydrology and water quality. A more detailed description is provided in Section B.3 of the checklist.

There are no provisions in the Preferred Alternative for the operational production, storage or release of toxic or hazardous substances. Considerations would be required on a case-by-case basis to ensure that individual construction sites take measures to properly store hazardous, toxic, or otherwise dangerous materials appropriately to prevent potential impacts.

#### Emissions to Air

As described, in section B, development under the Preferred Alternative may result in air quality impacts during construction activities including fugitive dust, odors, and emissions from heavy machinery, trucks, and other vehicles traveling to and operating on construction sites. Increased traffic congestion and delays due to construction would have the potential to increase localized emissions by slowing or stopping traffic.

Increased development density, particularly office and institutional, would likely result in an increase in the number of auto trips (see traffic analysis) and associated emissions. As part of the *Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report*, a greenhouse gas emissions analysis was conducted (Fehr and Peers, 2011). The results of the analysis showed that because of the inclusion of residential development and multimodal transportation options, Alternative 1 was the only alternative that achieved lower per capita CO<sub>2</sub> emissions than the No Action Alternative. Alternatives 2 and 3 generated slightly higher levels of CO<sub>2</sub> per capita.

The greenhouse gas analysis was not extended to the Preferred Alternative, but it is assumed that the results would fall within the range found for the draft alternatives. The Preferred Alternative includes similar transportation improvements, but not as many projected

housing units as Alternative 1. Therefore, greenhouse gas emissions would likely be lower than Alternatives 2 and 3, but may be higher than the no action scenario.

Noise

Under the Preferred Alternative, short-term noise impacts could result from construction activities including vehicles and equipment. Construction noise is exempt from the City's noise control ordinance (BCC 9.18) from 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. on weekdays and 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. on (BCC 9.18.020.C). Sound generating development activities occurring outside of these times, on Sundays or on holidays, would require permission from the Director of the Development Services Department and only in cases where activity would not interfere with residential use permitted in the zone. There are no new noise-producing land uses proposed for the area. Long-term impacts could result from increased traffic in the Eastgate/I-90 study area. However, the incremental increase in auto noise would be unlikely to significantly raise the overall noise level. A more detailed description is provided in Section B.7.b.

**Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:**Surface and Ground Water

Considerations would be required on a case-by-case basis to ensure that individual construction activities and development sites take measures to abate and capture storm and waste water runoff, and properly store hazardous, toxic, or otherwise dangerous materials in a way to prevent potential impacts to ground water resources. If construction activities comply with the City's storm and wastewater regulations, clearing and grading standards, and all other building and development codes significant impacts to groundwater are unlikely.

Air

Mitigation measures to control air quality impacts would be considered and developed on a project-by-project basis, and could include transportation demand management strategies such as transit and carpooling incentives, bike facilities, and other means of encouraging alternatives to SOV travel.

Noise

The City's code (BCC 9.18.025 – 9.18.030) regulates noise levels through classes of environmental designations for noise abatement (EDNA). Residential land use districts are classified as EDNA A, commercial land use district are classified as EDNA B and industrial land use districts are classified as EDNA C. For each EDNA, maximum sound levels are established in BCC 9.18.030 based on the sound's source. Maximum permissible sound levels are lowest for EDNA A and highest for EDNA C. Specific zoning has not been established at this point in the planning process. Residential development may be located in either an EDNA A or B area and these designations would determine the allowable sound levels.

In addition, each development proposed under the Preferred Alternative will be required to comply with the development restrictions of BCC 9.18.045B for exterior and interior sound and noise attenuation measures. New development adjacent to I-90 is expected to buffer areas (particularly north of the Transit Oriented Center) from freeway noise. Residential buildings could also be sited and designed to minimize noise impacts on residents. Assuming this was done; impacts from noise could be maintained below a significant level. Existing noise standards for construction and operation are considered sufficient to control potential noise impacts.

**2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?**

The majority of the study area that would be impacted by new land uses has been largely cleared of vegetation through past development. The amount of vegetation that will be removed or altered as a

result of new development will depend on specific development proposals. There are no known threatened, endangered, or critical vegetation species in the study area.

Animals in the study area include species typically found in urbanized areas of the Pacific Northwest. Terrestrial species likely include various species of hawk, bald eagles, various songbirds, and various small mammals. Aquatic species likely include trout and various amphibians.

Limited portions of study area streams are fish passable. According to WDFW Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) database (2011), Sunset Creek and Richards Creek are mapped as habitat for Coho salmon north of I-90. East Creek is listed as habitat for cutthroat trout. Richards Creek is mapped as habitat for Sockeye and Chinook salmon north of its confluence with Sunset and East Creek (WDFW, 2011). All development under the Preferred Alternative would have to comply with the City's critical areas regulations which would preclude impacts to streams, wetlands, buffers and protected species and habitats.

**Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:**

Projects occurring in the study area under the Preferred Alternative would be subject to review on a case-by-case basis and impacts to vegetation would be mitigated consistent with the City's critical area buffer standards and tree retention regulations.

The study area is highly developed and has not been identified as habitat for threatened or endangered terrestrial species. Sunset and Richards Creeks are identified as salmon bearing. Individual project activities would be required to avoid or (in limited cases, where no feasible option exists for public projects) mitigate any impacts to these streams, as well as wetlands and buffers.

As part of project development, green features such as utilizing natural drainage patterns and restoring fragmented or altered habitat would be encouraged under the Preferred Alternative. Restoration plantings, landscaping, and the development of park infrastructure would occur under the Preferred Alternative as well.

Consistent with BCC 20.25H, development proposals under the Preferred Alternative would have to include a determination of whether the proposal would impact habitats associated with species of local importance (defined in BCC 20.25H.150). If so, the proposal would have to implement wildlife management plans that have been developed by WDFW for such species (BCC 20.25H.160).

**3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?**

Energy and natural resource use in the study area would be typical of urbanized commercial, institutional and/or high density residential areas. Under the Preferred Alternative, new and existing development will require electrical power and natural gas. Construction would require gasoline and diesel as well as typical building materials.

The Preferred Alternative envisions a higher density urban area that would likely require more energy and natural resources than are used under current conditions. Infill and more intensive redevelopment of existing urbanized land is expected to consume fewer energy and natural resources than if the same amount of development were to occur in an area that had not previously been converted to urban uses. The expected new development is modest relative to the vast developed areas in the region and there are no proposed uses that would accelerate depletion of an energy source or supply or natural resource, as compared to the No Action Alternative.

**Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:**

Existing city and local utility infrastructure is adequate to serve the growth projected under the Preferred Alternative. Development and redevelopment in the study area would be consistent with all local utility standards. In addition, new development under the Preferred Alternative would be required to consider and incorporate green features and energy conservation into building design. Accordingly, no significant impacts to energy availability are anticipated.

**4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?**

The study area contains several environmentally sensitive areas and public parks. Wetlands are located around Richards Creek just south of Eastgate Way and around headwater segments of East Creek north of SE 30th Street. Other small wetland areas are on the Bellevue Airfield Park site. In addition to wetlands, several streams are located through the study area. Richards, Sunset, East, and Vasa Creeks run through the central portion of the study area, while four additional streams are located near the Lakemont extension. Richards, Sunset, and East creeks have been identified as salmon bearing.

Steep slopes have also been identified in the study area. Specific areas of steep slopes (>40% and ≥ 1,000 Sq Ft) are near Sunset ravine, along the southern edge of Bellevue College, south of I-90 along SE 36th Street, along the area just west of 150th Avenue, and along the northwest side of the landfill park site. A more detailed inventory of environmentally sensitive area can be found in the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory (City of Bellevue, 2010).

There are several public areas and parks in the study area including Robinswood, Spiritridge and Sunset Parks. In addition, City staff is working on the master plan for a new park, on land collectively called 'The Eastgate Area Properties'; a 27.5 acre area, located near the Advanta office building, near the 'Lake to Lake Trail.'

According to the National Register of Historic Places and the Washington Heritage Register, there are no listed places or objects on or adjacent to the Eastgate/I-90 study area.

The Preferred Alternative has been developed to protect sensitive and public lands and resources. One of the primary objectives of the Preferred Alternative is to improve the area's environmental quality by enhancing natural systems and stream corridors and improving public lands. Critical areas would be protected consistent with the city's critical areas regulations. All parks would be maintained and/or improved. The Mountains to Sound Greenway is proposed to be improved.

**Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:**

Impacts to environmentally sensitive areas and public lands are not anticipated. The study area is highly developed and has not been identified as habitat for threatened or endangered terrestrial species. Sunset and Richards Creeks are identified as salmon bearing. Individual project activities would be required to avoid or (in limited cases, where no feasible option exists for public projects) mitigate any impacts to these streams, as well as wetlands and buffers. The inventory of parks would be maintained or improved. All development would be required to comply with all state and federal law regulating inadvertent discovery of archeological, historic or cultural resources.

**5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?**

An examination of the Eastgate/I-90 corridor, resulting in the Eastgate/I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project, was called for in the City of Bellevue’s Comprehensive Plan. The objective of the development and implementation of a preferred alternative is pursuant of the City’s Comprehensive Plan Policy ED-19 which recognizes the need to “maintain and update integrated land use and transportation plans to guide the future of the City’s major commercial areas and help them respond to change” and to further establish as a goal “to maintain the quality of older commercial areas, promoting redevelopment and revitalization as needed to maintain their vitality”.

The Preferred Alternative was developed to meet the policy goals of the City, improve environmental conditions and avoid impacts to surrounding areas. The Preferred Alternative, while consistent with the general policy direction in the Comprehensive Plan, would necessitate specific changes to the City’s Comprehensive Plan, Land Use Code, Zoning Map, and Transportation Facilities Plan, and would include design elements to avoid land use incompatibilities. There are no shorelines in the study area.

**Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:**

Development of the Preferred Alternative was consistent with the goals of the city and policies in the City’s Comprehensive Plan. No shoreline or land use impacts are anticipated. Therefore, no additional measures are provided.

**6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?**

**Transportation**

Improvements to the study area’s roadway network, transit system, and pedestrian accessibility are a key element of the Preferred Alternative. A complete description of these proposed Improvements are included in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).

The City’s Bellevue-Kirkland-Redmond (BKR) travel demand model (EMME version MP030r5.5) was used to evaluate how projected traffic under the Preferred Alternative would impact 2030 roadway facilities. The total number of estimated trips generated under three scenarios is as follows:

Development Scenario	PM Peak Trips
Exiting (2009)	95,434
2030 with improvements	120,374
2030 without improvements	120,878

According to the traffic analysis of the Preferred Alternative, the proposed capacity improvements at area intersections will accommodate future traffic growth and result in measurable improvements over existing conditions at these same locations. Furthermore, when considered from a corridor-wide perspective, the “2030 with improvements” scenario results in 41.7 seconds of delay per vehicle compared to 46.5 seconds of delay per vehicle in the “2030 without improvements” scenario, a 12 percent reduction in vehicle delay at corridor intersections. The complete and detailed analysis of traffic and transportation in the study area is included in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).



**Public Services and Utilities**

The Preferred Alternative includes increased office, institutional, and retail development, which would increase the demand for public services such as emergency services. The modest amount of residential growth would generate the need for additional emergency, school, library, and health care services.

In general, however, the existing utility infrastructure is adequate to serve the anticipated growth, and substantial upgrades are not expected to be needed. Therefore, significant impacts to public services are not anticipated.

**Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:**

**Transportation**

The Preferred Alternative includes transportation improvements that address congestion, transit, and pedestrian facilities. All of these improvements are being evaluated as measures to improve connectivity and access within the study area. Improvements will accommodate future traffic growth and result in overall improvements over existing conditions. Improvements are described in detail in the *Transportation Strategies Report for the Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project* (City of Bellevue, January 2012).

**Public Services and Utilities**

Existing public services and utilities are generally adequate to serve the anticipated growth under the Preferred Alternative, therefore no measures are proposed. Depending on the nature of residential development that is proposed, it may be necessary to evaluate whether expansion of services for new residents is necessary.

**7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.**

In general, the Preferred Alternative will rely on existing local, state and, to a lesser extent, federal regulations to protect existing environmental conditions. The Preferred Alternative will also include incentives for private developers to improve ecological conditions and open space. All development will be required to comply with the City's regulations for stormwater (including state NPDES requirements for construction), clearing and grading, critical areas protection and development regulations and standards. Projects would also have to comply with state and federal standards including protection of ESA listed species.

## EASTGATE/I-90 LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION PROJECT

### REFERENCES

- City of Bellevue. 2012. Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project: Transportation Strategies Report. January, 2012. Bellevue, WA.
- City of Bellevue. 2011. Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Evaluation of Draft Alternatives Report. August, 2011. Bellevue, WA.
- City of Bellevue. 2010. Eastgate I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project Existing Conditions Inventory. Summer, 2010. Bellevue, WA.
- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2011. Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) database. Accessed July 2011.
- Fehr and Peers. 2011. Eastgate Greenhouse Gas Emissions Analysis. Prepared for the City of Bellevue. August, 2011.
- Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW). 2011. Priority Habitats and Species, and "StreamNet" databases. Olympia, WA.

# Eastgate Proposed Land Use Code Amendments

Open House

June 8, 2016

Written Comments Submitted



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

COMMENTS: Hello I own property at 15531 S.E 30<sup>th</sup> Bellevue, WA 98006. I would like to see the higher FAR of 2.5 that would reflect the current market demand in 2016 and not that of the past CAC time frame of 2010. Times and housing demands have changed dramatically and a 1.0 FAR will not bring any new development or tax revenue needed. The affordable housing at a 1.0 FAR won't work nobody wants to see a low income housing project as the gateway to Bellevue. The lot restriction of 35-40% is way too small to allow for any form of development I would suggest something more like Bel-Red at 65%. There also needs to be an Please deposit in the comment box Incentive system to allow for higher development that is needed to meet the demand.

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings.



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

**COMMENTS:** I am in favor of a 2.5 FAR for the NMCU zoning. I do not think that the 1 FAR bonus for only low-income would pencil 1 development in the Eastgate neighborhood, there are only a handful of development sites left. The 40% lot coverage proposal would waste useful land. Our city needs housing, Eastgate's tenants Bellevue College and the Alburtons needs housing for them to flourish. We have heard that the financial analysis for the increased 1 FAR for low income was done by Terry a brand new employee to the city. By allowing a 2.5 FAR on the trailer lots site, the city would get roughly \$14,000,000 in Land Value taxes, \$682,729 in projected annual property tax vs 50,500 now which is an increase of 1357%. The sales tax would generate almost \$6m @ 9.5%. This money could help finish the mountain to Sound Greenway, open the extra 2 lanes on I-90 heading East, and help fund a neighborhood connection using city right of way. The demand is there with a 3% vacancy. The market is in a Boom market, if we

Please deposit in the comment box

don't allow zoning that pencils for developers, we will lose an opportunity for needed housing

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

### COMMENTS:

While looking at the Bellevue College Connection 142nd Place SE Snoqualmie River Rd Multimodal Transportation Corridor document, page 10, it appears that the road leading into the Sunset Ridge Condominium Complex is being removed/replaced and a new entry ~~is~~ is planned that enters from the east. As a resident of Sunset Ridge, I'd like to understand more about how this change would work. My understanding is that ~~the~~ the road from the intersection of 142nd PL SE and SE 32nd north into Sunset Ridge is private property, is the city taking private property for this project and providing a new entry?

Document: <http://www.ci.bellevue.wa.us/pdf/Transportation/BellCollConnPcomp3-25-14b-Final-revised-high-res.pdf>

Please deposit in the comment box

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

### COMMENTS:

at 150 ave <sup>S.E</sup> ~~SE~~ + SE 37 street  
East of 150<sup>th</sup> make 2 lanes  
of traffic. ~~There~~ There seems  
to be almost enough space,  
but not quite enough.  
make the sidewalk a little  
smaller to accommodate  
2 lanes of traffic heading east.  
(In front of the Albertsons  
Shopping Center.)

Please deposit in the comment box

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

### COMMENTS:

Concerned about proposed re-zone at SE 37 St. & 156<sup>th</sup> ave SE. Where will all the traffic go to get there. With multi family houses, etc. How many more cars will it generate? Has a current traffic study been done? When? How will traffic be controlled?

Please deposit in the comment box

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,





## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

### COMMENTS:

Sincere thanks for all the thought + effort that has gone into these proposed amendments.

As an Eastgate resident, I'm looking forward to some revitalization + increased amenities, but concerned about transportation/traffic challenges.

Please make a special effort to insure that Transportation works not just thru the area, Please deposit in the comment box but within it, so <sup>near by</sup> residents can access these ~~the~~ C.B + NMU areas with ease.

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

### COMMENTS:

① Please make I-90 and Eastgate Way more visible on companion maps. Orientation is difficult.

② Please provide project descriptions for the transportation improvement maps with projected time frame if possible.

Please deposit in the comment box

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings, please include your name and contact information:



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

• FOOTNOTE #47 Pgs 20, 20. DID NOT PRINTED

• TOD ZONING IN NOT PRINTED FOR COMPARISON

### COMMENTS:

- PAN OF 1.0 IN NMU + OLB 2 IS WORKING LOW WITH RESPECT TO MARKET NEEDS + CONDITIONS
- OLD COVERAGE OF 40% IN NMU AND 80% IMPERVIOUS SURFACE IS GUARANTEEING SURFACE PARKING, NOT PARKS AND LANDSCAPE.
- ASK ANY NEIGHBOR WHICH THEY WANT TO SEE. IF YOU WANT TO SEE LANDSCAPING, LOT COVERAGE LIMITATIONS NEED TO BE ELIMINATED JUST LIKE IN BELLEVUE. ~~BEFORE IT IS ENACTED~~
- PREEMPT PARKING GARAGES FROM BLDG COVERAGE MEASUREMENT. IF ENACTED

Please deposit in the comment box

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

COMMENTS: The Boomers are now  
50-60+ years old. They now have  
the same knee & other aging ailments  
you plan NOT to take care of parking  
is too much too soon. Please  
think about a plan that works  
for the people using it. It will  
take more than 10 years to cut  
Please deposit in the comment box  
down car usage.

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

### COMMENTS:

- 1) The KC Metro plan calls for doubling transit ridership. Therefore, I believe this plan needs to at least reserve enough space to double capacity at the Eastgate P&R.
- 2) SE 36th ~~and 37th~~ is over capacity today. We should ~~not~~ only increase traffic demand on these streets if there is a plan to also significantly expand their capacity.
- 3) The intersections at 148th Ave will also need improvement. For example, what will happen to these businesses when the freeway on ramps back up?
- 4) Asking us to comment on land use without the context of future transportation improvements seems backwards.

Please deposit in the comment box

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

### COMMENTS:

Traffic improvements must be completed before allowing the zoning change.

The concept of this project is based on transit use, but Metro's recently released long-range plan shows no increase in service until 2025... 9 yrs from now. So there will be no additional buses to transport all these new Eastgate residents + workers for another 9 years. Transit capacity must also exist ~~to~~ before allowing re-zoning.

Please deposit in the comment box

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

### COMMENTS:

Additionally, unlike the zoning on the UU project, structured parking does not appear to be exempt from FAR in the NMC zoning. That along with a 40% lot coverage would create a sea of parked cars. please look into this

Please deposit in the comment box

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



## **EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS**

### **COMMENTS:**

I'm very concerned about how the ~~large~~ increase in density is going to affect our quality of life in Eastgate. We're already experiencing extremely heavy traffic congestion, crowded schools, lack of police protection, etc. I hope these things are ~~to~~ planned for.

*Please deposit in the comment box*

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,





## **EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS**

### **COMMENTS:**

I think this does a lot to support the continued growth of Bellevue College, thank you

*Please deposit in the comment box*

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,



### EASTGATE PROPOSED LAND USE CODE AMENDMENTS

#### COMMENTS:

I'm concerned with the transportation plan. In particular, the plan ~~along~~ shows significant modifications ~~next~~ to the condos next to Bellevue College. The transportation plan documents also indicate increased noise; ~~the~~ What does the city intend to do

Please deposit in the comment box

for these condos due to buses.

~~to remedy~~

~~these~~ fairly implemented

If you would like to receive notifications about future meetings,

nation:

these changes with respect to the residents in the condos?

# JUNE 22, 2016 6:30 p.m.

# EASTGATE LAND USE CODE

## PUBLIC HEARING


Bellevue College | Room N 201 | 3000 Landerholm Circle SE

We've been working hard to develop land use code changes to fulfill the vision and direction of the Citizen Advisory Committee's Eastgate/I-90 Land Use & Transportation Project. The Planning Commission will be holding a public hearing and wants to hear from you.

Contact: Terry Cullen, City of Bellevue Planning and Community Development Department at 425-452-4070 | [TCullen@bellevuewa.gov](mailto:TCullen@bellevuewa.gov)  
[www.bellevuewa.gov/eastgate-corridor.htm](http://www.bellevuewa.gov/eastgate-corridor.htm)

The purpose of the Planning Commission public hearing is to take testimony on Eastgate proposed Land Use Code Amendments including:

- Three (3) proposed new zoning districts
- New design guidelines for commercial and office uses
- Proposed uses allowed and dimensional standards





Department of Planning & Community Development  
PO Box 90012  
Bellevue, WA 98009-9012

**WEDNESDAY, JUNE 22, 2016**

**6:30 p.m.**

**EASTGATE LAND USE CODE**

**PUBLIC HEARING - PLANNING COMMISSION**

**BELLEVUE COLLEGE | ROOM N 201**

**3000 Landerholm Circle SE**

**Parking Lot Number 10**



## Upcoming Meeting Schedule

### Wednesday, July 13, 2016

6:30 PM  
Room 113E-City Hall

PUBLIC HEARING - LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPLES PUBLIC HEARING  
STUDY SESSION - LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT PRINCIPLES

### Wednesday, July 27, 2016

6:30 PM  
Room 113E, City Hall

STUDY SESSION - DOWNTOWN LIVABILITY LAND USE CODE UPDATE

### Wednesday, August 10, 2016

NO MEETINGS DURING THE MONTH OF AUGUST. NEXT REGULAR MEETING IS SEPTEMBER 14, 2016.

### Wednesday, August 24, 2016

NO MEETINGS DURING THE MONTH OF AUGUST. NEXT REGULAR MEETING IS SEPTEMBER 14, 2016.

### Wednesday, September 14, 2016

6:30 PM  
Room 113E, City Hall

STUDY SESSION - DOWNTOWN LIVABILITY LAND USE CODE UPDATE

### Wednesday, September 28, 2016

6:30 PM  
Room 113E, City Hall

AGENDA TO BE DETERMINED

*Schedule Last Revised: 6/13/16*



**JUNE 23, 2016**

**5:30-8 pm**

Bellevue City Hall  
450 110<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE

*Presentation begins promptly at 6 pm  
in Council Chambers*

# AFFORDABLE HOUSING COMMUNITY EDUCATION FORUM

While Bellevue's economy continues to thrive, more and more residents and workers are being edged out of the housing market. Fewer affordable homes and apartments are available in Bellevue, as the demand for affordable housing is growing among a much larger segment of the population.

Do you want to learn more about affordable housing issues in Bellevue, and what the City is doing to increase affordable housing? Please join Councilmember Lynne Robinson, Bellevue staff and a panel of local experts for a discussion of the current need for affordable housing in Bellevue, and the challenges facing the City and local developers. Find out how the City plans to address this need with its new Affordable Housing Strategy, and why your involvement in this process is critical to its success.

*For more information, please visit the Affordable Housing Strategy website at [www.bellevuewa.gov/affordable-housing.htm](http://www.bellevuewa.gov/affordable-housing.htm)*

## **Also at the Forum...**

- Visit with local human service providers
- Share your experiences with affordable housing
- Comment on the potential actions being considered for providing affordable housing

## **Panelists**

- **Private Developer**
- **Taylor Marr**  
Data Scientist, Redfin
- **Tim Walter**  
Senior Director of Development & Asset Management, King County Housing Authority
- **Sybil Glasby**  
Director of Housing Development, Imagine Housing
- **Melissa DaVita**  
Deputy Superintendent for Financial Services & Operations, Bellevue School District
- **Angela Murray**  
Executive Director, The Sophia Way

It is the City of Bellevue's policy to assure that no person shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin or sex, as provided by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, be excluded from participating in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise discriminated against under any of its federally funded programs and activities. Any person who believes his/her Title VI protection has been violated may file a complaint with the Title VI Coordinator. For Title VI complaint forms and advice, please contact the Title VI Coordinator at 425-452-4496.



# EAST LINK TUNNEL CONSTRUCTION

## Road Impacts on 110th Ave NE



## Bellevue City Hall – Nearby Parking Options

### Meydenbauer Convention Center 11100 NE 6th St

(The garage does not accommodate vehicles over 6'9" tall)

\$0 up to 30 minutes	\$13 for 5 – 8 hours
\$5 for 30 to 60 minutes	\$14 for 8 – 10 hours
\$8 for 1 – 3 hours	\$14 for 8 – 10 hours
\$10 for 3 – 5 hours	

### Griffin Parking Lot 10833 NE 8th St


\$7 up to 60 minutes	\$24 for 4 – 12 hours
\$12 for 1 – 2 hours	\$28 for 12 – 24 hours
\$15 for 2 – 4 hours	

### Bellevue Corporate Plaza 600 108th Ave NE (Access to parking is off of 110th Ave)

\$3 up to 30 minutes	\$9 for 3 – 4 hours
\$5 for 30 to 60 minutes	\$11 for 4 – 6 hours
\$7 for 1 – 2 hours	\$13 for 6 – 8 hours
\$8 for 2 – 3 hours	\$16 for 8 – 16 hours

### Skyline Tower 10900 NE 4th St

\$5 up to 30 minutes	\$18 for 3 – 4 hours
\$7 for 1 hour	\$20 for 4 – 6 hours
\$10 for 1 – 2 hours	\$22 for 6 – 8 hours
\$12 for 2 – 3 hours	\$24 for 8 – 24 hours



m2715a\_10.14.indd